Frontiers in Statistical Quality Control 10

Frontiers in Statistical Quality Control 10

Bearbeitet von Hans-Joachim Lenz, Wolfgang Schmid, Peter-Th. Wilrich

1. Auflage 2012. Taschenbuch. xviii, 416 S. Paperback ISBN 978 3 7908 2845 0 Format (B x L): 15,5 x 23,5 cm Gewicht: 664 g

<u>Weitere Fachgebiete > Mathematik > Stochastik > Mathematische Statistik</u>

Zu Inhaltsverzeichnis

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei



Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung beck-shop.de ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

On the Robustness of the Shewhart Control Chart to Different Types of Dependencies in Data

Olgierd Hryniewicz

Abstract Shewhart control charts were originally designed under the assumption of independence of consecutive observations. In the presence of dependence the authors usually assume dependencies in the form of autocorrelated and normally distributed data. However, there exist many other types of dependencies which are described by other mathematical models. The question arises then, how classical control charts are robust to different types of dependencies. This problem has been sufficiently well discussed for the case of autocorrelated and normal data. In the paper we use the concept of copulas to model dependencies of other types. We use Monte Carlo simulation experiments to investigate the impact of type and strength of dependence in data on the value of the ARL of Shewhart control charts.

Keywords Shewhart control charts • Correlated data • Copulas • ARL

1 Introduction

Statistical process control (SPC) is a collection of statistical methods used by thousands of practitioners who are striving to achieve continuous improvement in quality. This objective is accomplished by continuous monitoring of the process under study in order to quickly detect the occurrence of assignable causes. The Shewhart \bar{X} control chart, known for more than 80 years, is the most popular SPC method used to detect whether observed process is under control. Its classical and internationally standardized version is designed under the assumption that process measurements are described by independent and identically distributed random variables. In the majority of practical cases these assumptions are fulfilled at

O. Hryniewicz (⊠)

Systems Research Institute, Newelska 6, 01-447 Warsaw, Poland e-mail: hryniewi@ibspan.waw.pl

H.-J. Lenz et al. (eds.), *Frontiers in Statistical Quality Control 10*, Frontiers in Statistical Quality Control 10, DOI 10.1007/978-3-7908-2846-7_2, © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

least approximately. However, there exist production processes where consecutive observations are obviously correlated, e.g. in case of certain continuous production processes. The presence of correlations between consecutive measurements should be taken into account during the design of control charts. This need was already noticed in the 1970s, see e.g. the papers by Johnson and Bagshaw (1974) and by Vasilopoulos and Stamboulis (1978), but the problem was widely discussed in papers published in the late 1980s and in the 1990s.

One of the visible effects of autocorrelation in observed process data is the significant difference between statistical properties of control charts designed for independent and dependent data. There exist several approaches for dealing with this problem. First approach, historically the oldest one, consists in dealing with original data and adjusting control limits of classical control charts. This approach was used, for example, in papers by Reynolds Jr. and co-authors (Lu and Reynolds, 1999a,b, 2001; VanBrackle and Reynolds, 1997; Schmid, 1995, 1997; Vasilopoulos and Stamboulis, 1978; Zhang, 1998). Other approaches are based on the concept of residuals (see the papers by Alwan and Roberts (1988) or by Montgomery and Mastrangelo (1991)) or on monitoring statistics related to autocorrelations (see the papers by Yourstone and Montgomery (1991) or by Jiang et al. (2000)). There also exist more sophisticated methods for dealing with SPC autocorrelated data. An overview of SPC methods used for autocorrelated data can be found in papers by Wardell et al. (1994), Lu and Reynolds (1999a) and Knoth et al. (2001).

While dealing with correlated data we cannot rely, even in the case of classical control charts, on the methods used for the estimation of their parameters in case independent observations. Some corrections are necessary, as it was mentioned e.g. in the paper by Maragah and Woodall (1992). Another problem with the application of the procedures designed to control autocorrelated data is the knowledge of the structure of correlation. In the majority of papers it is assumed that the type of a stochastic process that describes the process data is known. Moreover, it is also assumed that the parameters of this stochastic process are also known. However, Lu and Reynolds (1999a, 2001) have shown that precise estimation of such parameters requires at least hundreds of observations.

