

## Slavery and the Enlightenment in the British Atlantic, 1750–1807

This book examines the daily details of slave work routines and plantation agriculture in the eighteenth-century British Atlantic, focusing on case studies of large plantations in Barbados, Jamaica, and Virginia. Work was the most important factor in the slaves' experience of the institution. Slaves' day-to-day work routines were shaped by plantation management strategies that drew on broader pan-Atlantic intellectual and cultural principles. Although scholars often associate the late eighteenth-century Enlightenment with the rise of notions of liberty and human rights and the dismantling of slavery, this book explores the dark side of the Enlightenment for plantation slaves. Many planters increased their slaves' workloads and employed supervisory technologies to increase labor discipline in ways that were consistent with the process of industrialization in Europe. British planters offered alternative visions of progress by embracing restrictions on freedom and seeing increasing labor discipline as central to the project of moral and economic improvement.

Justin Roberts is an assistant professor at Dalhousie University in Halifax, Nova Scotia, where he specializes in the study of slavery and the Atlantic World. He is the recipient of multiple fellowships, including awards from the Huntington Library, John D. Rockefeller Library, John Carter Brown Library, Virginia Historical Society, Library Company of Philadelphia, and the Robert H. Smith International Center for Jefferson Studies. Professor Roberts has published articles on slavery in the William and Mary Quarterly, Slavery and Abolition, and Historical Geography. He received his Ph.D. from Johns Hopkins University.





# Slavery and the Enlightenment in the British Atlantic, 1750–1807

**JUSTIN ROBERTS** 

Dalhousie University, Nova Scotia





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107025851

© Justin Roberts 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Roberts, Justin, 1975–
Slavery and the Enlightenment in the British Atlantic, 1750–1807 / Justin Roberts,
Dalhousie University, Nova Scotia.

pages cm Includes bibliographical references. ISBN 978-1-107-02585-1 (hardback)

Slavery – Great Britain – Colonies – History – 18th century.
 Slavery – Atlantic Ocean
 Region – History – 18th century.
 Slaves – Great Britain – Colonies – History – 18th
 century.
 Enlightenment – Great Britain – Colonies.
 Title.

HTI165.R63 2014 306.3'62094109033-dc23 2012043740

ISBN 978-1-107-02585-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For my father





#### **Contents**

Lis	t of tables	page viii
Lis	t of figures	X
Ac	knowledgments	xiii
Int	roduction	I
I.	Clock Work: Time, Quantification, Amelioration, and the Enlightenment	26
2.	Sunup to Sundown: Agricultural Diversity and Seasonal Patterns of Work	80
3.	Lockstep and Line: Gang Work and the Division of Labor	131
4.	Negotiating Sickness: Health, Work, and Seasonality	161
5.	Labor and Industry: Skilled and Unskilled Work	202
6.	Working Lives: Occupations and Families in the Slave	
	Community	238
Co	Conclusion	
Аp	Appendix A	
Аp	Appendix B	
Bibliography		323
Index		345



#### **Tables**

Aı.	Most-Labor-Intensive Tasks Performed by Field Workers	
		age 297
A2.	Most-Labor-Intensive Tasks Performed by Field Workers	0 //
	Each Month on Jamaican Plantations, Part 1	298
A3.	Most-Labor-Intensive Tasks Performed by Field Workers	
,	Each Month on Jamaican Plantations, Part 2	299
A4.	Most-Labor-Intensive Tasks Performed by Field Workers	
	Each Month of the Year at Mount Vernon (Combined	
	Totals), 1797, Part 1	300
A5.	Most-Labor-Intensive Tasks Performed by Field Workers	-
	Each Month of the Year at Mount Vernon (Combined	
	Totals), 1797, Part 2	301
A6.	Average Number of Annual Working and Nonworking	
	Days per Field Worker at Mount Vernon, 1797	302
A7.	Average Number of Annual Working and Nonworking	
	Days per Slave at Newton and Seawell from 1796 to 1798	303
A8.	Average Number of Annual Working and Nonworking	
	Days per Slave at Prospect in 1787 and 1791	304
A9.	Labor Activities of Field Workers on the Outlying Mount	
	Vernon Farms in 1797, Part 1	305
A10.	Labor Activities of Field Workers on the Outlying Mount	
	Vernon Farms in 1797, Part 2	306
AII.	Labor Activities of Field Workers at Prospect Estate in 1785	307
A12.	Total Labor Activities of First Two Gangs at Prospect in	
	1787 and 1791, Part 1	308
A13.	Total Labor Activities of First Two Gangs at Prospect in	
	1787 and 1791, Part 2	309

