DOMESTIC JUDICIAL REVIEW OF TRADE REMEDIES

Trade remedies, namely anti-dumping, countervailing measures and safeguards, are one of the most controversial issues in today's global trading environment. When used, such measures effectively close the markets of the importing countries to competition from outside for a certain period of time. Exporters that are faced with such measures can try either to convince their government to bring a case against the government of the importing country in the WTO or to use, themselves, the judicial review mechanism of the importing country. This second path, until now, has been largely unexamined. *Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies* is the first book of its kind to examine in detail how the judicial review process has functioned, and considers the experiences in the domestic courts of the twenty-one WTO members that are the biggest users of trade remedies.

MÜSLÜM YILMAZ works as a Counsellor in the Rules Division of the WTO. He functions as legal officer to WTO panels handling disputes on trade remedies and as Secretary to the WTO Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures. Before joining the WTO in 2001, Mr Yilmaz worked as counsel and investigator at the Turkish Anti-Dumping and Anti-Subsidy Investigating Authority.

CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND ECONOMIC LAW

As the processes of regionalization and globalization have intensified, there have been accompanying increases in the regulations of international trade and economic law at the levels of international, regional and national laws.

The subject matter of this series is international economic law. Its core is the regulation of international trade, investment and cognate areas such as intellectual property and competition policy. The series publishes books on related regulatory areas, in particular human rights, labour, environment and culture, as well as sustainable development. These areas are vertically linked at the international, regional and national level, and the series extends to the implementation of these rules at these different levels. The series also includes works on governance, dealing with the structure and operation of related international organizations in the field of international economic law, and the way they interact with other subjects of international and national law.

Series Editors

Dr Lorand Bartels, University of Cambridge Professor Thomas Cottier, University of Berne Professor William Davey, University of Illinois

Books in the series

Trade Policy Flexibility and Enforcement in the WTO: A Law and Economics Analysis Simon A.B. Schropp The Multilaterization of International Investment Law Stephan W. Schill The Law, Economics and Politics of Retaliation in WTO Dispute Settlement Edited by Chad P. Bown and Joost Pauwelyn Non-Discrimination in International Trade in Services: 'Likeness' in WTO/GATS Nicolas Diebold Processes and Production Methods (PPMs) in WTO Law: Interfacing Trade and Social Goals Christiane R. Conrad African Regional Trade Agreements as Legal Regimes James Thuo Gathii The Law and Politics of WTO Waivers: Stability and Flexibility in Public International Law Isabel Feichtner

> Public Services and International Trade Liberalization: Human Rights and Gender Implications Barnali Choudhury International Organizations in WTO Dispute Settlement: How Much Institutional Sensitivity? Marina Foltea Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members Müslüm Yilmaz

DOMESTIC JUDICIAL REVIEW OF TRADE REMEDIES

Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members

Edited by MÜSLÜM YILMAZ



CAMBRIDGE

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most Active WTO Members Edited by Müslüm Yilmaz Frontmatter More information

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107022232

© Cambridge University Press 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by the MPG Books Group

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Domestic judicial review of trade remedies : experiences of the most active WTO members / Edited by Müslüm Yilmaz. p. cm. 1. Foreign trade regulation. 2. Judicial review 3. World Trade Organization. I. Yilmaz, Müslüm. K3943.D655 2012 343.08'7-dc23 2012024807

ISBN 978-1-107-02223-2 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate

Any views and opinions given in this book are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the WTO members, WTO Secretariat, or the Appellate Body Secretariat.

CONTENTS

	Contributors page x Foreword by Pascal Lamy xiii Acknowledgements xv
1.	Introduction1müslüm yilmaz
2.	Canada: high deference, stark reality 11 ANDREW M. LANOUETTE AND CHRISTOPHER J. KENT
3.	United States: judicial review: a cornerstone of trade remedies practice 35
4.	Mexico: quasi-judicial review of trade remedy measures by NAFTA panels 55 JORGE MIRANDA AND JUAN CARLOS PARTIDA
5.	Colombia: a complex court system with the possibility of three instances 83 MARÍA CLARA LOZANO
6.	Brazil: the need for enhanced effectiveness 107 RABIH A. NASSER AND LUCIANA B. COSTA
7.	Argentina: a well-structured but unsuccessful judicial reviewsystem129
	MERCEDES DE ARTAZA
8.	Peru: a sophisticated but underused judicial review system 153
	LUIS ALBERTO LEÓN AND MARÍA ANTONIETA Merino