All these problems, noticed by many authors, make the SPC with dependent data very difficult, especially for not well-trained in statistics practitioners who need efficient tools to discriminate between complicated problems with dependent data and relatively simple problems when observed data are independent. This problem was considered in the paper by Hryniewicz and Szediw (2010) who proposed a relatively simple and efficient nonparametric tool, named by them the Kendall control chart, for testing hypotheses about independence of SPC data. While discussing the properties of this tool they noticed that the type of existing dependence plays a crucial role. In this paper we continue the work along that line by analyzing the properties of Shewhart control charts when data are generated by different variants of a simple autoregression model. The mathematical model that describes serial dependence between consecutive observations of a process in terms of copulas is described in the second section of the paper. In the third section we

present the results of Monte Carlo simulation experiments which show very strong dependence of statistical properties of control charts upon the type and the strngth of dependence. Conclusions derived from these results are presented in the last section of the paper.

2 Mathematical Models of Dependence Between Consecutive Observations on a Control Chart

Mathematical models used for the description of dependent random variables are well known for many years. In the simplest two-dimensional case we are interested in the description of dependence between two random variables X and Y having marginal distributions described by cumulative probability functions F(x) and G(y), respectively. In the context of the considered in this paper time-dependent observations we can, in the simplest case, set $X = X_t$ and $Y = X_{t+1}$, where $X_t, t = 1, 2, ...$ is the time series representing consecutive observations of the process under consideration. In his fundamental work Sklar (1959) showed that for a two-dimensional probability distribution function H(X, Y) with marginal distribution functions F(X) and G(Y) there exists a copula C such that H(x, y) =C(F(x), G(y)). This result has been later extended to the case of multivariate probability distributions. For more information about copulas the reader should refer e.g. to the book by Nelsen (2006).

All well known multivariate probability distributions, the multivariate normal distribution included, can be generated by parametric families C_{α} of copulas, where real- or vector-valued parameter α describes the strength of dependence between the components of the random vector. Thus, copulas have found many interesting practical applications. The number of papers devoted to the theory and applications of copulas is still growing rapidly, thanks to the increasing interest coming from e.g. the analysis of financial risks and the survival analysis. For more recent results the reader should consult already mentioned book by Nelsen (2006).

In this paper we focus our attention on three types of copulas. First is the normal copula, which in the two-dimensional case is defined as follows:

$$C(u_1, u_2; \rho) = \Phi_N(\Phi^{-1}(u_1), \Phi^{-1}(u_2); \rho)$$
(1)

where $\Phi_N(u_1, u_2)$ is the cumulative probability distribution function of the bivariate normal distribution, $\Phi^{-1}(u)$ is the inverse of the cumulative probability function of the univariate normal distribution (the quantile function). Parameter ρ in case of marginals described by the normal distribution is equal to the well known coefficient of linear correlation introduced by Pearson. It is worth noticing that the values of the linear correlation coefficient depend upon the type of marginals. Therefore, for the same value of the parameter ρ of the normal copula, the values of the Pearson's correlation may be different for different distributions of X and Y. Second copula considered in this paper is the Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern (FGM) copula who is frequently used for modelling weak dependencies. This copula is defined by the following formula:

$$C(u_1, u_2; \theta) = u_1 u_2 + \theta u_1 u_2 (1 - u_1)(1 - u_2), |\theta| \le 1$$
(2)

The remaining three copulas considered in this paper belong to a general class of symmetric copulas, named the Archimedean copulas. They are generated using a class Φ of functions ϕ : $[0,1] \rightarrow [0,\infty]$, named generators, that have two continuous derivatives on (0,1) and fulfill the following conditions: $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi'(t) < 0$, and $\phi''(t) > 0$ for all 0 < t < 1 (these conditions guarantee that ϕ has an inverse ϕ^{-1} that also has two derivatives). Every member of this class generates a multivariate distribution function. In this paper we consider three two-dimensional Archimedean copulas defined by the following formulae (copulas and their respective generators):

Clayton's

$$C(u, v) = \max\left([u^{-\alpha} + v^{-\alpha} - 1]^{-1/\alpha}, 0 \right), \alpha \in [-1, \infty) \setminus 0$$
(3)

$$\phi(t) = (t^{-\alpha} - 1)/\alpha, \alpha \in [-1, \infty) \setminus 0 \tag{4}$$

