### Words of the World

Most people think of the Oxford English Dictionary (OED) as a distinctly British product. Begun in England 150 years ago, it took more than 60 years to complete, and when it was finally finished in 1928, the British prime minister heralded it as a 'national treasure'. It maintained this image throughout the twentieth century, and in 2006 the English public voted it an 'Icon of England', alongside Marmite, Buckingham Palace, and the bowler hat. But this book shows that the dictionary is not as 'British' as we all thought. The linguist and lexicographer, Sarah Ogilvie, combines her insider knowledge and experience with impeccable research to show that the OED is in fact an international product in both its content and its making. She examines the policies and practices of the various editors, applies qualitative and quantitative analysis, and finds new OED archival materials in the form of letters, reports, and proofs. She demonstrates that the OED, in its use of readers from all over the world and its coverage of World English, is in fact a global text.

SARAH OGILVIE is Director of the Australian National Dictionary Centre, Reader in Linguistics at the Australian National University, and Chief Editor of Oxford Dictionaries, Australia. Prior to that she was Alice Tong Sze Research Fellow at Cambridge University. She holds a doctorate in Linguistics from the University of Oxford and worked for many years as an editor on the Oxford English Dictionary in England and the Macquarie and Oxford dictionaries in Australia. Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-02183-9 - Words of the World: A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary Sarah Ogilvie Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

# Words of the World

A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary

Sarah Ogilvie



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107605695

© Sarah Ogilvie 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

#### First published 2013

Printed and Bound in the United Kingdom by the MPG Books Group.

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library.

#### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Ogilvie, Sarah. Words of the world : a global history of the Oxford English dictionary / Sarah Ogilvie. pages cm ISBN 978-1-107-02183-9 (Hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-60569-5 (Paperback) 1. Oxford English dictionary. 2. Encyclopedias and dictionaries– History and criticism. 3. English language–Foreign countries. 4. English language–Foreign words and phrases. 5. English language–Lexicography. 6. English language–Etymology.

I. Title. PE1617.O94O44 2012 423.09–dc23

2012015672

ISBN 978-1-107-02183-9 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-60569-5 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-02183-9 - Words of the World: A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary Sarah Ogilvie Frontmatter More information

For Jane Shaw

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-02183-9 - Words of the World: A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary Sarah Ogilvie Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

| Cambridge University Press  |
|---|
| 978-1-107-02183-9 - Words of the World: A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary |
| Sarah Ogilvie   |
| Frontmatter   |
| More information  |
|   |

## Contents

| List | t of illustrations  | <i>page</i> viii |
|------|---|------------------|
| List | t of appendix figures   | xi               |
| Pre  | face  | xiii             |
| Ack  | knowledgements  | xvi              |
| 1.   | Entering the OED  | 1                |
| 2.   | A global dictionary from the beginning  | 24               |
| 3.   | James Murray and Words of the World   | 53               |
| 4.   | James Murray and the Stanford Dictionary controversy                            | 104              |
| 5.   | William Craigie, Charles Onions, and the mysterious case of vanishing tramlines | the 134          |
| 6.   | Robert Burchfield and words of the world in the OED Supplements                 | 165              |
| 7.   | Conclusion  | 210              |
| Ind  | liography<br>ex<br>e colour plates can be found between pp. 158 and 159.        | 213<br>233       |
|      |   |                  |

