

# A Student's Introduction to English Grammar

This groundbreaking undergraduate textbook on modern Standard English grammar is the first to be based on the revolutionary advances of the authors' previous work, *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language* (2002), winner of the 2004 Leonard Bloomfield Book Award of the Linguistic Society of America. The analyses defended there are outlined here more briefly, in an engagingly accessible and informal style. Errors of the older tradition of English grammar are noted and corrected, and the excesses of prescriptive usage manuals are firmly rebutted in specially highlighted notes that explain what older authorities have called 'incorrect' and show why those authorities are mistaken.

This book is intended for students in colleges or universities who have little or no previous background in grammar, and presupposes no linguistics. It contains exercises and a wealth of other features, and will provide a basis for introductions to grammar and courses on the structure of English not only in linguistics departments but also in English language and literature departments and schools of education. Students will achieve an accurate understanding of grammar that will both enhance their language skills and provide a solid grounding for further linguistic study.





# A Student's Introduction to English Grammar

RODNEY HUDDLESTON

University of Queensland, Australia

GEOFFREY K. PULLUM

University of Edinburgh, UK





## CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521612883

© Rodney Huddleston and Geoffrey K. Pullum 2005

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005 13th printing 2015

Printed in the United Kingdom by Bell and Bain Ltd, Glasgow

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

ISBN 978-0-521-84837-4 Hardback ISBN 978-0-521-61288-3 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



# Contents

Notational conventions		page vi
Pre	eface	vii
1	Introduction	1
2	A rapid overview	11
3	Verbs, tense, aspect, and mood	29
4	Clause structure, complements, and adjuncts	63
5	Nouns and noun phrases	82
6	Adjectives and adverbs	112
7	Prepositions and preposition phrases	127
8	Negation and related phenomena	149
9	Clause type: asking, exclaiming, and directing	159
10	Subordination and content clauses	174
11	Relative clauses	183
12	Grade and comparison	195
13	Non-finite clauses and clauses without verbs	204
14	Coordination and more	225
15	Information packaging in the clause	238
16	Morphology: words and lexemes	264
Further reading		291
Glossary		295
Index		309



# Notational conventions

# **Abbreviations of grammatical terms**

Adj	Adjective	$\mathbf{O}^{\mathrm{i}}$	Indirect Object
AdjP	Adjective Phrase	P	Predicator
AdvP	Adverb Phrase	PC	Predicative Complement
C, Comp	Complement	PP	Preposition Phrase
DP	Determinative Phrase	Pred Comp	Predicative Complement
N	Noun	Prep	Preposition
Nom	Nominal	S, Subj	Subject
NP	Noun Phrase	V	Verb
O	Object	VP	Verb Phrase
$\mathbf{O}^{\mathrm{d}}$	Direct Object		

# **Presentation of examples**

*Italics* are always used for citing examples (and for no other purpose).

**Bold italics** are used for lexemes (as explained on p. 15).

"Double quotation marks" enclose meanings.

Underlining (single or double) and square brackets serve to highlight part of an example.

The symbol '·' marks a morphological division within a word or a component part of a word, as in ' $work \cdot er \cdot s$ ' or 'the suffix  $\cdot s$ '.

The following symbols indicate the status of examples (in the interpretation under consideration):

\*ungrammatical \*Know you the answer?

of questionable acceptability The floor began to be swept by Max.

! non-standard ! I done it myself.

<sup>%</sup>grammatical in some dialects only <sup>%</sup>Have you enough money?

### **Additional conventions**

**Boldface** is used for technical terms when first introduced and sometimes for later occurrences too.

SMALL CAPITALS are used for emphasis and contrast.

vi



## **Preface**

This book is an introductory textbook on modern Standard English grammar, intended mainly for undergraduates, in English departments and schools of education as well as linguistics departments. (See www.cambridge.org/0521612888 for a link to the associated web site, where additional information can be found.) Though it takes note of developments in linguistics over the past few decades, and assumes a thorough knowledge of English, it does not presuppose any previous study of grammar or other aspects of linguistics.