• Frank's

$$C(u,v) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln\left(1 + \frac{(e^{-\alpha u} - 1)(e^{-\alpha v} - 1)}{e^{-\alpha} - 1}\right), \alpha \in (-\infty, \infty) \setminus 0$$
 (5)

$$\phi(t) = \ln\left(\frac{1 - e^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha t}}\right), \alpha \in (-\infty, \infty) \setminus 0$$
(6)

• Gumbel's

$$C(u, v) = \exp\left(-\left[(-\ln u)^{1+\alpha} + (-\ln v)^{1+\alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}\right), \alpha \in (0, \infty)$$
(7)

$$\phi(t) = \left(-\ln(t)\right)^{\alpha+1}, \alpha \in (0,\infty) \tag{8}$$

In case of independence the dependence parameter α_{ind} adopts the value of 0 (in Clayton's and Frank's copulas as an appropriate limit). The copulas mentioned above are sometimes presented using different parametrization, and in such cases independence is equivalent to other values of α .

As it has been already mentioned above, a well known coefficient of linear correlation cannot be used for measuring the strength of dependence between random variables whose dependence is described by a given copula. Nonparametric measures of dependence, such as Spearman's ρ or Kendall's τ can be used for this purpose. For the copulas considered in this paper the values of Kendall's τ are

easier to calculate, and for this reason we use this measure of dependence in further analyses.

Genest and McKay (1986) considered the population version of the Kendall's coefficient of dependence (association) τ . This characteristic can be used for the description of the strength of dependence in copulas, and its importance in characterizations of copulas has been shown recently in papers by Nelsen et al. (2009). Let K(t) be the cumulative probability function of the random variable $T = C(U_1, U_2)$, where U_1 and U_2 are random variables uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. The following relation links a copula with Kendall's τ :

$$\tau = 3 - 4 \int_0^1 K(t) dt$$
 (9)

Estimation of K(t) for the case of two-dimensional copulas, and thus the estimation of τ , was considered by Genest and Rivest (1993).

Closed formulae for Kendall's τ are available only for some copulas. In the case of the normal copula we have the following expression

$$\tau_{Norm} = \arcsin(\rho)/(\pi/2). \tag{10}$$

For the FGM copula we can compute Kendall's τ from a very simple formula

$$\tau_{FGM} = 2\theta/9. \tag{11}$$

For the family of Archimedean copulas there exists the following general formula that links Kendall's τ with the generator function ϕ :

$$\tau_{Arch} = 1 + 4 \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(v)}{\phi'(v)} dv.$$
 (12)

For specific cases of the considered in this paper Archimedean copulas we have:

Clayton's copula

$$\tau = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 2},\tag{13}$$

· Frank's copula

$$\tau = 1 + 4\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^\alpha \frac{t}{e^t - 1}dt - 1\right)/\alpha,\tag{14}$$

· Gumbel's copula

$$\tau = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}.\tag{15}$$

Each copula can be looked upon as a multivariate probability distribution whose all marginal distributions are uniform. However, by using an inverse probability distribution function (a quantile function) we can transform each uniformly distributed

random variable to a variable with any continuous probability distribution. In this paper we will consider the case when such transformation will lead to marginals described by the standard normal distribution N(0, 1). This assumption definitely restricts generality of inferred conclusions, but – on the other hand – allows to compare our new results with those presented by other authors who usually made this assumption.

3 Basic Properties of the Shewhart Control Chart in Case of Dependencies of Different Types

The most frequently used statistical characteristic of a control chart is its Average Run Length *ARL*. This characteristic describes the expected number of observations (points plotted on a chart) until the occurrence of an alarm (e.g. when the first point beyond $3-\sigma$ control limits has been observed). When consecutive observations are independent, and their probability distribution is known, the random variable which describes the waiting time till the moment of the first observation beyond the control limits is distributed according to the geometric distribution, and the value of the *ARL* can be calculated analytically. However, when observations are dependent (serially correlated) and/or their probability distributions are only partially known (e.g. the class of the distribution is known, but its parameters are estimated) this characteristic usually cannot be calculated from a closed formula. Therefore, we need to use statistical Monte Carlo simulation in order to evaluate the value of the *ARL*.

