

Law and Custom in Korea

This book sets forth the evolution of Korea's law and legal system from the Choson dynasty through the colonial and postcolonial modern periods. This is the first book in English that comprehensively studies Korean legal history in comparison with European legal history, with particular emphasis on customary law. Korea's passage to Romano-German civil law under Japanese rule marked a drastic departure from its indigenous legal tradition. The transplantation of modern civil law in Korea was facilitated by Japanese colonial jurists who themselves created a Korean customary law; this constructed customary law served as an intermediary regime between tradition and the demands of modern law. The transformation of Korean law by the brisk forces of Westernization points to new interpretations of colonial history, and it presents an intriguing case for investigating the spread of law on the global level. In-depth discussions of French customary law and Japanese legal history in this book provide a solid conceptual framework suitable for comparing European and East Asian legal traditions.

Marie Seong-Hak Kim was born in Seoul, Korea, and was educated at Ewha Womans University (BA and MA), the University of Minnesota (PhD), and the University of Minnesota Law School (JD). Originally trained as a sixteenth-century French historian, Professor Kim teaches and writes on both European and East Asian legal history, concentrating on France, Korea, and Japan. Her book, Michel de L'Hôpital: The Vision of a Reformist Chancellor during the French Religious Wars, was published in 1997. Her articles have appeared in journals such as Law and History Review, The American Journal of Comparative Law, The Journal of Asian Studies, The Journal of Japanese Studies, Tijdschrift voor rechtsgeschiedenis, Tōyō Bunka Kenkyū, Sixteenth Century Journal, and French History. Her major awards and grants include the National Endowment for the Humanities Research Fellowship, Fulbright Senior Scholar Grant, the Academy of Korean Studies Research Grants, and the Japan Foundation Research Fellowship. She served as a visiting professor at the Institut d'Asie Orientale, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, France, and was a Fellow at the International Institute for Asian Studies in Leiden, the Netherlands. As a Fulbright Visiting Professor of Law, she taught at Handong Global University in Pohang, Korea. From 2011 to 2012, Professor Kim was a Fellow at the Collegium de Lyon (Institut d'Etudes Avancées) in France. She currently teaches at St. Cloud State University. She is an attorney at law and a member of the Minnesota Bar.





Law and Custom in Korea

Comparative Legal History

MARIE SEONG-HAK KIM

St. Cloud State University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107006973

© Marie Seong-Hak Kim 2012

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2012

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data Kim, Marie Seong-Hak.

Law and custom in Korea: comparative legal history / Marie Seong-Hak Kim.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00697-3 (hardback)

- 1. Customary law Korea. 2. Civil law Korea. 3. Legislative histories Korea.
- 4. Customary law Europe. I. Title.

KPA2340.K56 2012

340.509519-dc23 2012011316

ISBN 978-1-107-00697-3 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For Christopher, John-Paul, and Joel





Contents

Illustrations		page ix
Ta	Tables	
Acknowledgments		xiii
	Introduction	I
I.	Comparative Reflections on the Concepts of Law and Custom Concepts of Custom in European and East Asian Legal Traditions Law and Local Customs in Chosŏn Korea, 1392–1910 The Myth of Custom	13 16 21 38
2.	Law and Legal Culture under the Chosŏn Dynasty The Community Compact Law versus Standard of Behavior Rule of Law and the Role of Law Korea's Autonomous Attempts for Legal Reform	41 43 51 56
3.	Custom and Legal Reception: The Japanese Precedent The Introduction of the Legal Theory of Custom in East Asia The Making of the Japanese Civil Code and Ume Kenjirō Sources of Law in the Japanese and the French Civil Codes	65 67 82 94
4.	Legal Reforms in Protectorate Korea, 1905–1910 Precedents of Reform: The Building of a Colonial Legal System in Taiwan Ume Kenjirō and Reforms of Korean Law and the Legal System The Evolution of Japanese Politics and Legal Policy in Korea A Tale of Two Assimilations	101 105 112 134 146
5.	Colonial Law and the Legal System, 1910–1945 The Constitutional Status of the Colony The Creation of Colonial Courts The Colonial Customary Law Order Conflict of Laws in the Colonial Empire: The Coordination Law System	151 153 156 172
		vii



viii		Contents
6.	Colonial Jurisprudence and the Construction of Korean	
	Customary Law	192
	The Interpretation of Custom	193
	Reconfiguring Korean Customs into Customary Law	201
	Analysis of Customary Law Cases	208
	Jurisprudence of Customary Law and Colonial Policy	232
7.	The Japanese Deviation: Comparison of Colonial Customary	
	Law Policies	235
	Legal Pluralism in European Colonies	237
	Comparison of Japanese and European Customary Law Policies	245
	Law, Civilization, and Assimilation	249
	The Evolution of Colonial Legal Policy	257
	The Legacy of the Deviation	262
8.	Customary Law in Modern Korea	267
	Custom and the Korean Civil Code	270
	Colonial Law and Postcolonial Jurisprudence	274
	Custom, Culture, and the Constitution	286
	Tradition versus Modernity and Jurisprudential Quandary	292
	Conclusion	297
Ap_{i}	pendix A: List of Major Colonial Officials	303
Appendix B: Selected Law Provisions Cited in the Text		305
Bibliography		311
Index		345