## Illustrations

| 1.1 | The modern OED office, with its open plan                       | page 2 |
|-----|---|--------|
| 1.2 | One of Mr Collier's slips showing the use of the word           |        |
|     | Brizvegas in Brisbane's Courier Mail newspaper with             |        |
|     | his annotations   | 5      |
| 1.3 | Mr Chris Collier, an Australian reader for the OED who          |        |
|     | contributed over 100,000 quotations                             | 6      |
| 1.4 | One of Arthur Maling's slips written on recycled chocolate      |        |
|     | wrappers  | 10     |
| 1.5 | The reverse side of Arthur Maling's slip for wick showing       |        |
|     | one of many recycled chocolate wrappers                         | 10     |
| 1.6 | The dictionary offices in the Old Ashmolean Building, Oxford,   |        |
|     | which housed Henry Bradley's team                               | 15     |
| 1.7 | Example of the use of tramlines in OED1 on the Indian English   |        |
|     | word nullah, a river or stream, and the Australian English word |        |
|     | nulla-nulla, a wooden club used traditionally by                |        |
|     | Australian Aborigines   | 18     |
| 2.1 | Richard Chenevix Trench (1807–86) who proposed the              |        |
|     | concept of a 'new dictionary' to the Philological Society       | 25     |
| 2.2 | Herbert Coleridge (1830–61), first editor of the dictionary     |        |
|     | from 1859 to 1861   | 26     |
| 2.3 | Frederick Furnivall (1825–1910), editor of the dictionary from  |        |
|     | 1860 to 1879, photographed rowing on the Thames                 | 27     |
| 2.4 | Furnivall photographed with the world's first women's rowing    |        |
|     | team whom he coached  | 33     |
| 2.5 | Murray's entry for <i>abaisance</i>                             | 36     |
| 2.6 | James Murray (1837–1915), editor of the dictionary from         |        |
|     | 1879 until his death in 1915, pictured in his Scriptorium in    |        |
|     | north Oxford surrounded by his slips                            | 37     |
| 2.7 | Henry Bradley (1845–1923), who followed Murray as editor of     |        |
|     | the dictionary from 1915 until his death in 1923                | 38     |

| Cambridge University Press  |
|---|
| 978-1-107-02183-9 - Words of the World: A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary |
| Sarah Ogilvie   |
| Frontmatter   |
| More information  |

|      | List of illustrations   | ix  |
|------|---|-----|
| 2.8  | C. T. Onions (1873–1965), who worked on the first edition of the <i>OED</i> and co-edited the 1933 <i>Supplement to the OED</i>               | 40  |
| 2.9  | C. T. Onions and his wife Angela with nine of their<br>ten children (Giles is in his mother's arms and Elizabeth is<br>second from the right) | 42  |
| 2.10 | William Craigie (1867–1957), who joined the dictionary<br>in 1897 and co-edited the 1933 <i>Supplement to the OED</i>                         | 12  |
| 2.11 | with Charles Onions<br>Robert W. Burchfield (1923–2004), chief editor of the  | 43  |
|      | <i>OED</i> , 1957–86  | 45  |
| 2.12 | James and Ada Murray with their eleven children, who  |     |
|      | were paid one penny an hour to help sort slips  | 46  |
| 3.1  | Murray's 'Circle of English'  | 57  |
| 3.2  | Kachru's three circles model of English, reminiscent of   |     |
|      | Murray's 'Circle of English'  | 59  |
| 3.3  | A pre-printed reader's slip filled out by Dr Minor, showing   |     |
|      | a citation for <i>curricurro</i> , a spelling variant of <i>corocoro</i> ,  |     |
|      | a Malaysian boat, as used by Sir Thomas Herbert in Some   |     |
| 2.4  | Yeares Travels (1634)   | 64  |
| 3.4  | Letter from the anthropologist, E. B. Tylor, to James Murray in which Talan commission to a with Murray's task of having                      |     |
|      | which Tylor commiserates with Murray's task of having   |     |
|      | 'to decide whether such outlandish words have any place in  | 77  |
| 25   | an English Dictionary'  | 77  |
| 3.5  | James Murray wearing his academic cap while working on the dictionary   | 95  |
| 4.1  | Murray's comparison of the first dates for fifty words following  | 93  |
| 4.1  | the word <i>Cast</i> in both <i>OED1</i> and the <i>Stanford</i> , along with a list  |     |
|      | of words omitted by Fennell that were in <i>OED1</i>  | 116 |
| 4.2  | The entry for <i>cabriole</i> in the <i>Stanford Dictionary</i> , showing   | 110 |
| 4.2  | acknowledgement of <i>OED1</i> after three of four quotations   | 121 |
| 4.3  | The entry for <i>aphesis</i> in the <i>Stanford Dictionary</i> , showing  | 121 |
| т.5  | Murray's coining of the term  | 122 |
| 4.4  | Bar graph showing the date of first quotations per century  | 122 |
|      | in the <i>Stanford Dictionary</i> sample  | 123 |
| 4.5  | Entry for <i>acousmata</i> in the <i>Stanford Dictionary</i> showing an   | 120 |
|      | extended version of a quotation from Stanley's <i>History of</i>  |     |
|      | Philosophy that appeared in OED1  | 124 |
| 5.1  | Entry <i>kadin</i> as it appears with tramlines in the <i>1933</i>  | -   |
|      | Supplement  | 140 |
| 5.2  | Entry <i>rhexis</i> as it appears with tramlines in   |     |
|      | the 1933 Supplement   | 140 |