In our simulation experiments we have generated consecutive observations using conditional probability distributions derived from two-dimensional copulas. In order to arrive at comparable results we have generated serially correlated processes described by a fixed in advance value of Kendall's τ . By having the same normal marginal distributions, and the same values of the measure of the strength of dependence we can detect a possible influence of the type of dependence related to the type of the underlying copula. In the following two subsections we will present the results of experiments for two cases:

- Parameters of the normal distribution (design parameters) are known,
- Parameters of the normal distribution (design parameters) are estimated from an initial sample.

In both cases we consider only one type of the process deterioration: the shift of the process level by $k\sigma$. When k = 0 (i.e. when there is no shift) the value of the *ARL* represents the average time to a false alarm. When k = 1 we have the case of a small deterioration. Significant deterioration of the process is in our experiment modelled by setting k = 3.

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
0.8	1391.0	Х	759.3	385.4	1301.8
0.5	466.8	х	622.4	373.1	633.57
0.3	389.0	х	496.0	373.4	528.6
0.1	371.1	369.6	384.4	370.5	456.4
0.05	370.5	369.3	374.7	372.1	443.3
0.01	370.6	369.7	371.6	372.2	430.7
0	370.5	370.5	370.5	370.5	370.5
-0.01	372.31	369.28	370.19	372.97	х
-0.05	371.3	370.8	370.0	371.7	х
-0.1	371.3	368.9	370.6	371.2	х
-0.3	390.0	х	384.3	374.1	х
-0.5	468.4	х	433.9	375.0	х
-0.8	1379.4	х	898.6	384.0	х

Table 1 ARLs-Shewhart control chart (design parameters known), TEST 1: "3- σ " rule, no shift

3.1 Known Design Parameters

The results of the simulation experiment for known design parameters are presented in Tables 1-3 for different values of the shift of the process level (mean value). Each number in these tables has been obtained after averaging the results of 200,000 simulation runs. The maximal length of each simulation run varied from 10,000 to 100,000 observations (for strongly dependent observations).

In Table 1 we present the average times to a false alarm. In all considered cases the expected time to a false alarm in presence of dependent data is always larger than in the case of independence, and this difference increases with increasing strength of dependence. However, the way how the ARL depends on the value of τ strongly depends on the type of the copula that describes the data. It is interesting to see that for all considered copulas, with a noticeable exception of Gumbel's copula, the values of the ARL change insignificantly for weakly dependent data. However, for moderate and strong dependencies these values are changing in a completely different way depending on the type of a copula. For the normal copula (i.e. for an ordinary Gaussian autoregression AR(1) process) the value of the ARL increases for the increasing absolute value of the strength of dependence measured by Kendall's τ . The dependence of the ARL on the value of τ is symmetrical and these values become very large for large values of τ . In case of the FGM copula, which is used for modelling weak dependencies, the influence of the value of τ on the ARL is practically non-existing. The similar situation, but extended to larger values of τ , has been observed for Frank's copula.

In the case of Clayton's copula the dependence of the *ARL* upon the value of τ is not symmetric. In case of positive dependence ($\tau > 0$), and small and moderate strength of dependence, the *ARL* in this case is larger than in the case of the normal copula. However, in case of very strong positive dependence this value of the *ARL* is significantly smaller than in the normal case. In case of negative dependence

51 10						
Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel	
0.8	273.21	х	90.28	86.74	653.04	
0.5	71.95	х	48.55	54.18	126.65	
0.3	52.25	х	45.18	47.7	73.07	
0.1	45.11	43.97	43.74	45.42	50.39	
0	43.78	43.78	43.78	43.78	43.78	
-0.1	43.62	43.73	43.74	44.75	х	
-0.3	44.36	х	44.63	44.55	х	
-0.5	50.96	х	50.15	45.53	х	
-0.8	135.18	х	101.04	52.44	х	

Table 2 ARLs–Shewhart control chart (design parameters known), TEST 1: "3- σ " rule, shift of 1σ

Table 3 ARLs–Shewhart control chart (design parameters known), TEST 1: "3- σ " rule, shift of 3σ