Cambridge University Press
978-1-107-02223-2 - Domestic Judicial Review of Trade Remedies: Experiences of the Most
Active WTO Members
Edited by Müslüm Yilmaz
Frontmatter
More information

viii CONTENTS 9. The European Union: an imperfect and time-consuming system 173 EDWIN VERMULST AND JUHI SUD 197 10. Turkey: a judicial review system in need of change MÜSLÜM YILMAZ 11. Israel: a comparative study of two models 221 ARIE REICH AND GILL NADEL 12. South Africa: a complicated, unpredictable, long and costly judicial review system 247 GUSTAV BRINK 13. Pakistan: an evolving judicial review system 269 FAIZULLAH KHILJI AND MAZHAR BANGASH 14. India: a three-tier judicial review system 287 MADHURENDRA NATH JHA China: an untested theoretical possibility? 15. 313 HENRY GAO 16. Korea: increasing attention and new challenges 337 JAEMIN LEE 17. Indonesia: a judicial review system in dire need of restructuring 361 ERRY BUNDJAMIN Australia: judicial review with merits review 18. 379 STEPHEN GAGELER 19. Countries with insufficient judicial review activity 399 399 Japan: a system yet to be tested OSAMU UMEJIMA Malaysia: strictly off the record 405 EDMUND SIM New Zealand: managing to avoid judicial review 407 HUGH MCPHAIL

CONTENTS

ix

Thailand: a long road ahead 415 Apisith John Sutham, pattanan Kalawantavanich and Sakkapol Vachatimanont

20. Conclusions 423 MÜSLÜM YILMAZ

Index 433

CONTRIBUTORS

MAZHAR BANGASH Partner, Rizvi, Isa, Afridi & Angell Advocates and Corporate Counsellors, Islamabad, Pakistan

GUSTAV BRINK Extraordinary Lecturer in Mercantile Law, University of Pretoria and Research Associate, Trade Law Centre of Southern Africa

ERRY BUNDJAMIN Founding Partner, Bundjamin & Partners Law Offices, Jakarta, Indonesia

LUCIANA B. COSTA Senior Associate, Nasser Sociedade de Advogados, São Paulo, Brazil

MERCEDES DE ARTAZA Counsel, M. & M. Bomchil Abogados, Buenos Aires, Argentina

STEPHEN GAGELER Solicitor-General of Australia

HENRY GAO Professor of Law, Law School, Singapore Management University, Singapore

MADHURENDRA NATH JHA Advocate, Law Chambers of Madhurendra Nath Jha, New Delhi, India

PATTANAN KALAWANTAVANICH Associate, Apisith & Alliance Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand

CHRISTOPHER J. KENT Founding Partner, Cassidy Levy Kent (Canada) LLP

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

xi

FAIZULLAH КНІЦІ Former Head of the National Tariff Commission, Pakistan

ANDREW M. LANOUETTE Associate, Cassidy Levy Kent (Canada) LLP

JAEMIN LEE Professor of Law, Hanyang University Law School, Seoul, Republic of Korea

LUIS ALBERTO LEÓN Technical Secretary of the Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties Commission INDECOPI (National Institute for the Defence of Competition and the Protection of Intellectual Property), Peru

MARÍA CLARA LOZANO Counsel, Lozano & Abogados Derecho, Competencia & Globalización, Bogotá, Colombia

MICHELE D. LYNCH Senior Counsel for Litigation, Office of the Chief Counsel for Import Administration, US Department of Commerce

JOHN D. MCINERNEY Chief Counsel for Import Administration, US Department of Commerce

HUGH MCPHAIL Independent consultant, former head of New Zealand's trade remedies investigating authority, Wellington, New Zealand

MARÍA ANTONIETA MERINO Executive 1 (Legal Assistant) of the Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties Commission INDECOPI (National Institute for the Defence of Competition and the Protection of Intellectual Property), Peru

JORGE MIRANDA Principal International Trade Advisor, International Trade Group, King & Spalding LLP, Washington DC, USA

GILL NADEL Founding Partner, Gill Nadel Law Office, Israel

RABIHA. NASSER Founding Partner, Nasser Sociedade de Advogados, São Paulo, Brazil; Professor of International Trade Law, Fundação Getúlio Vargas Law School, São Paulo (Direito GV) xii

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

JUAN CARLOS PARTIDA Partner, Rubio Villegas y Asociados, Mexico City, Mexico

ARIE REICH Dean and Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, Bar-Ilan University, Israel