| Cambridge University Press  |
|---|
| 978-1-107-02183-9 - Words of the World: A Global History of the Oxford English Dictionary |
| Sarah Ogilvie   |
| Frontmatter   |
| More information  |

x List of illustrations

| 5.3        | First revise proofs of the 1933 Supplement, showing tramlines         |     |
|------------|---|-----|
|            | on aoudad, aoul, and apache   | 145 |
| 5.4        | First page of revised proofs of the 1933 Supplement                   |     |
|            | showing the use of tramlines, dated 17 July 1928                      | 146 |
| 5.5        | First revise proofs of 1933 Supplement, showing insertion of          |     |
|            | tramlines by Onions   | 147 |
| 5.6        | First revise proofs of 1933 Supplement showing Onions'                |     |
|            | handwriting   | 147 |
| 5.7        | Extract from a letter from Eric Stanley to Dan Davin,                 |     |
|            | 5 February 1963, with Burchfield's marginalia 'we will be             |     |
|            | using "   | 148 |
| 5.8        | Extract from a copy of a letter from Eric Stanley to Dan Davin,       |     |
|            | 5 February 1963, with Burchfield's marginalia, 'I propose to          |     |
|            | re-introduce   . It was used in main Dict. and dropped in 1933        |     |
|            | Suppl. for obscure reasons'   | 148 |
| 5.9        | Copy of a letter from Dan Davin to Eric Stanley, 7 February 1963,     |     |
|            | with Burchfield marginalia, 'll ought to go back. Was dropped in      |     |
|            | 1933 when SPE was flourishing – they preached a doctrine of           |     |
|            | "pure English" which I could not accept – writing "tamber" for        |     |
|            | timbre, etc. R.W.B.'  | 149 |
| 6.1        | Bar graph showing the proportion of World Englishes and               |     |
|            | loanwords per page per editor   | 178 |
| 6.2        | The entry boviander in the 1933 Supplement, deleted by                |     |
|            | Burchfield in his <i>Supplement</i>                                   | 184 |
| 6.3        | The entry <i>danchi</i> in the 1933 Supplement, deleted by Burchfield |     |
| <i>.</i> . | in his Supplement   | 184 |
| 6.4        | Entry for the word <i>kat' exochen</i> appears in Burchfield's        |     |
|            | Supplement with the headword in the Greek                             | 100 |
|            | alphabet $\kappa \alpha \tau' \epsilon \zeta \circ \chi \eta v$       | 198 |

## Appendix figures

| Appendix | 1  | The mean of inclusion of words with tramlines in OED1                |            |
|----------|----|--|------------|
|          |    | per editor (Murray 0.05, Bradley 0.04, Onions 0.03, Craigie 0.03)    | 81         |
| Appendix | 2  | The proportion of words with tramlines in OED1 per letter            |            |
|          |    | per editor: Murray's letters are shown in red, Bradley's in blue,    |            |
|          |    | Onions' in orange, and Craigie's in yellow. The letters in black     |            |
|          |    | (S and V) represent one in which more than one editor worked         | 81         |
| Appendix | 3  | Bar graph showing the proportion of shared entries (19.4%)           |            |
|          |    | in the sample of the section A-Cast                                  | 119        |
| Appendix | 4  | Bar graph showing the provenance of words in the <i>Stanford</i>     |            |
|          |    | Dictionary sample  | 122        |
| Appendix | 5  | Line graph showing the average number of loanwords and World         |            |
|          |    | Englishes in the 1933 Supplement per page per letter per editor.     |            |
|          |    | Letters edited by Onions are marked by orange dots, and letters      |            |
|          |    | edited by Craigie are marked by blue dots. N.B. the peak in the      |            |
|          |    | second half of the alphabet (letters S and T edited by Onions)       | 179        |
| Appendix | 6  | Line graph showing the proportion of World Englishes (neologisms,    |            |
|          |    | adaptations, and loanwords) in the 1933 Supplement (orange line)     |            |
|          |    | compared with that in Burchfield's Supplement (blue line)            | 179        |
| Appendix | 7  | List of entries deleted from the 1933 Supplement sample by           |            |
|          |    | Burchfield in his Supplement. The case study revealed that           |            |
|          |    | Burchfield deleted 17% (16.6%) of the sample.                        | 181        |
| Appendix | 8  | Bar graph showing *donor languages that contributed more             |            |
|          |    | than three loanwords in the 1933 Supplement                          | 187        |
| Appendix | 9  | Bar graph showing *donor languages that contributed more             |            |
|          |    | than five loanwords in Burchfield's Supplement                       | 188        |
| Appendix | 10 | Pie chart showing the proportional representation of neologisms      |            |
|          |    | and adaptations in the 1933 Supplement                               | 189        |
| Appendix | 11 | Pie chart showing the proportional representation of neologisms      |            |
|          |    | and adaptations in Burchfield's Supplement                           | 190        |
| Appendix | 12 | Bar graph showing the top ten donor languages (that contributed more |            |
|          |    | than five loanwords) given tramlines in Burchfield's Supplement      | 193        |
| Appendix | 13 | Bar graph showing the top ten donor languages (that                  |            |
|          |    | contributed more than five loanwords) not given tramlines in         |            |
|          |    | Burchfield's Supplement  | 194        |
| Appendix | 14 | Bar graph showing the first dates of loanwords in the sample         | 199        |
|          |    |  | xi         |
|          |    |  | <b>711</b> |