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
0.8	10.58	х	24.94	9.75	9.1
0.5	3.29	х	4.05	4.51	3.16
0.3	2.49	х	2.59	3.55	2.44
0.1	2.1	1.99	2.12	3.13	2.11
0	1.99	1.99	1.99	1.99	1.99
-0.1	1.92	2.0	1.92	2.91	х
-0.3	1.79	х	1.83	2.76	х
-0.5	1.69	х	1.77	2.65	х
-0.8	1.57	Х	1.71	2.57	х

 $(\tau < 0)$ the *ARL* for Clayton's copula is always smaller than the *ARL* in the normal case. The case of Gumbel's copula requires special comments. This copula describes only positive dependence, and even for very weak dependencies the corresponding values of the *ARL* are significantly greater than in the case of independence. Only in case of very strong dependence the behaviour of the Shewhart control chart seems to be similar to that described by the normal copula. It means that the Shewhart control chart is very sensitive to this type of dependence, even if this dependence is very weak, and thus difficult to be confirmed.

In case of small shifts (equal to 1σ) of the process level the dependence of the *ARL* upon τ looks different. The values of the *ARL* in presence of dependent data are nearly always greater than in the case of independence. It means that the dependence in data has negative impact on discrimination abilities of the Shewhart control chart, and this unpleasant feature does not depend upon the type of dependence. In case of strongly dependent data the values of the *ARL* may be so large (especially for normal and Gumbel's copulas) that the chart becomes practically insensitive to relatively small deterioration of the process. However, in the case of Frank's copula the value of the *ARL* remains reasonable even for strongly dependent data (especially in case of negative dependence).

When the shift of the process is large (e.g. equal to 3σ) the situation is different. First of all, in case of negative dependence described by the normal and Clayton's copulas the chart reacts faster than in the case of independence. Positive dependence in all considered cases has negative influence on the ability of the chart to detect shifts. The worse situation is in the case of Frank's copula, and this is somewhat unexpected because for small shifts this copula seems to be the most favourable. A similar situation is with Clayton's copula which usually behaves quite well except for the case of large shifts and strong positive dependence.

3.2 Estimated Design Parameters

Let us consider the case when parameters of the probability distribution (mean value and standard deviation) that are used for the design of a control chart are estimated from a process (its Phase I, as the sampling period is sometimes called) with possibly dependent consecutive observations. This assumption leads to significant consequences. First of all, random character of control lines which are estimated from a sample adds some variability resulting in wider (on average) in-control area on a control chart. This problem has been considered by many authors, and some conclusions from that research may be found in the paper by Woodall and Montgomery (1999) or in the paper by Albers and Kallenberg (2004). Second, the autocorrelation between sample observations influences the properties of estimators, as it was noticed already in the paper by Vasilopoulos and Stamboulis (1978). Variability related to both these two sources is difficult to be assessed analytically. Thus, simulation experiments are needed in order to evaluate the properties of control charts designed in such a way.

Our simulation experiment has two phases as in actual applications. First we simulate a sample of n elements, and the results of this simulation are used for the design of a control chart. The minimal number of observations which is suggested for designing a chart should be, according to many authors, such as e.g. Quesenberry (1993), not smaller than 300. However, in the majority of popular textbooks on quality control this minimal value is proposed to be equal to 100. Having in mind our main purpose, i.e. to investigate the influence of different types of dependence on the performance of control charts actually used in practice, in our experiments we set the sample size (the number of consecutive observations that are used for the design of a chart) as equal to 100. In the experiment we have simulated 500 different control charts, and for each of them we have simulated 500 production runs. Thus, for each experiment described by the chosen copula and the given value of Kendall's τ we have had altogether 250,000 simulation runs. These runs have been used for the estimation of the ARL, and other statistical properties of the chart.

Table 4 contains the results of the simulation experiment similar to those presented in Table 1, i.e. in presence of no shift in the level of a process. Somewhat unexpectedly these results are different not only with respect to the simulated values of the *ARL*. The dependence of the *ARL* upon the value of τ is also somewhat

lo sint						
Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel	
0.8	2111.72	х	346.65	568.74	1192.92	
0.5	967.84	х	974.28	437.75	1142.76	
0.3	540.38	х	730.8	487.09	799.15	
0.1	473.11	490.15	560.42	508.98	586.61	
0	486.14	486.14	486.14	486.14	486.14	
-0.1	503.28	458.77	447.48	458.49	х	
-0.3	589.39	х	537.13	512.63	х	
-0.5	1242.9	х	861.8	593.1	х	
-0.8	9966.6	х	6255.6	1253.5	х	

