

The Supreme Court in the American Legal System

This book examines the American legal system, including a comprehensive treatment of the U.S. Supreme Court. Despite this treatment, the *in* of the title deserves emphasis, for the authors extensively examine lower courts, providing separate chapters on state courts, the U.S. District Courts, and the U.S. Courts of Appeals. The book analyzes these courts from a legal/extralegal framework, drawing different conclusions about the relative influence of each based on institutional structures and empirical evidence. The book is also tied together through its attention to the relationship between lower courts and the Supreme Court. Additionally, Election 2000 litigation provides a common substantive topic linking many of the chapters. Finally, it provides extended coverage of the legal process, with separate chapters on civil procedure, evidence, and criminal procedure.

Although this volume contains original research, such research is presented at a level that does not require methodological sophistication. Furthermore, all data used for the authors' original research, and all commands to run the analyses, are provided on the book's Web site.

Jeffrey A. Segal is Distinguished Professor of Political Science at the State University of New York at Stony Brook. He received his Ph.D. in 1983 from Michigan State University. He is the coauthor of six books, including, most recently, *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited* (Cambridge University Press, 2002), with Harold J. Spaeth. He is also the author of *Majority Rule or Minority Will* (Cambridge University Press, 1999), with Harold J. Spaeth, which won the C. Herman Pritchett Award for best book in law and courts. Segal has also published dozens of scholarly articles, including "Predicting Supreme Court Cases Probabilistically: The Search and Seizure Cases, 1962–1981," which won the Wadsworth Award for a book or article ten or more years old that has made a lasting impression on the field of law and courts.

Harold J. Spaeth is Research Professor of Law and Emeritus Professor of Political Science at Michigan State University. He received his Ph.D. from the University of Cincinnati and his J.D. from the University of Michigan. He is the author or coauthor of sixteen books, including Stare Indecisis: The Alteration of Precedent on the Supreme Court, 1946–1992 (Cambridge University Press, 1995), with Saul Brenner; The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited (Cambridge University Press, 2002), with Jeffrey A. Segal; and Majority Rule or Minority Will (Cambridge University Press, 1999), with Jeffrey A. Segal. He is the recipient of a Lifetime Achievement Award from the Law and Courts section of the American Political Science Association and serves as Principal Investigator of the United States Supreme Court Judicial Databases.

Sara C. Benesh is an assistant professor of political science at the University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee. She previously taught in the Department of Political Science at the University of New Orleans and was awarded a grant for research from the National Science Foundation. She is the author of *The U.S. Courts of Appeals and the Law of Confessions: Perspectives on the Hierarchy of Justice* (2002).



To my coauthor, mentor, and friend, Harold J. Spaeth
-SB

To Lois Kass Kleinberg and the ever-loving memory of Cindy Kass April –JS

For my grandchildren, Sean Thomas and Samantha Rose Kelly
-HS



The Supreme Court in the American Legal System

JEFFREY A. SEGAL

State University of New York at Stony Brook

HAROLD J. SPAETH

Michigan State University

SARA C. BENESH

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press 40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521780384

© Jeffrey A. Segal, Harold J. Spaeth, Sara C. Benesh 2005

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Segal, Jeffrey Allan.

The Supreme Court in the American legal system / Jeffrey A. Segal, Harold J. Spaeth, Sara C. Benesh.

p. cm.

ISBN 0-521-78038-1 (hardback) – ISBN 0-521-78508-1 (pbk.)

1. United States. Supreme Court. 2. Courts – United States. 3. Judicial process – United States. I. Spaeth, Harold J. II. Benesh, Sara Catherine. III. Title.