Every word should be made to tell its own story – the story of its birth and life, and in many cases of its death, and even occasionally of its resuscitation.

Herbert Coleridge (1857), Editor from 1859 to 1861 of the dictionary that became known as the *Oxford English Dictionary* 

### Preface

Most people think of the Oxford English Dictionary (OED) as a distinctly British product. Begun in England one hundred and fifty years ago, it took more than sixty years to complete, and when it was finally finished the British Prime Minister heralded it as a 'national treasure'. It maintained this image throughout the twentieth century, and in 2006 the English public voted it an 'Icon of England', alongside marmite, Buckingham Palace, and the bowler hat.<sup>1</sup> Central to the rhetoric of OED-as-national-treasure is the collection of eccentric lexicographers who devoted their lives to the giant text. We have inherited the picture of a handful of devoted Englishmen huddled in a cold, damp Scriptorium on Banbury Road, Oxford, wrapping their legs in newspaper to keep warm. Scholars and the media never fail to focus on the nineteenth-century editor of the OED, James Murray (1837-1915), who laboured on the dictionary for nearly forty years and died on the letter T without knowing whether the whole dictionary would ever be finished. We are presented with a story of uncompromising persistence and dedication to produce a multi-volume dictionary of unrivalled scholarly rigour which future generations would hail as the definitive record of the English language.

All of this is true, except for the bit about the *OED* being a distinctly English product. The making of this dictionary was a transnational effort, and if you look closely at its pages you discover a distinctly international dimension. Not only were some members of the small band of Englishmen in the Scriptorium actually Scottish, not English, but they were supported by hundreds of men and women from around the world. The *OED* text was created by the work of hundreds of contributors worldwide. It is a distinctly global product, in a sense the original Wikipedia, coordinated by Royal Mail. What's more, Murray intended it to be so.

He reached out for words beyond the shores of Britain and was helped by hundreds of dedicated readers and editors around the globe. He actively sought the assistance of these men and women; he saw their words – loanwords (words borrowed into English from other languages) and World Englishes (varieties of English spoken around the world) – as legitimate members

xiii

### xiv Preface

of the English language and wanted to include them in his new dictionary. Murray's actions and policies were criticized in his day, but he continued throughout his career to rebel against his critics.

In the second half of the nineteenth century, when the British Empire was reaching the zenith of its power, confidence, and size, Murray enlisted the help of people not only within the empire but also beyond it, creating a network that was truly global. He was fascinated by the differences that were emerging in the English language and wanted his dictionary to reflect and record the developments he witnessed. Contrary to what recent commentators have assumed, Murray was far from the Anglocentric Oxford don who merely wanted to preserve the 'Queen's English'. Instead, he was an outsider within Oxford who was excluded from university life and was never made a Fellow of an Oxford college. He saw himself as an innovator, a self-proclaimed 'pioneer' whose lexicographic efforts to describe global English were undeniably breaking new ground. In order to accomplish his aims, he corresponded with a global network of hundreds of collaborators who read local World English texts and sent words and quotations for inclusion in his dictionary. He formed transnational relationships by exchanging letters and books with contributors in regions as dispersed as Ceylon, Mexico, and New Zealand.