viii



	List of tables	ix
A14.	Labor Activities of First Two Gangs at Newton, 1796-1798,	
	Part 1	310
A15.	Labor Activities of First Two Gangs at Newton, 1796–1798,	
	Part 2	311
A16.	Labor Activities of First Two Gangs at Seawell, 1796–1797,	
	Part 1	312
A17.	Labor Activities of First Two Gangs at Seawell, 1796–1797,	
	Part 2	313
A18.	Frequency Distribution of Sick Days for Newton Field	
	Workers, 1796–1797	314
A19.	Frequency Distribution of Sick Days for Mount Vernon	
	Field Workers, 1797	314
A20.	Labor Activities of Ditchers at Mount Vernon in 1797	315
A21.	Labor Activities of Spinners and Knitters at Mount Vernon,	
	1797	315
A22.	Combined Work Days of Craftsmen at Newton and Seawell,	
	1796-1798	316
A23.	Carpenter Activities at Mount Vernon in 1797	317
A24.	Labor Activities of Coopers at Mount Vernon in 1797	318
A25.	Labor Activities of Masons (Brick Layers) at Mount Vernon,	
	1797	319
A26. A27.	Duration of Absences at Newton and Seawell, 1796–1798 Number of Slaves Away during Each Absence at Newton	320
	and Seawell, 1796–1798	321



### **Figures**

I.I.	Work log entry, Somerset Vale, October 13 to	
	November 9, 1776.	page 62
1.2.	Work log entry, Seawell, May 3, 1796.	63
1.3.	Work log entry, Newton, July 4, 1798.	64
1.4.	Work log entry, Mount Vernon, August 4–10, 1793.	65
1.5.	Work log entry, Prospect, February 23–28, 1789.	66
2.1.	Average number of days worked each year by field hands a	ıt
	Prospect (1787 and 1791), Mount Vernon (1797), Newto	
	(1796–1797), and Seawell (1796–1797).	84
2.2.	Annual number of hours worked per field hand at Newton	ı,
	Prospect, and Mount Vernon.	86
2.3.	Average number of hours worked each month by field hand	ls
-	at Prospect, Mount Vernon, and Newton.	88
2.4.	Seasonal wheat and corn labor allocation at Mount Vernor	ı. 93
2.5.	Seasonal cash crop labor allocation at Prospect (1787 and	
	1791 combined).	94
2.6.	Percentage of first-gang labors spent on cash crops each	
	month at Newton and Seawell, 1796–1798.	96
2.7.	Share of total revenue from cotton at Seawell, 1788–1801	. 100
2.8.	Cash crop production as a percentage of total work days.	104
2.9.	Number of days each month the primary mill was operatin	g
	at Newton and Seawell (Barbados) in 1796-1798.	121
2.10.	Number of days each month the primary mill was operatin	g
	at Pleasant Hill in 1786 and 1789-1790 and at Somerset	_
	Vale in 1776–1777.	122
2.11.	Number of days each month the primary mill was operatin	g
	at Prospect (Jamaica) in 1787 and 1791.	122



