

# Justice without Borders

The cosmopolitan idea of justice is commonly accused of not taking seriously the special ties and commitments of nationality and patriotism. This is because the ideal of impartial egalitarianism, which is central to the cosmopolitan view, seems to be directly opposed to the moral partiality inherent to nationalism and patriotism. In this book, Kok-Chor Tan argues that cosmopolitan justice, properly understood, can accommodate and appreciate nationalist and patriotic commitments, setting limits for these commitments without denying their moral significance. This book offers a defense of cosmopolitan justice against the charge that it denies the values that ordinarily matter to people, and a defense of nationalism and patriotism against the charge that these morally partial ideals are fundamentally inconsistent with the obligations of global justice. Accessible and persuasive, this book will have broad appeal to political theorists and moral philosophers.

KOK-CHOR TAN is an Assistant Professor of Philosophy at the University of Pennsylvania. He is the author of *Toleration*, *Diversity*, *and Global Justice* (2000), which was a joint runner-up for the 2003 Canadian Philosophical Association Book Prize.



## Contemporary Political Theory

Series Editor
Ian Shapiro

Editorial Board

Russell Hardin Stephen Holmes Jeffrey Isaac John Keane Elizabeth Kiss Susan Okin Phillipe Van Parijs Philip Pettit

As the twenty-first century begins, major new political challenges have arisen at the same time as some of the most enduring dilemmas of political association remain unresolved. The collapse of communism and the end of the Cold War reflect a victory for democratic and liberal values, yet in many of the Western countries that nurtured those values there are severe problems of urban decay, class and racial conflict, and failing political legitimacy. Enduring global injustice and inequality seem compounded by environmental problems, disease, the oppression of women and racial, ethnic and religious minorities, and the relentless growth of the world's population. In such circumstances, the need for creative thinking about the fundamentals of human political association is manifest. This new series in contemporary political theory is needed to foster such systematic normative reflection.

The series proceeds in the belief that the time is ripe for a reassertion of the importance of problem-driven political theory. It is concerned, that is, with works that are motivated by the impulse to understand, think critically about, and address the problems in the world, rather than issues that are thrown up primarily in academic debate. Books in the series may be interdisciplinary in character, ranging over issues conventionally dealt with in philosophy, law, history and the human sciences. The range of materials and the methods of proceeding should be dictated by the problem at hand, not the conventional debates or disciplinary divisions of academia.

Other books in the series Ian Shapiro and Casiano Hacker-Cordón (eds.) Democracy's Value

Ian Shapiro and Casiano Hacker-Cordón (eds.)

Democracy's Edges

Brooke A. Ackerly

Political Theory and Feminist Social Criticism

Clarissa Rile Hayward De-Facing Power

John Kane

The Politics of Moral Capital

Avelet Shachar

Multicultural Jurisdictions

John Keane

Global Civil Society?

Rogers M. Smith

Stories of Peoplehood

Gerry Mackie

Democracy Defended

John Keane

Violence and Democracy

Peter J. Steinberger

The Idea of the State



# Justice without Borders

Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism

Kok-Chor Tan





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

© Kok-Chor Tan 2004

http://www.cambridge.org

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Plantin 10/12 pt. System LATEX 2E [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data
Tan, Kok-Chor, 1964

Justice without Borders: Cosmopolitanism, Nationalism, and Patriotism / Kok-Chor Tan.

p. cm. – (Contemporary political theory)
Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0 521 83454 6 – ISBN 0 521 54232 4 (pb.)