KF8742.S433 2005

347.73'26-dc22

2004019022

ISBN-13 978-0-521-78038-4 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-78038-1 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-78508-2 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-78508-1 paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2006

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

List of Illustrations	page viii
List of Tables	X
Preface	xiii
I. INTRODUCTION	
1 Judicial Policy Making Policy Making The Mythology of Judging Summary and Conclusions	3 4 16 17
2 Approaches to Judicial Decision Making Models The Legal Approach Extralegal or Policy-Based Approaches Summary and Conclusions	19 20 22 34 39
3 The Supreme Court in American Legal History Before the Constitution The New Constitution The Marshall Court The Civil War Era Economic Regulation Changes in the State Courts The New Deal Supreme Court Supervision of State Courts First Amendment Freedoms Criminal Procedure Equal Protection The Right to Privacy The Supreme Court and the Distribution of Power Summary and Conclusions	41 43 44 47 51 53 54 55 57 59 60 62 64 70



vi		Contents
11.	JUDICIAL PROCESS	
4	Civil Procedure	75
	The Adversary System	76
	Jurisdiction	80
	Pleadings	85
	Discovery	88
	Trial Procedure	89
	Multiparty and Multiclaim Litigation	94
	Summary and Conclusions	96
.5	Evidence	97
,	The Genesis of Evidence Law	97
	Relevance	98
	Hearsay	103
	Circumstantial Evidence	105
	Constitutional Provisions Impacting the Production	****
	of Evidence	106
	Privileges	108
	Expert Testimony	112
	Eyewitness Testimony	115
	Summary and Conclusions	117
6	Criminal Procedure	119
U	Crime	121
	Prearrest Investigations	124
	From Arrest to Trial	128
	Trial	133
	Appeals	140
	Conclusions	141
III.	LOWER COURTS IN THE AMERICAN LEGAL SYSTEM	
7	State Courts	147
	The State Courts	148
	The Selection of State Court Judges	149
	Accessing State Courts	160
	Caseload	171
	State Court Decision Making	172
	Summary and Conclusions	185
8	The U.S. District Courts	187
Ů	Origins	190
	Growth	190
	Appointment Process	191
	Jurisdiction	194
	Caseload	196
	Procedures	199
	Decision Making	200
	Conclusion	211



Contents	
9 The U.S. Courts of Appeals The U.S. Courts of Appeals Origins Growth Appointment Process Jurisdiction Caseload Procedures Decision Making Conclusion	213 215 216 217 218 220 221 226 230 241
IV. THE SUPREME COURT	
10 Staffing the Court Presidential Selection Senate Confirmation Summary and Conclusions	245 248 252 273
11 Getting into Court Case Selection The Supreme Court's Caseload Which Cases for Decision? Future Changes? Summary and Conclusions	275 275 281 285 296 297
12 Supreme Court Decision Making Process The (Final) Vote on the Merits: Legal Approaches The Decision on the Merits: Extralegal Approach Conclusions	
13 Opinions and Assignments Voting and Opinion Options Opinion Assignment Opinion Assignments and Opinion Coalitions The Politics of Coalition Formation Who Influences Whom? Summary and Conclusions	332 337 348 349 354 358
V. IMPACT 14 The Impact of Judicial Decisions The Impact of Courts in America A Framework for Understanding Impact Compliance Impact The Courts and Public Opinion Summary and Conclusions	363 364 365 370 380 388 389
Case Index	393
General Index	398



Illustrations

6.1	Criminal Case Processing in the United States	page 142
7.1	Grant Rate for Petitioners in Texas Supreme Court,	
	1994–1998, by Petitioner Campaign Donations	156
7.2	Three Examples of State Court Organization	162
8.1	Types of Civil Cases and Their Resolution in the U.S. District	
	Courts, 2002	195
8.2	Caseload of the U.S. District Courts	196
8.3	Percentage Liberal Decisions by Party Identification, U.S.	
	District Courts, 1933–1987	202
8.4	Percentage Liberal Decisions by Region, U.S. District Courts,	
	1933–1987	203
9.1	Sources of Appeals in the Circuit Courts, September 2002	221
9.2	Caseload of the Circuit Courts of Appeals (Cases Filed)	222
9.3	Types of Cases Terminated in the Courts of Appeals,	
	September 2001–September 2002	223
9.4a	Types of Opinions or Orders Filed,	
	September 2001–September 2002	224
9.4b	Percentage Unpublished Decisions by Circuit,	
	September 2001–September 2002	225
9.5	Total Case Participations on the Circuit Courts by Judge Type	e 227
9.6	Dissensus in the Appeals Courts: Percentage of Cases with	
	Dissenting Votes	236
9.7	Percentage Liberal Decisions in Criminal Procedure Cases	237
9.8	Percentage Liberal Decisions in Civil Liberties Cases	237
10.1	Dilbert: Dogbert's Supreme Court Nomination Hearings	253
10.2	Proportion Liberal in Civil Liberties during Presidential	
	Regimes	272
10.3	Liberalism of Outgoing and Incoming Justices, by Presidentia	1
	Regime	273
11.1	Cases Filed, 1880–2000	282
	•	