We believe that every educated person in the English-speaking world should know something about the details of the grammar of English. There are a number of reasons.

- There are hardly any professions in which an ability to write and speak crisply and effectively without grammatical mistakes is not a requirement on some occasions.
- Although a knowledge of grammar will not on its own create writing skills, there
  is good reason to think that understanding the structure of sentences helps to
  increase sensitivity to some of the important factors that distinguish good writing
  from bad.
- Anyone who aims to improve their writing on the basis of another person's technical criticism needs to grasp enough of the technical terms of grammatical description to make sure the criticism can be understood and implemented.
- It is widely agreed that the foremost prerequisite for computer programming is the ability to express thoughts clearly and grammatically in one's native language.
- In many professions (the law being a particularly clear example) it is a vital part
  of the content of the work to be able to say with confidence what meanings a particular sentence or paragraph will or won't support under standard conceptions of
  English grammar.
- Discussions in a number of academic fields often depend on linguistic analysis of English: not only linguistics, but also philosophy, literature, and cognitive science.
- Industrial research and development areas like information retrieval, search
  engines, document summary, text databases, lexicography, speech analysis and
  synthesis, dialogue design, and word processing technology increasingly regard
  a good knowledge of basic linguistics, especially English grammar, as a prerequisite.

vii



### viii Preface

 Knowing the grammar of your native language is an enormous help for anyone embarking on the study of another language, even if it has rather different grammatical principles; the contrasts as well as the parallels aid understanding.

This book isn't the last word on the facts of Standard English, or about grammar more generally, but we believe it will make a very good foundation. It is based on a much bigger one, *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language (CGEL)*, written between 1990 and 2002 in collaboration with an international team of other linguists. That book often contains much fuller discussion of the analysis we give here, together with careful argumentation concerning the alternative analyses that have sometimes been advocated, and why they are less successful.

The process of writing this book, and *The Cambridge Grammar* before it, was continually surprising, intriguing, and intellectually exciting for us. Some think the study of English grammar is as dry as dust, probably because they think it is virtually completed, in the sense that nothing important in the field remains to be discovered. But it doesn't seem that way to us. When working in our offices and meeting for lunchtime discussions we usually found that we would have at least one entirely new discovery to talk about over sandwiches. At the level of small but fascinating details, there are thousands of new discoveries to be made about modern English. And even at the level of the broad framework of grammatical principles, we have frequently found that pronouncements unchallenged for 200 years are in fact flagrantly false.

We are pleased that we were again able to work with Kate Brett of Cambridge University Press, the same senior acquisitions editor who saw *CGEL* through to completion, and with Leigh Mueller, our invaluable copy-editor. We have constantly drawn on the expertise that was provided to *CGEL* by the other contributors: Peter Collins, David Lee, Peter Peterson, and Lesley Stirling in Australia; Ted Briscoe, David Denison, Frank Palmer, and John Payne in England; Betty Birner, Geoff Nunberg, and Gregory Ward in the United States; Laurie Bauer in New Zealand; and Anita Mittwoch in Israel. There are many topics covered in *CGEL* that we couldn't have tackled without their help, and this shorter presentation of some of those topics is indebted to them at various points.

The School of English, Media Studies and Art History at the University of Queensland generously continued to provide an academic and electronic home for Rodney Huddleston while he worked full-time on this project. Professor Junko Itô, Chair of the Department of Linguistics at the University of California, Santa Cruz, helped a lot by arranging Geoff Pullum's teaching schedule in ways that facilitated his participation in completing this book. And most importantly, we would like to thank our families, who have been extraordinarily tolerant and supportive despite the neglect of domestic concerns that is inevitable when finishing a book. Vivienne Huddleston and Barbara Scholz, in particular, have seen less of us than (we hope) they would have liked, and taken on more work than was their proper share in all sorts of ways, and we are grateful.