Table 4 ARLs–Shewhart control chart (design parameters estimated), TEST 1: "3- σ " rule, no shift

different than that represented in Table 1. The results of the experiment displayed in Table 4 show that the existence of dependence of any type results, in general, in increasing value of the ARL. Only in few cases, in presence of weak dependence, the values of ARL are slightly smaller than in the case of independence. When the strength of dependence is low, the values of the ARL are similar. Only for Gumbel's copula this value is visibly larger than in the case of independence. For moderate values of Kendall's τ practically acceptable worsening of the value of the ARL can be noticed only in the case of Frank's copula. In the case of strong dependence, both positive and negative, the values of the ARL are large enough to make the chart insensible to the process deterioration of that magnitude. An interesting, and difficult to explain, exception is the case of Clayton's copula where the large value of ARL for $\tau = 0.5$ decreases to a low value for $\tau = 0.8$. A phenomenon of a similar type is also seen in the case of Gumbel's copula.

When the magnitude of the process deterioration is large (i.e. when the shift in the process level is equal to 3σ) the picture is anew different. First of all, it can be noticed that in the case of small and moderate negative dependencies the value of the *ARL* may be smaller than in the case of independence. It means that negative dependence, unless it is not too strong, has a positive impact on the ability of the chart to detect deteriorations of large magnitude. In case of strong negative dependence the situation is different, and the value of the *ARL* usually becomes too large. In the case of the normal copula this value becomes completely unacceptable. In case of positive dependence good properties of the chart are observed for Frank's and Gumbel's copulas.

The results presented in Tables 4–6 show a very complicated situation. Only in the case of Frank's copula the performance of the Shewhart control chart is more or less robust to the existence of dependence between consecutive observations. In all remaining cases one can observe situations which are difficult to describe and explain. Only in the case of the normal copula the dependence of the *ARL* on the strength of dependence can be described in a relatively simple way: the chart is completely insensible to process shifts only in the case of strong, both positive and negative, dependence.

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel	
0.8	352.88	х	55.77	80.64	206.43	
0.5	84.7	х	102.46	55.72	205.43	
0.3	59.61	х	57.34	51.55	92.76	
0.1	54.88	51.4	50.51	49.12	58.54	
0	48.09	48.09	48.09	48.09	48.09	
-0.1	48.9	50.72	50.15	47.39	х	
-0.3	56.1	х	56.07	53.08	х	
-0.5	78.25	х	80.61	59.4	х	
-0.8	769.18	х	433.52	89.26	Х	

Table 5 ARLs–Shewhart control chart (design parameters estimated), TEST 1: "3- σ " rule, shift of 1σ

Table 6 ARLs–Shewhart control chart (design parameters estimated), TEST 1: "3- σ " rule, shift of 3σ

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
0.8	7.35	х	11.95	4.80	2.00
0.5	3.27	х	3.94	2.68	2.54
0.3	2.54	х	2.70	2.26	2.55
0.1	2.15	2.05	2.18	2.15	2.19
0	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.06
-0.1	1.92	2.07	1.96	1.87	х
-0.3	1.85	х	1.95	2.00	х
-0.5	1.92	х	2.09	2.02	х
-0.8	21.47	х	4.22	2.45	х

Table 7 Skewness of the run length – Shewhart control chart (design parameters estimated), shiftof 3σ

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
0.8	9.53	х	7.18	5.89	2.1
0.5	3.7	х	4.05	4.11	7.16
0.3	3.02	х	7.47	3.63	3.98
0.1	2.7	2.54	3.3	2.7	2.94
0	2.49	2.49	2.49	2.49	2.49
-0.1	2.12	2.54	2.6	2.8	х
-0.3	3.11	х	3.75	2.32	х
-0.5	6.87	х	6.47	2.72	х
-0.8	27.96	х	10.59	6.08	х

ARL is the most frequently used statistical characteristic of control charts. Another characteristic which is often calculated is the variance of the run length. Specialist are fully aware of the fact that the run length is a highly skewed random variable, and these two characteristics are not sufficient for the comprehensive description of the statistical properties of control charts. The coefficient of skewness whose value equal to 2 is well known for the chart with known design parameters is rarely calculated for other cases. In Table 7 we present the values of the coefficient of skewness of the run length for the case of estimated design parameters and shift equal to 3σ .