viii



Illust	rations	ix
12.1	Scatterplot of Justices' Ideology and Voting Liberalism in	
	Criminal Cases	321
12.2	Scatterplot of Justices' Ideology and Voting Liberalism	
	in All Cases	322
12.3	A Conceptual Model of the Influence of Public Opinion on	
	Supreme Court Decisions	327
13.1	Dyadic Influence Matrix, Rehnquist Court, 1986–2001 Terms	357
14.1	Pretest–Post-test Possibilities	382
14.2	Number of Abortions by Year	387



Tables

2.1	Hypothetical Choices of Three Legislators among	
	Three Alternatives	page 29
6.1	The Selective Incorporation of Criminal Rights Provisions	
	in the Bill of Rights	121
6.2	Role of Deliberations in Verdicts	136
6.3	Judge/Jury Verdict Concordance	136
6.4	Regression Analysis of Sentencing Decisions in Pennsylvania	138
7.1	Selection and Retention in the States	150
7.2	Voting on Confessions by Method of Retention, 1970–1991	159
7.3	Voting on Confessions by Docket Control, 1970–1991	166
7.4	Caseload of State Courts, 2001 (in millions)	172
7.5	Voting on Confessions by Ideology	172
8.1	Authorized Judgeships in the District Courts	192
8.2	Types of Criminal Cases and Court Action in the U.S. District	
	Courts, 2002	198
8.3	U.S. Sentencing Commission Sentencing Table	208
8.4	Determinants of Sentencing in U.S. District Courts, 2001	
	(in months)	209
9.1	Circuit Judgeships	215
9.2	Circuit Assignments of the Supreme Court Justices Effective	
	September 30, 1994	218
9.3	Voting in Criminal Cases Sample, 1960–1996	238
9.4	Voting in Civil Rights Cases Sample, 1960–1996	238
9.5	Voting in Criminal Cases Accompanied by Dissent Sample,	
	1960–1996	238
9.6	Voting in Civil Rights Cases Accompanied by Dissent Sample,	
	1960–1996	239
9.7	Voting in Criminal Cases by Gender and Party Sample,	
	1960–1996	239

х



Tables		xi
9.8	Voting in Civil Rights Cases by Gender and Party Sample, 1960–1996	240
9.9	Voting in Criminal Cases by Race and Party Sample,	240
7.7	1960–1996	241
9.10	Voting in Civil Rights Cases by Race and Party Sample,	- 12
	1960–1996	242
10.1	Rejected Supreme Court Nominees	254
10.2	Nominee Margin, Vote Status, Ideology, and Qualifications	264
10.3	Confirmation Voting by Nominee Qualifications	266
10.4	Confirmation Voting by Ideological Distance	266
10.5	Confirmation Voting by Qualifications and Distance:	
	Percentage Pro	267
10.6	Confirmation Voting by Presidential Status	267
10.7	Confirmation Voting by Presidential Partisanship	268
10.8	Confirmation Voting by Presidential Approval	268
10.9	Confirmation Voting by Interest Group Opposition	269
11.1	Nonmeritorious Resolution of Orally Argued Cases,	
	1953–2003 Terms	279
11.2	Case Selection by Issue Area Controlled for Court	284
11.3	Grant Rate Percentage by Desire to Affirm or Reverse in the	
	Burger Court, 1969–1986	286
11.4	Grant Rate Percentage by Winning or Losing on the Merits in	
	the Burger Court, 1969–1986	288
11.5	Effect of Predicted Success on the Merits on Granting	
	Certiorari (gamma coefficients) Contingent on Affirming or	
	Reversing in the Burger Court, 1969–1986	289
11.6	Affirmation and Reversal by Court	290
11.7	Reversal Rate Percentage by Term	291
12.1	The Impact of Precedent versus Preferences on the O'Connor,	242
	Kennedy, and Souter Opinion in Casey	312
12.2	Justices' Precedential Behavior by Case Type	314
12.3	Justices' Votes on Overturning Precedents	317
12.4	Justices' Ideology, Liberalism in Criminal Cases, and	220
121	Liberalism in All Cases, 1953–2003 Terms	320
13.1	Behavioral Options Exercised by the Rehnquist Court Justices,	226
122	1986–2003 Terms	336
13.2	Opinion Assignment in the Vinson Court	339
13.3	Opinion Assignment in the Warren Court	339
13.4	Opinion Assignment in the Burger Court	340
13.5	Opinion Assignment in the Rehnquist Court,	240
12 /	1986–1990 Terms	340
13.6	Deviation of Assignments per Assignment Day from	244
	Distributional Equality by Term	344