	List of figures	xi
2.12.	Average daily production totals from the York Plantation	
	boiling house (Jamaica) for the crop of 1791.	124
2.13.	Days of the week in which the Newton Mill was in operation for the crop of 1798.	T 2.5
2.14.	Total number of cash crop harvesting days each year in	125
<del>-</del> .14.	Barbados, Jamaica, and Virginia.	128
4.1.	Monthly sickness rates for field hands at Newton and	120
1	Seawell, 1796–1798.	173
4.2.	Monthly sickness rates for Prospect slaves, 1787.	174
4.3.	Seasonal mortality rates on Caribbean sugar plantations,	
	1779–1809.	176
4.4.	Seasonal mortality by sex on Jamaican plantations,	
	1779–1798.	177
4.5.	Monthly sickness rates for Mount Vernon field hands,	0
	1797–1798.	178
4.6.	Seasonal birth rates on Jamaican sugar plantations, 1779–1809.	185
4.7.	Average annual sick days by age category among first-gang	103
4./.	slaves at Newton, 1796–1797.	188
4.8.	Average annual sick days by age category among field hands	
•	at Mount Vernon, 1797.	189
5.1.	Labor activities of all Newton and Seawell tradesmen,	
	1796–1798.	225
5.2.	Labor activities of all Mount Vernon tradesmen, 1797.	226
6.1.	Day of the work week on which absences occurred.	267
6.2.	Total days of absence at Newton and Seawell, 1796–1798.	268





#### Acknowledgments

This book, which was born of a dissertation I wrote at Johns Hopkins University, would not have been possible without the guidance and support of a broad community of scholars, friends, and family. I owe more debts of gratitude than I can ever adequately repay or even acknowledge. Philip D. Morgan, my doctoral adviser, deserves my greatest thanks for his remarkable generosity with his time, his constant guidance through the labyrinth that is the early career of a professional historian, and his insistence on deep empirical research. David Eltis set me down the path to becoming a historian, and this book's themes have been powerfully shaped by my memory of his graduate seminar at Queen's University. Phil and David will be role models throughout my career. Many colleagues have offered me the friendship and the intellectual stimulation to help see me through this project. I cannot thank them enough for their careful and constructive criticism and their thorough reading of chapter drafts. I owe the most to Lorena Walsh, Ashleigh Androsoff, Eric Otremba, Peter Coclanis, Michael P. Johnson, Eric Crahan, and the late John Russell-Wood. They all read the entire manuscript at least once and gave me the encouragement and suggestions I needed to keep improving this book. I'd also like to thank, in no particular order, Jerry Bannister, Paddy Riley, Jack Crowley, Simon Newman, Trevor Burnard, Max Edelson, Daniel Hopkins, Claire Gherini, Jessica Roney, Jessica Stern, Taylor Stoermer, Ian Beamish, James Roberts, Molly Warsh, Melissa Grafe, Eran Shalev, Jack P. Greene, David Schley, Toby Ditz, Greg O'Malley, Kate Murphy, Catherine Molineux, Jonathan Gienapp, Cole Jones, Stephanie Gamble, Steffi Cerato, Joseph Adelman, Craig Hollander, Katie Gray, Leonard Rosenband, Jerome Handler, Michael Smith, and Anya Zilberstein for their helpful insights and support with portions of this work at its various stages.

xiii



xiv

#### Acknowledgments

This project required extensive research in archives in the United States, Caribbean, and United Kingdom, and such research would never have been possible without monetary support from several institutions, including an Andrew W. Mellon Fellowship at the Huntington Library, a Gilder-Lehrman Fellowship at the Robert H. Smith International Center for Jefferson Studies, a scholarship from the National Society of the Colonial Dames of America, a Center for New World Comparative Studies Fellowship at the John Carter Brown Library, a Gilder-Lehrman Fellowship at the John D. Rockefeller Jr. Library, a Betty Sams Christian Fellowship in Business History at the Virginia Historical Society, a Program in Early American Economy and Society Fellowship at the Library Company of Philadelphia, an Albert J. Beveridge Grant from the American Historical Association, and a doctoral award from the Canadian Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council.

An early version of this project was published in the William and Mary Quarterly as "Working between the Lines: Labor and Agriculture on Two Barbadian Sugar Plantations, 1796–97" (see William and Mary Quarterly, 63.3 [July 2006]: 551–586). That article has been adapted and revised, but fragments of it still appear in several chapters. I would like to thank the editors of the Quarterly for granting me permission to incorporate it into this book.