Over time, as small portions of the *OED* were gradually published, critics (both inside and outside his workplace) recognized the prevalence of non-British words in the dictionary and urged Murray to stop including them because, as one reviewer put it, 'there is no surer or more fatal sign of the decay of a language than in the interpolation of barbarous terms and foreign words; if a great dictionary is to be regarded as a treasury of the language it should give no currency to false and fraudulent issues'.<sup>2</sup> Murray answered his critics the way he knew best: by refusing to change his policy and by defiantly continuing to include words of the world. Although using the language of imperial exploration, Murray was nonetheless clear about his global lexicographic identity from the earliest days. He wrote in 1884 (while still editing the letter A), 'I feel that in many respects I and my assistants are simply pioneers, pushing our way experimentally through an untrodden forest, where no white man's axe has been before us.'

It was true that no one had written such a comprehensive dictionary of English before Murray and his team, and no one has since. However, the full extent of the dictionary's original scope – its generous inclusion of words from outside Britain – has never been fully appreciated. In fact, it has even been misunderstood and misrepresented – especially in the past forty years – by scholars and journalists who, on the basis of little evidence, have criticized Murray and the early editors of the *OED* for neglecting vocabulary from outside Britain. In contrast, these critics have praised a later *OED* editor,

### Preface

Robert Burchfield (1923–2004), for his inclusion and treatment of loanwords and World Englishes.

This book challenges this narrative. Until now, no one has investigated the actual text of the dictionary to assess whether these generalizations are correct. By using a combination of statistical, textual, contextual, and qualitative analyses to compare versions of the *OED* from its inception until 1986, I discovered that the inverse is true.<sup>3</sup> There was no smooth story of progress within the *OED* text from imperialism to postcolonialism, in which coverage of words from outside Britain improved over time. Rather, I found that the early editors were less conservative in their policy and practice with regard to loanwords and World Englishes than usually assumed. And I found that the later editor, Burchfield, was less the champion of these words than he or others have claimed. The coverage of the dictionary has never been insular, and the story of Burchfield's pioneering efforts to open up the dictionary to the Englishes of the world in the third quarter of the twentieth century does an injustice to its editors from 1884 to 1933.

This book provides new insight into the coverage of words from outside Britain in the first edition and Supplement volumes of the OED, and the decision-making processes behind the lexicographic practice; it analyzes the relationship between editorial policy and lexicographic practice; and it demonstrates that it is in the slippage between policy and practice that a lexicographer's attitudes towards culture and language can often be found. In addition, a more nuanced picture of the OED editors emerges when the first edition of the OED is compared with a competitor dictionary, the Stanford Dictionary of Anglicized Words and Phrases (1892), and when Burchfield's Supplement to the OED (1972–1986) is compared with the dictionary upon which it was based, the 1933 Supplement. More than a history of one of the greatest books ever written, this book takes as its starting point the actual dictionary text, surveying its treatment by the dictionary creators and using that as a window into the attitudes and lives of its makers - both those in Oxford and those continents away. This is the story of the global OED, its makers and its text.

### ENDNOTES

- 1 http://www.icons.org.uk.
- 2 'The Literature and Language of the Age', Edinburgh Review, April 1889 p. 348.
- 3 See Coleman and Ogilvie (2009) for more on this method of dictionary analysis.

xν

## Acknowledgements

The process of writing this book opened many new worlds to me, for which I am very grateful. There were several unexplained discoveries that I made in the archives and in the dictionary itself, and my efforts to solve these mysteries took me to unexpected places: to a park in Brisbane, Australia, where I met one of the *OED*'s most prolific contributors; to a cemetery in Wolvercote, outside Oxford, where I identified the tombstone of James Murray beside that of his best friend James Legge; and to a large, rambling house in north Oxford where C. T. Onions had lived until his death in 1965. Onions ended up being a central character of the book, and I got to know his elderly daughter Elizabeth, who passed away while I was writing this book, and his son Giles, who had lived in the family house for more than eighty years. They both shared memories of growing up in literary Oxford, as well as Giles' experience of reading for Burchfield's *OED Supplement*, which all deserve a book of their own.