xii		Tables
13.7	Cumulative Number of Deviations from the Most Equal	
	Distribution of Assignments per Assignment Day per Justice	
	per Term	347
13.8	Interagreement in Special Opinions, 1986–2001 Terms	352
	Frequency of Special Opinions as a Proportion of Votes Cast	353
	Dyadic Influence Matrix, 1986–2001 Terms	355
14.1	Confessions Upheld in the Courts of Appeals before and	
	after Miranda	372
14.2	Confessions Upheld in the Courts of Appeals during and after	
	the Warren Court	372
14.3	Confessions Upheld in the Courts of Appeals by Partisanship	
	of Panel Majority	373
14.4	Confessions Upheld in the Courts of Appeals by Lower	
	Court Disposition	373



Preface

In planning work subsequent to *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model* (1993), we determined that the best course would be to update it initially with a focus on game theory and multivariate analyses appropriate for a professional and graduate student readership. The result was *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited*, which Cambridge University Press published in 2002. The next step, we decided, was to write a separate (but related) volume, less methodologically oriented, more broadly focused, and thus more suitable for undergraduate classes and a more general, less professional audience. Thus, *The Supreme Court in the American Legal System*.

The spotlight of *The Supreme Court and the Attitudinal Model Revisited* obviously remained on the Supreme Court, to the nearly complete exclusion of other courts. Moreover, as those familiar with the book well know, the book focused extensively on the Supreme Court's decision on the merits, carefully comparing, theoretically and empirically, legal, attitudinal, and rational choice models. Alternatively, although *The Supreme Court in the American Legal System* also centers on the Supreme Court, the *in* of the title deserves emphasis. In this volume, we extensively examine the lower courts, providing separate chapters on state courts, the U.S. District Courts, and the U.S. Courts of Appeals. Needless to say, we pay special attention to the relationship between these courts and the Supreme Court. We also pay extended attention to the legal process, with separate chapters on civil procedure, evidence, and criminal procedure.

Although we continue to provide original research, we present such research at a level that does not require methodological sophistication. Usually we present our results without multivariate analyses, but only when alternative analyses demonstrate the reliability of the bivariate results. In one instance, that is impossible: We require multivariate regression analysis to test hypotheses about judges' sentencing decisions, but the results are presented in a readily understandable manner. Furthermore, we provide all data used for our

xiii



xiv Preface

original research, and all commands to run the analyses, on the book's Web site: http://www.cambridge.org/9780521780384.

The data for this book were gathered from a series of grants separately provided to each of us by the National Science Foundation (NSF). Without the NSF's support neither this book nor its two predecessors could have been written. Needless to say, we are deeply grateful and indebted to the NSF for its support.

State University of New York at Stony Brook provided Segal with sabbatical leave toward the end of this project, during which time he was a visiting scholar at the Hauser Global Law School program at New York University School of Law. He thanks both institutions for their support.

We thank Professor Bradley Canon for comments on Chapter 14. We also thank Scott Graves and Chad Westerland for expert research assistance and Armin Gharagozlou for superb editorial assistance. Susan Thornton expertly imbued the manuscript with the grammatical, syntactical, and stylistic niceties that our prose so often lacks. Helen Wheeler, our production editor, efficiently shepherded the transformation of the manuscript from typescript to book.