1. Distributive justice. 2. Cosmopolitanism. 3. Nationalism.

I. Title. II. Series.

HB523.T26 2004

172'.2 – dc22 2004049662

ISBN 0 521 83454 6 hardback ISBN 0 521 54232 4 paperback



For Karen



# Contents

	Ртејасе	page 1X
	Acknowledgments	xi
1	Introduction	1
Part I	Cosmopolitanism	
2	The need for cosmopolitan justice	19
3	Conceptions of cosmopolitan justice: a survey	40
4	Liberalism and cosmopolitan justice	62
Part II	Nationalism	
5	Nationalism and cosmopolitanism	85
6	Equality among nations	107
Part II	I Patriotism	
7	The limits of patriotism	135
8	Citizenship and special obligations	163
9	Nationality and justice	180
10	Conclusion	198
	References Index	203 214
	110000	417

vii



## Preface

One central challenge to the cosmopolitan idea of distributive justice is that it is unable to accommodate and appreciate the special ties and commitments that characterize the lives of ordinary individuals and that are of value to them. Among these special ties and commitments that cosmopolitan justice seems to rule out are those associated with nationalism and patriotism. My aim in this book is to defend cosmopolitanism against this challenge. I will argue that, properly understood, cosmopolitan justice can allow for special concern and obligations on nationalistic and patriotic grounds, and that it can do so without forfeiting the cosmopolitan commitment to global egalitarianism. This work is, therefore, largely defensive, so to speak. But in clarifying the bounds of cosmopolitan justice in light of the special demands of nationalism and patriotism, I hope to present a distinctive understanding of the cosmopolitan idea of justice.

The literature on cosmopolitanism is rapidly growing. Yet this increasingly well-worked area remains rather untidy. Defenders of the cosmopolitan position themselves are not always clear or united on what their position is with respect to nationalism and patriotism. Although few, if indeed any, cosmopolitans would reject nationalist and patriotic practices altogether, some do give the impression that nationalist and patriotic commitments must be justified by reference to cosmopolitan goals, and that these partial commitments are morally defensible only if they serve the ends of cosmopolitan justice. Other cosmopolitans hold that cosmopolitanism can indeed accommodate patriotic and nationalist concerns because, so they argue, cosmopolitan justice does not require global equal concern for all persons. So one position takes a reductionist view of nationalism and patriotic concern, the other holds a revisionist view of cosmopolitan justice. These attempts to reconcile cosmopolitanism with nationalism and patriotism are unsatisfactory. The first is unsatisfactory to many proponents of nationalism and patriotism because it fails to fully appreciate the moral worth of these ideals. The second is unsatisfactory to those cosmopolitans who see a central motivation of cosmopolitan justice to be that of addressing the problem of global inequality. My aim in this



#### x Preface

work is to try to clear up some of these ambiguities and disagreements in the cosmopolitan position.

While this work is foremost a defense of cosmopolitan justice, it also provides a defense of patriotism and nationalism against a charge often raised against these ideals. This is the charge that patriotism and nationalism foster a certain narrow-mindedness and parochialism with regard to people's understanding of their moral obligations. My reconciliation of cosmopolitan justice with nationalism and patriotism, if successful, will dispel this concern. It will show that nationalism and patriotism are not necessarily at odds with taking the global point of view. In this regard, I think the arguments in this book will also be of interest to readers primarily interested in the topic of nationalism and patriotism.

Although this book is intended as a research monograph, I also want it to be accessible to students and scholars newly approaching the topic. To this end, chapters 2 and 3 provide background material to the cosmopolitan idea of justice, although they also set the stage for my arguments to come. Also I would like readers to find in other chapters useful, even if brief, introductions to topics such as Rawls and global justice (chapter 4), liberal nationalism (chapters 5 and 6), and patriotism (chapter 7). I hope I am able to achieve this dual aspiration of contributing to an important debate as well as introducing readers to it in the pages to follow.



# Acknowledgments

The bulk of this book was researched and written during the years 1999–2001 when I was a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Philosophy, Queen's University. I want first to thank Will Kymlicka, who supported my postdoctoral research from the very beginning, generously provided extensive comments on different drafts of this work, and offered friendly and helpful advice throughout the publication process. Numerous conversations with him and participation in his graduate seminars on nationalism and minority rights during my time at Queen's helped shape many of the central ideas in this book. My intellectual debt to Will is clear from the references alone. I also want to thank Idil Boran, Alistair Macleod, and Christine Sypnowich for many friendly discussions on topics covered in this work and on political philosophy in general. Thanks are also due to the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada and the Department of Philosophy, Queen's University, for supporting my research.

