

The Treason Trial of Aaron Burr

Law, Politics, and the Character Wars of the New Nation

The Burr treason trial, one of the greatest criminal trials in American history, was significant for several reasons. The legal proceedings lasted seven months and featured some of the nation's best lawyers. It also pitted President Thomas Jefferson (who declared Burr guilty without the benefit of a trial and who masterminded the prosecution), Chief Justice John Marshall (who sat as a trial judge in the federal circuit court in Richmond), and former Vice President Aaron Burr (who was accused of planning to separate the western states from the Union) against each other. At issue, in addition to the life of Aaron Burr, were the rights of criminal defendants, the constitutional definition of treason, and the meaning of separation of powers in the Constitution. Capturing the sheer drama of the long trial, R. Kent Newmyer's book sheds new light on the chaotic process by which lawyers, judges, and politicians fashioned law for the new nation.

R. Kent Newmyer received his PhD in history from the University of Nebraska in 1959. From 1960 to 1997, he taught American history at the University of Connecticut. Since 1997, he has been Professor of Law and History at the University of Connecticut School of Law. He has taught a wide range of graduate and undergraduate courses in American history, specializing in the political, constitutional, and legal history of the early national period. He received two awards for teaching and in 1988 was named Distinguished Alumni Professor for excellence in teaching and scholarship, the highest faculty honor bestowed by the university. As an author, Newmyer is best known for Supreme Court Justice Joseph Story: Statesman of the Old Republic (1985) and, most recently, John Marshall and the Heroic Age of the Supreme Court (2001). A second edition of his short volume on the Supreme Court under Marshall and Taney was published in 2006. Newmyer's books have been reviewed in various history journals and law reviews, as well as in the New York Times, the Washington Post, and the New Republic. Newmyer has appeared on C-Span's Booknotes, and most recently was a commentator in a National Public Television documentary on the U.S. Supreme Court, produced by Channel 13 in New York City.





CAMBRIDGE STUDIES ON THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION

Series Editors

Maeva Marcus, The George Washington University Melvin I. Urofsky, Virginia Commonwealth University Mark Tushnet, Georgetown University Law Center Keith Whittington, Princeton University

Cambridge Studies on the American Constitution publishes books that examine the American Constitution and offers a range of interpretations and approaches, from traditional topics of constitutional history and theory, case studies, and judicial biographies, to more modern and often controversial issues dealing with gender and race. While many estimable series have incorporated constitutional studies, none has done so exclusively. This series seeks to illuminate the implications – governmental, political, social, and economic – of the relationship between the American Constitution and the country it governs through a wide array of perspectives.

Titles in the Series

Mark A. Graber, Dred Scott and the Problem of Constitutional Evil Christian G. Fritz, American Sovereigns: The People and America's Constitutional Tradition Before the Civil War Pamela Brandwein, Rethinking the Judicial Settlement of Reconstruction





The Treason Trial of Aaron Burr

Law, Politics, and the Character Wars of the New Nation

R. KENT NEWMYER

University of Connecticut





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107606616

© R. Kent Newmyer 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Newmyer, R. Kent.

The treason trial of Aaron Burr: law, politics, and the character wars of the new nation / R. Kent Newmyer.

p. cm. – (Cambridge studies on the American Constitution)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-107-02218-8 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-60661-6 (pbk.)
I. Burr, Aaron, 1756–1836 – Trials, litigation, etc. 2. Trials (Treason) –
United States. 3. Burr Conspiracy, 1805–1807. 4. United States – Politics and government – 1783–1809. I. Title

KF223.B8N49 2012
345.73′0231–dc23 2011051724

ISBN 978-1-107-02218-8 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-60661-6 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



To my wife Rosanne Pelletier
To my brother Dan Newmyer
and his wife Paula Poppe Newmyer



"A trial in a Court of Justice is a trial of many things besides the prisoners at the bar."

William Maxwell Evarts, closing address to the jury in the case of the Savannah Privateers, 1861



Contents

Acknowledgments		page xi
	Introduction	I
	Chronology of the Conspiracy and	
	Associated Trial Proceedings	7
	Prologue: A Mind-Jostling Trial	10
Ι	Jefferson and Burr on the Road to Richmond	19
2	Jefferson and Marshall Square Off	46
3	Legal Theater in Richmond: Aaron Burr Front-and-Center	68
4	Treason Law for America: The Lawyers Grapple	107
5	Judging the Judge	143
	Epilogue: After the Dust Settled	180
Index		215

ix





Acknowledgments

I am immensely grateful to Lewis Bateman, Senior Editor for Political Science and History at Cambridge University Press, who has had faith in my work over the years; as always, it has been a pleasure and a privilege to work with him. I also very much appreciate the contributions of Anne Lovering Rounds and Shawn Vigil, Senior Editorial Assistants; Shari Chappell, Production Editing Manager; Mark Fox, Production Controller; and Laura Lawrie, Production and Copy Editor. I am grateful also to the two outside anonymous readers who critiqued the manuscript for the Press; their informed and insightful suggestions greatly improved the book. The suggestions of Maeva Marcus and Mark Tushnet, Series Editors of Cambridge Studies on the American Constitution were also helpful. Thanks also to Bill Keegan for preparing the map and helping me with the other illustrations in the book. Mary Ellen Curtin, of Red Owl Web Design, skillfully prepared the index.