EDMUND SIM Partner, Appleton Luff, Singapore

JUHI SUD Counsel, VVGB Advocaten, Brussels, Belgium

арізітн јонм sutнам Partner, Apisith & Alliance Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand

OSAMU UMEJIMA Local partner, White & Case LLP, Tokyo Office, Japan

SAKKAPOL VACHATIMANONT Associate, Apisith & Alliance Ltd., Bangkok, Thailand

EDWIN VERMULST Founding Partner, VVGB Advocaten, Brussels, Belgium

MÜSLÜM YILMAZ Counsellor, World Trade Organization, Rules Division, Geneva, Switzerland

FOREWORD

The scope of rules that regulate international trade expanded significantly with the emergence of the present international trading system following the conclusion of the Uruguay Round of trade negotiations in 1995 that led to the creation of the World Trade Organization (WTO). The WTO is an organization that seeks to implement a rules-based, open trading system. A rules-based system is one that offers predictability in terms of the regulatory framework within which trade takes place. Maintaining a predictable trading environment in turn requires transparency and rule of law.

Within the WTO system, transparency is achieved mainly through the peer review of the notification obligations contained in the WTO Agreement and the rule of law through the dispute settlement mechanism. Since 1995 WTO dispute settlement has proved most helpful in resolving trade disputes between WTO members and thereby providing the security and predictability needed to ensure a well-functioning international trading system. This mechanism has received extensive attention in academia and in government circles.

However, in today's highly complex world, the WTO alone cannot tackle all the legal issues that arise from the implementation of its many different agreements, each dealing with a different aspect of international trade. There is a great deal that governments which are WTO members can do at the domestic level which may contribute to the common ultimate objective: creating as seamless and as predictable as possible an environment in which commercial entities can conduct their commerce. Thus, the involvement of domestic courts in the resolution of legal disputes affecting international trade is an important element of providing the ideal rules-based trading environment.

This book represents the first major attempt to shed light on judicial review at domestic level, a singularly important issue in connection with trade remedies that, despite its potential importance, has unfortunately

xiv

FOREWORD

received little notice so far. The book offers an in-depth analysis of how the domestic courts of 21 WTO members review the determinations of their own investigating authorities in trade remedy proceedings. The fact that roughly half of the disputes raised so far in the WTO concerned trade remedies underlines the importance of this study.

The results obtained in this research project, which are summarized in the overall conclusion, deserve the attention of all stakeholders in trade remedy proceedings. First, in terms of numbers, the book shows that there have been many more judicial review cases before domestic courts compared with the disputes raised at the WTO. Arguably, judicial review of trade remedy determinations by domestic courts could be potentially more significant than WTO dispute settlement. Second, the book identifies a number of common problems faced by many of the countries studied and suggests ways in which such problems may be addressed. The commonality of these problems across countries from different parts of the globe is notable, and underlines the need to take timely and appropriate steps to address these issues. As always, the WTO is ready to play the role that its members deem appropriate in addressing this particular aspect.

I believe that this book will fill an important gap in academic research on international trade law, besides meeting the needs of practitioners, and pave the way for further, more detailed, studies on judicial review at domestic level and its inter-linkages with WTO dispute settlement.

> Pascal Lamy Director-General World Trade Organization

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to many colleagues and friends who supported this major research project with their invaluable ideas and comments. First, I would like to offer my deepest thanks to the authors who agreed to participate. Without their efforts, this book would not have seen the light of day.

I have also benefited greatly from the ideas and support offered by many colleagues in the WTO Secretariat. Mark Koulen provided neverending intellectual support throughout this project, which contributed significantly to the quality of the outcome. Johann Human, Director of the Rules Division, provided comments that helped ensure that the book would prove useful to practitioners and academics specializing in trade remedies. Jesse Kreier helped to define the scope of the work at a formative stage. Graham Cook read through some of the draft texts and provided helpful comments.

Thanks are also due to Professor Hélène Ruiz Fabri for her encouragement at an early stage of the project. Anthony Martin and Keith Rockwell provided invaluable administrative support, and Nélida Varela was most helpful in ensuring a coherent textual style. Finola O'Sullivan and Kim Hughes of Cambridge University Press guided the project to its completion with professional competence.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Figen, and the two other crew members, Baran and Alp, who have endured my overexcitement about judicial review for such a long time. It is to them that I dedicate my work on this book.