The values given in Table 7 show that the times to alarm are highly skewed, especially in case of strong (both positive and negative) dependence. In practice it means that despite reasonable values of the ARL there is quite substantial possibility that even significant process deterioration may not be detected sufficiently quickly.

4 Tests Based on Runs in Case of Dependent Data

Classical Shewhart control chart has been supported by additional decisions rules based on runs. Different rules have been proposed by many authors, but the most popular ones were proposed in the Western Electric handbook in 1956. They are also described in the international standard ISO 8258 and in the paper by Nelsen (1984). These rules are designed with the aim to detect deteriorations of different type. Statistical properties of control charts with supporting run rules can be computed using the Markov chain approach. A general solution of this problem has been proposed in the paper by Champ and Woodall (1987). This methodology has been successfully implemented for the calculations made under the assumption of independence of observations, and full knowledge of the values of design parameters. However, in case of dependent observations, and for estimated values of design parameters such computations are very difficult or even hardly possible. Therefore, in our analysis we used the results of the Monte Carlo simulation experiments. The settings of these experiments are the same as in the cases described in the previous sections of this paper.

One of the most popular rule, known as Test 3 or "6 increasing (decreasing) in a row", is used for the detection of harmful trends. The properties of this test do not depend upon the design parameters, and may be evaluated using recently published results of Ferguson et al. (2000). In Table 8 we present the values of the ARL for this particular test when the process is in the in-control state.

These results show that dependencies have detrimental impact on the properties of this test. In case of positive dependence the average time to a false alarm becomes unacceptably small. On the other hand, the negative dependence (especially the strong one) may decrease the ability of the test to detect trends in data. Similar results, which are not presented in this paper because of its limited volume, have been observed in preliminary experiments for the case of deteriorated processes.

Another popular additional decision rule, known as Test 5 or "2 out of 3 in a row observation in an outer zone", is used to improve the ability to detect small shifts of the process level. In Table 9 we present the values of the ARL for this test when the process is in the in-control state, and the design parameters are estimated from (possibly dependent) observations.

The dependence of the value of the ARL and the value of τ cannot be easily explained at the current stage of our research. For example, in case of the normal

no sint								
Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel			
0.8	24.8	Х	27.3	26.1	24.3			
0.5	30.1	х	32.4	30.9	30.26			
0.3	48.2	х	47.4	48.4	46.1			
0.1	97.1	147.3	95.3	96.1	92.4			
0.05	119.2	146.4	117.1	118.5	115.0			
0.01	140.4	147.1	140.1	141.1	138.0			
0	147.1	147.1	147.1	147.1	147.1			
-0.01	153.66	148.50	153.85	155.59	х			
-0.05	183.2	147.1	182.6	186.2	Х			
-0.1	225.7	146.6	225.8	236.7	х			
-0.3	539.14	х	507.63	665.26	х			
-0.5	1228.96	х	1237.65	1831.29	Х			
-0.8	4723.89	х	13773.52		х			

 Table 8
 ARLs–Shewhart control chart (design parameters known), TEST 3: "6 in a row" rule, no shift

Table 9 ARLs-Shewhart control chart (design parameters estimated), TEST 5, no shift

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
0.8	165.63	х	206.31	102.07	169.82
0.5	95.92	х	184.54	159.38	111.92
0.3	143.0	х	155.32	249.16	130.95
0.1	323.26	510.36	245.75	413.61	222.92
0	510.14	510.14	510.14	510.14	510.14
-0.1	321.9	509.96	620.63	598.52	х
-0.3	401.61	х	335.36	567.58	х
-0.5	193.24	х	165.45	379.85	х
-0.8	209.73	х	134.81	201.11	Х

copula this dependence is highly non-monotonic. On the other hand, in case of Frank's copula the largest value of the ARL is observed for small negative dependence, and then the value of the ARL decreases with increasing (decreasing) values of τ . Interesting is the case of the FGM copula where in contrast to other considered cases the existing weak dependence does not influence the value of the ARL.