First and foremost I must thank my colleagues at the Oxford English Dictionary (OED). Although I still consult for the dictionary from amidst the fens in Cambridge, I do miss sitting with them in the large open-plan office and eating together each day in the OUP cafeteria. My years at the OED provided me with the best possible lexicographic training, and I must thank my colleagues, especially John Simpson and Edmund Weiner, for their guidance, inspiration, and advice over the years. This book has been improved by the advice and comments of many people, most notably Charlotte Brewer, Peter Gilliver, Terry Hoad, Sidney Landau, Rod McConchie, Sara Miles, Lynda Mugglestone, and Jane Shaw. I am indebted to others who commented on earlier drafts of chapters, especially Michael Adams, Dianne Bardsley, Paul Bogaards, Julie Coleman, David Cram, Victor Flynn, Bill Frawley, and Aditi Lahiri. These colleagues and friends devoted many hours to read and correct the text at several stages; they encouraged my scholarship and shared their ideas, but of course ultimately all opinions and errors in this book remain my own.

I would also like to thank others who have helped generously with their ideas and support: Cindy Allen, Martha Baer, Helen Barton, Gary Bolles,

### Acknowledgements

xvii

Kingsley Bolton, Kate Brett, Keith Brown, Lesley Brown, Philip Bullock, Mike Clark, Jeanette Covacevich, Joanna Day, Mary Dalrymple, Kate Dobson, Valerie Crane Dorfman, Edith Esch, Stefano Evangelista, Paul Fromberg, Susan Froud, Mark Grace, Sarah Green, Rebecca Groves, Mark Gwynn, Rosa Lee Harden, Richard Harms, George Hecksher, Bernadette Hince, Sarah Hoem Iversen, Niels Hooper, Kasia Jaszczolt, Steve Jensen, Mari Jones, Elizabeth Knowles, James Lambert, Richard Mason, Marilyn McCord Adams, Jon McCormack, Rebecca McLennan, Robert Merrihew Adams, Kate Mitchell, Ben Morse, David Nash, Kateena O'Gorman, Georgina Paul, Stephen Pax Leonard, Pam Peters, Tamson Pietsch, Curtis Price, Joyce Reid, Alan Renwick, Judith Robertson, Julia Robinson, Antoinette Rossi, Rhian Samuel, Dave Shirt, Chris Stray, Barry Supple, Sandie Taylor, Caroline Thomas, Janet Todd, Mark Turin, Judith Unwin, Alison Vinnicombe, Trudy Watt, David Willis, and Beverley Yorke.

Because there is no electronic copy of the first edition of the *OED* and its separate supplement volumes, all the analysis for this book had to be done manually. This was a painstaking task which necessitated access to the original texts. Early on in this project, Miranda Curtis and Jane Shaw generously gave me a first edition of the *OED*, which helped immeasurably.

There is a great deal of archival material in this book, and I am grateful for the kind assistance of Bev Hunt and Martin Maw at the Oxford University Press (OUP) archives, and to the Delegates of OUP for permission to publish them. The images are reprinted by permission of the Secretary to the Delegates of Oxford University Press. Portions of this text are published in articles elsewhere and I am grateful for permission to use some of that material here. My research was funded by Lucy Cavendish College, Cambridge, the UK Arts and Humanities Research Council, and the Wingate Foundation. I also gratefully acknowledge the support of Trinity College and the Department of Linguistics, Philology, and Phonetics, Oxford; the Department of Applied and Theoretical Linguistics, Cambridge; as well as Visiting Fellowships at the Department of Linguistics, University of California-Berkeley, and the Research School of Humanities and the Australian National Dictionary Centre at the Australian National University, Canberra. I am grateful for access to the Murray Papers at the Bodleian Library, Oxford; the Onions Collection at the National Library of Australia, Canberra; the Craigie Papers at the National Library of Scotland, Edinburgh; C. T. Onions' Letters at Birmingham University Library; the Furnivall Papers at King's College London; and the Papers of the BBC Advisory Committee on Spoken English at the BBC Written Archives Centre, Reading. Thanks are also due to the archivists at Balliol College, Oxford, Exeter College, Oxford, Jesus College, Cambridge, and Magdalen College, Oxford.