Illustrations

I.I.	Trial scene during the Choson dynasty (1392–1910). The magistrate is seated on the left; the two parties are sitting on the ground. From <i>The Second Annual Report</i> on the Reforms and Progress in Korea, 1908–9.	page 31
3.1.	Ume Kenjirō, May 1909. Ume (1860–1910) was one of the drafters of the Japanese Civil Code and a legal advisor to the Korean government between 1906 and 1910. Collection of the Editorial Committee on History of Hosei University.	87
4.1.	First modern courts, circa 1910. All four levels of Korea's first modern courts that opened doors in 1908 were housed in this building in Kongpyŏngdong in Seoul. The colonial Chosŏn High Court moved to Sŏsomundong in 1911 and the lower courts followed in 1920. Courtesy of Seomoondang, Co.	123
4.2.	Courtroom scene from the Japanese protectorate period (1905–1910). The Korean defendants face the judges in Western suits. From The Second Annual Report on the Reforms and Progress in Korea, 1908–9.	128
6.1.	The Chosŏn High Court building shown in a postcard, circa 1935. Both the colonial high court and, after independence, the Supreme Court of Korea (Taepŏpwŏn) occupied this building in Sŏsomundong in Seoul continuously from 1928 to 1995. Collection of Korea Creative Content Agency (KOCCA).	223
8.1.	The Supreme Court of Korea. The court en banc in May 1956. In the middle is the first Supreme Court Chief Justice Kim Pyŏng-ro (1888–1964). Collection of the Supreme Court Library of Korea.	274
		ix



x Illustrations

8.2. Judicial robe, circa 1960.

A judge in full judicial gear that was used between 1953 and 1965. The robe features the embroidery of a rose of Sharon (Mugunghwa), the national flower of Korea, and the hat has a *t'aegŭk* mark inside the rose of Sharon. The judge, Kim Yoon-Haeng, later a Supreme Court Justice, was the author's father. Personal collection of the author.

280



Tables

5.1. Number of Judicial Posts (as prescribed in the Imperial Ordinance of 1920)

page 159

5.2. Population and Judicial Personnel, 1910–1944

161





Acknowledgments

The detour from early modern France to colonial Korea, a sort of intellectual self-reinvention, has been a journey long and lonely but ultimately rewarding. Having been trained in European history, I had to assure myself that my undertaking of East Asian legal history was not a whimsical or erratic venture. By the time the Fulbright Senior Scholar Grant allowed me to spend a year in Korea in 2004, the country where I was born and raised but had left two decades before, I was certain that Korean history was indeed something I had wanted to study all along. Throughout the writing of this book, I was accompanied by the memories of my parents, Chung Eung-Kyu (1922–2006) and the Honorable Kim Yoon-Haeng (1920–1990). My father received his legal education during the late years of Japanese colonial rule and subsequently served in the Korean judiciary for more than three decades, the last seven years as a Supreme Court Justice (1973–1980). His career was but one example that convinced me that colonial legal history was an integral part of the Korean past and must be understood as such. I am sure my parents would have been pleased to see this book.

James D. Tracy, my doctoral advisor at the University of Minnesota, has taught me that evolving intellectual endeavors across different fields of interest are not only justified but desirable. He read and corrected the drafts of this book, as he did for my other writings innumerable times over the past years. His encouragement is the single most important force that has sustained my professional career. I thank, with all my heart, Jim and his wife Sue, who are my children's godparents, for their unfaltering support. A major inspiration for this book came from Jérôme Bourgon of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and the Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon (Institut d'Asie Orientale). I benefited from his unsurpassed knowledge and keen insights in Chinese legal history. In particular, he has shown me that the distance between French history and East Asian history is not insurmountable, and that it is indeed a worthy project to study them together from a genuinely comparative perspective.

This book was supported by grants, fellowships, and research assistance from various institutions and organizations, including the National Endowment for

xiii



xiv

Acknowledgments

the Humanities, the Fulbright Commission, the Academy of Korean Studies, the Japan Foundation, the Association for Asian Studies, the International Institute for Asian Studies, the Tōyō Bunka Kenkyujō at Gakushuin University, Harvard Yenching Library, and St. Cloud State University. Its final revision was undertaken during my tenure as a resident Fellow of the Collegium de Lyon (Institut d'Etudes Avancées) at the Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon. To all the above, and many others, I remain deeply grateful.