

Compromise

A Political and Philosophical History

This book offers for the first time a conceptual history of compromise. Alin Fumurescu combines contextual historical analysis of daily parlance and a survey of the usage of the word from the end of the sixteenth century to the beginning of the eighteenth century in both French and English with an analysis of canonical texts in the history of political thought. This work fills a significant gap in the literature about compromise and demonstrates the connection between different understandings of compromise and corresponding differences in understandings of political representation. In addition, Fumurescu addresses two controversial contemporary debates about when compromise is beneficial and when it should be avoided at all costs. A better understanding of the genealogy of compromise offers new venues for rethinking basic assumptions regarding political representation and the relationship between individuals and politics.

Alin Fumurescu is visiting assistant professor in the Department of Political Science at Tulane University.





Compromise

A Political and Philosophical History

ALIN FUMURESCU

Tulane University





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107029439

© Alin Fumurescu 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

Fumurescu, Alin, 1967– author.

Compromise: a political and philosophical history / Alin Fumurescu,
Tulane University.

pages cm
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 978-1-107-02943-9 (hardback)

1. Political science – Philosophy. 2. Compromise (Ethics) I. Title.
JA71.F85 2013
302.3-dc23 2012021022

ISBN 978-1-107-02943-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLS for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

Acknowledgments		page vii
I	Introduction: "In Politics We Have an Art"	I
	1.1. The Opportunity	4
	1.2. The Challenges	6
	1.3. Compromise and Self-Representation	9
	1.4. Compromise and Political Representation	14
	1.5. The Structure of the Argument	18
	1.6. Preliminary Conclusions	22
2	No Compromise about Compromise	25
	2.1. Compromise before Compromise?	29
	2.2. Compromise: From Method to Principle	36
	2.3. Compromise Without Compromising?	43
	2.4. The (A)Morality of Compromise	47
	2.5. Compromise as a National Feature?	56
3	The Genealogy of Compromise and Its Vagaries	61
	3.1. Compromissum as Arbitratio	64
	3.2. Compromissum as Electio	68
	3.3. The French Méfiance about Compromise	73
	3.4. The Virtues of British Compromise	80
4	The Dialectic of the Individual	91
	4.1. The Ambivalent Christian	93
	4.2. One Individual, Two Fora	96
	4.3. Two Fora, One Church	100
	4.4. One Res publica, Two Fora	103
	4.5. Individuals and Representation	107

V



V1		Contents
5	Compromise and Centripetal Individualism	114
	5.1. The Emergence of Centripetal Individualism	120
	5.2. Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose - Sovereignty: Between	1
	Will and Reason	131
	5.3. State versus People	139
	5.4. Centripetal Individualism and Hands-On Politics	146
6	Compromise and Centrifugal Individualism	152
	6.1. "And the British Being Utterly Divided from the Whole World"	155
	6.2. The Common Weal of Individuals	160
	6.3. Collapsing the Two Fora	163
	6.4. Centrifugal Individualism, Compromise, and Contractualism	168
	6.5. Parliament and Ascending Representation	177
	6.6. Compromise as Political Practice	184
	6.7. The Glorious Compromise	189
7	The Forgotten Road of Representation: Continental	
	Contractarian Theories	193
	7.1. The French 'Contracts of Reason'	199
	7.2. Other Continental Versions of Contract Theory	214
	7.3. French Contractualism after Hobbes and Locke	224
8	The British Contract as Com-promise	231
	8.1. Patriarchalism and Contractualism	235
	8.2. Republicanism and Contractualism	239
	8.3. The Advent of the 'New' Compromise in Politics	247
9	Conclusions: Compromising the Art of Compromise –	
	The One-Dimensional Man	266
	9.1. Compromise and the Two-Dimensional Man	268
	9.2. Compromise and the One-Dimensional Man	271
	9.3. Compromise and Civil Society	274
	9.4. Compromise and Ascending Representation	275
	9.5. Render Unto Compromise	280
	9.6. The Future of Political Compromise	286
Inc	dex	291



Acknowledgments

For many (too many) years I was convinced that the 'acknowledgments' page found in so many books is merely a polite convention – until I wrote one. It is now clear to me – and, I am convinced, to others as well – that this work would not have been possible absent the support of many who deserve my unconditional gratitude.

It would probably be neater to organize my thanks under different categories – people I have benefited from scholarly, financially, emotionally, and the like. However, it would be a futile enterprise, first and foremost because support does not come in neatly organized packages, each with its own label. As a matter of fact, what makes it so great is precisely its boundless generosity: it is not just provided, it is offered; it is not just the display of a rational calculation (although many times it has to be one as well), it is also a 'habit of the heart.'

Allow me therefore to compromise without compromising. I would like first to thank the members of my doctoral committee at Indiana University, Bloomington: Jeffrey Isaac, Russell Hanson, and William Scheuerman. Without their advice and relentless support there would have been no doctoral dissertation, and thus no book. Aurelian Craiutu has demonstrated over and over again that it is entirely possible to combine criticism with encouragement, and to be a hard-to-please committee chair and a true friend at the same time.

Among many other scholars from whose expertise I have benefited these past years, I am also indebted to Quentin Skinner, Nancy Rosenblum, Nannerl Keohane, and Cary Nederman for comments and suggestions that proved crucial at various stages of this process. I can only hope that their enthusiasm for ideas remains as contagious as I feel it was. Michael Zuckert's help in particular was by far more important that he would care to accept, while the final review of the manuscript during my first year at Tulane University was made

vii



viii

Acknowledgments

possible by the support and – shall I dare to say it? – friendship of Martyn Thompson.

Last but not least, I have to acknowledge the evidence: regardless of all of the above, this work could not have been completed without the unconditional love and patience of my wife, Anca. After all these years, I could not compromise on this point. To her, therefore, I dedicate this book with all my love.