I completed the first draft while I was on a Faculty Fellowship at the Center for Ethics and the Professions, Harvard University (2001-2). I thank the participants in the weekly Fellows' Seminar for sharing their insights and knowledge on various topics in moral and political philosophy, some directly related to the work at hand, others less so but relevant nonetheless: Arthur Applbaum, Nomy Arpaly (who also offered kind encouragement and support through the year), David Brendel, Margo Schlanger, David Sussman, and Bob Truog. Thanks also to Simone Sandy and Allison Ruda for help with copy-editing and library research, to Jean McVeigh and her capable staff for unceasingly supporting the visiting Fellows in a myriad of ways, and to the affiliates and associates of the Center for granting me this unique research year. Most of all, thanks to Dennis Thompson, who not only chaired and contributed to our seminars, but also showed a personal interest in the work and progress of each of the Fellows (and continues to do so beyond their affiliation with the Center). Dennis's combination of intellectual rigor and personal generosity has helped make the Center a peerless place of research and learning.

хi



#### xii Acknowledgments

Final revisions were made while I was a lecturer in the University of Pennsylvania, and I am grateful to members of the Department of Philosophy (chaired by Scott Weinstein) and to the affiliates of the Philosophy, Politics, and Economics Program (directed by Samuel Freeman) for generously arranging teaching opportunities for me, and to the University of Pennsylvania for welcoming me into its community.

Two chapters of this book were discussed at the Ethics in Public Life (EPL) "Young Scholar" conference held at Cornell University. I am grateful to all participants of the conference for their support and for their interest in my work. I should mention by way of special thanks the following for their continuing interest: Chuck Beitz, Marilyn Friedman (both of whom provided written comments during the conference), Henry Shue, Dick Miller, and Michele Moody-Adams. They personify the generous spirit of mentorship that inspired the EPL Young Scholar program.

To the readers and editors at Cambridge University Press for the detailed and insightful comments I received on the proposal and the first draft, I express my thanks. I am especially grateful to Andrew Linklater, Thomas Pogge, and Ian Shapiro for their thoughtful comments and suggestions, and to John Haslam for his interest in the work and for expertly moving the project along. I should like to thank Frances Nugent for her expert and thoughtful copyediting of the manuscript.

Parts of this book have been presented at various conferences and meetings, including American Philosophical Association meetings (San Francisco 2001, Minneapolis 2001, and Philadelphia 2002) and Canadian Philosophical Association meetings (Edmonton 1999, Halifax 2003), as well as at different institutions. I thank those who commented on my work in these sessions, fellow presenters, and members of the audience for their contribution.

For their written comments on parts of the manuscript and/or helpful discussions on various occasions, I thank (at the risk of leaving out some names): David Brown, Gillian Brock, Deen Chatterjee, Anna Cremaldi, David Dyzenhaus, Samuel Freeman, Michael Green, Charles Jones, Erin Kelly, Mika Levaque-Manty, Catherine Lu, Darrel Moellendorf, Mark Navin, Matthew Price, David Reidy, and Scott Woodcock.

But my greatest debt is to Karen Detlefsen, who discussed the work with me from beginning to end, read various chapters in their draft form, and provided much needed encouragement throughout. More importantly, her presence not only made the writing of a philosophy book less lonely than it otherwise would have been, but is also a constant reminder of the other important things in life. This book would not have been written if it was not for her for a variety of reasons, and it is to her that I dedicate it.



### Acknowledgments

xiii

Chapter 4 is a revised version of "Critical Notice of Rawls, *The Law of Peoples*," *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 31/1 (2001): 113–32.

Chapter 5 draws on arguments first presented in "Liberal Nationalism and Cosmopolitan Justice," *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice* 5/4 (2002): 431–61, Kluwer Academic Publishers ©.

Part III of the book relies and expands on arguments presented in "The Limits of Patriotism," forthcoming in a special volume of the *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, and "Patriotic Obligations," *Monist* 86/3 (2003): 434–53.

I am grateful to these journals and their respective publishers for permitting me to reproduce the arguments in these works here.