My colleagues at the University of Connecticut School of Law, where I have been teaching for the last dozen years, have helped me more than they could know. To share ideas with so many fine lawyers has been a uniquely valuable experience for a historian trying to fathom the creative labors of the lawyers and judges who shaped the legal culture of the new nation.

I owe a special debt of gratitude to professors Hugh Macgill and Phillip Blumberg, both former deans of the Law School. The idea of doing a book on the Burr trial originated in an animated discussion with Hugh about David Robertson's stenographic report of the trial. Hugh was not only present at the book's creation, but he has also advised and encouraged me at each stage of its development. Dean Phillip Blumberg, who

xi



xii Acknowledgments

has inspired me in so many ways, generously took time from his own scholarly endeavors to help me with mine. Both Hugh and Phillip have given me valuable critical readings of the entire manuscript. Professor Tim Everett also read a portion of the manuscript and helped me puzzle my way through some of the mysteries of courtroom advocacy that I encountered in the trial record.

My former student at the Law School, Kelly Barrett, gave me helpful comments on an early draft of the manuscript and assisted me in tracking Marshall's opinions in the Burr case as they entered the stream of constitutional discourse. Monique Griffin, Sandi Browne, Rosa Colon, and Linda Kirk kindly assisted me during the various stages of preparing the manuscript.

I am deeply indebted to the late Professor Kathryn Preyer. "Kitty" did not write about the Burr trial, but her approach to the study of early national legal culture has done much to shape my own. I would like to think that she would have found something of value in my book; I know for sure it would have been better had she given it one of her famous critiques.

Kitty's friend and mine, John Gordan III, has generously shared with me his impressive knowledge of law and trial procedure, knowledge he honed as a federal attorney in the Southern District of New York and from 1994 to 2010 as Partner in Morgan, Lewis, & Bockius in New York City. In the midst of his demanding duties he has found time to read the reports of the trial and to give me a critical reading of my entire manuscript, some parts of it more than once. His critique has informed my thinking on key issues and has also saved me from some embarrassing errors. The errors that remain are my own and not John's, nor those of any of the other friends who have helped me.

My esteemed colleague, Emeritus Professor of History Edmund Wehrle, deserves special mention. I spared Ed from reading the book in manuscript, which he kindly offered to do; instead he listened to me vent for several years running about the joys and frustrations of my research. Dee Gosline, Graduate Secretary of the UConn History Department has come to my rescue on more occasions than I care to mention; so have my computer guru friends at the University, Geoffrey Meigs and Tim Ruggieri. Amanda Rosenberg generously volunteered her time to introduce me to the world of digitalized newspaper research.

The secondary scholarship on the Burr trial is extensive, and while I have consulted various accounts to support and/or clarify my argument, I have made no attempt to resolve the disputes among the numerous



Acknowledgments

xiii

historians and biographers. Rather I have been guided as much as possible by the original documents – the reports of the trial and other court records, the newspapers, and the published and unpublished writings of the various participants. Accordingly, I owe a special debt to the editor-scholars and librarians who have made such research possible. My footnotes, I hope, pay suitable tribute to all of them, but two deserve special mention. Mary-Jo Kline's scholarly two-volume edition of *Political Correspondence and Public Papers of Aaron Burr* has been indispensable to me; especially important has been her critical reassessment of the famous cipher letter that played such an important role in both Jefferson's original decision to charge Burr with treason and also in the subsequent litigation.

Charles Hobson, past editor of the *Papers of John Marshall* and current editor of the St. George Tucker Law Papers at the College of William and Mary has as always been an invaluable source of information and advice to me, as well as to dozens of other scholars. Hobson's account of the Burr trial with accompanying documents, prepared for the Federal Judicial Center as part of the project "Federal Trials and Great Debates in United States History," is a model of exacting scholarship. Equally valuable has been his concise summary of Marshall's multiple opinions in the trial (in his edited Volume 7 of the *Papers of John Marshall*). I have used the original reports of David Robertson and also those of Thomas Carpenter when citing Marshall's opinions, but the full text of those opinions with useful introductory notes appears in Hobson's Volume 7, as does Marshall's correspondence relevant to the Richmond proceedings.

Librarians and archivists, past and present, have made my work possible. So it pleases me greatly to acknowledge the dedicated professionals at the American Antiquarian Society, especially Elizabeth Watts Pope, for helping me access the Society's incomparable collection of early American newspapers. Robert F. Karachuck, Associate Editor of the Adams Papers at the Massachusetts Historical Society, went out of his way to expedite my research in the Adams Family Papers. I am grateful to the archivists and librarians at the State Library of Virginia and the Virginia Historical Society for making my research trips to Richmond profitable and pleasant. E. Lee Shepard, Vice President for Collections at the VHS, has been most helpful and encouraging.

And so over many years have been the librarians and staff at the Homer Babbidge Library at the University of Connecticut, where I have been privileged to do much of my research and writing. Special thanks



xiv

Acknowledgments

to Steve Batt, library liaison to the Federal Depository Program, and to curator of collections Melissa Watterworth Batt and the staff at the University's Thomas Dodd Research Center.

Finally, and above all, I want to express my love and gratitude to my wife Rosanne Pelletier, who advised and encouraged me in countless ways. Her aesthetic sense of language and skilled copyediting have made the book better on almost every page.

I'm delighted to dedicate the book to Rosie and also to my brother Dan and his wife Paula, who have been my best friends for longer than any of us care to remember.