In our experiments we have also calculated the properties of chart with combined decision rules. When Test 1 is combined with Test 5 the *ARL* in case of estimated design parameters and independence has been evaluated as equal to 293.11. The exact calculations performed for this case, but for known values of design parameters, by Champ and Woodall (1987) gave the value of the *ARL* equal to 225.44.

The results presented in Table 10 confirm this value, and additionally show how the ARL in the case of this combination of tests depends on the type and the strength of dependence.

Kendall's τ	Normal	FGM	Clayton	Frank	Gumbel
0.8	165.44	х	180.76	91.68	168.74
0.5	93.46	х	151.39	122.71	105.92
0.3	120.51	х	130.32	161.28	115.87
0.1	187.27	226.65	169.16	207.24	165.62
0	225.45	225.45	225.45	225.45	225.45
-0.1	250.41	226.58	244.68	240.57	х
-0.3	222.3	х	201.0	235.94	х
-0.5	168.26	х	145.03	202.07	х
-0.8	209.72	х	131.67	149.55	х

Table 10 ARLs-Shewhart control chart (design parameters known), TEST 1+TEST 5, no shift

5 Conclusions

The results presented in this paper confirm without any doubts the findings of many authors who considered the bahaviour of Shewhart control charts in case of dependent data described by autoregressive stochastic processes. What seems to be new is the demonstration that the type of dependence, encapsulated in the type of respective copula, plays important role. Moreover, it becomes very clear that the knowledge of the strength of dependence, measured using popular statistical measures of dependence such as Kendall's τ is not sufficient for the evaluation of the properties of the Shewhart control chart.

From the results presented in this paper one can derive the following recommendations. First, it is necessary to detect the existence of dependence in data. This can be done using the Kendall control chart proposed by Hryniewicz and Szediw (2010). Then, it is necessary to indicate the copula which fits to the observed data. Unfortunately, the appropriate tests, such as presented e.g. in the paper by Fermanian (2005), seem to be not simple enough to be used by quality control practitioners. Therefore, a lot has to be done in order to propose even approximate but simple methods for the identification of an actual copula. Then, the future investigations should be concentrated on finding appropriate corrections to classical procedures, similar in spirit to those that has been proposed in case of dependencies described by the normal copula.

References

- Albers, W., & Kallenberg, W. C. M. (2004). Estimation in shewhart control charts: Effects and corrections. *Metrika*, 59, 207–234.
- Alwan, L. C., & Roberts, H. V. (1988). Time-series modeling for statistical process control. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 6, 87–95.
- Champ, C. W., & Woodall, W. H. (1987). Exact results for shewhart control charts with supplementary runs rules. *Technometrics*, 29, 393–399.
- Ferguson, T. S., Genest, C., & Hallin, M. (2000). Kendall's tau for serial dependence. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 28, 587–604.

- Fermanian, J.-D. (2005). Goodness-of-fit tests for copulas. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 95, 119–152.
- Genest, C., & McKay, R. J. (1986). The joy of copulas: Bivariate distributions with uniform marginals. *American Statistician*, 88, 1034–1043.
- Genest, C., Rivest, & L.-P. (1993). Statistical inference procedures for bivariate archimedean copulas. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88, 1034–1043.
- Hryniewicz, O., & Szediw, A. (2010). Sequential signals on a control chart based on nonparametric statistical tests. In H.-J. Lenz, P.-T. Wilrich, & W. Schmid (Eds.), *Frontiers in statistical quality control 9* (pp. 99–118). Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag.
- Jiang, W., Tsui, K.-L., & Woodall, H. W. (2000). A new SPC monitoring method: The ARMA chart. *Technometrics*, 42, 399–410.
- Johnson, R. A., & Bagshaw, M. (1974). The effect of serial correlation on the performance of CUSUM tests. *Technometrics*, 16, 103–112.
- Knoth, S., Schmid, W., & Schone, A. (2001). Simultaneous Shewhart-type charts for the mean and the variance of a time series. In H. J. Lenz & P. T. Wilrich (Eds.), *Frontiers in statistical quality control* 6 (pp. 61–79). Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag.
- Lu, C. W., & Reynolds, M. R., Jr. (1999a). EWMA control charts for monitoring the mean of autocorrelated processes. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 31, 166–188.
- Lu, C.W., & Reynolds, M. R., Jr. (1999b). Control charts for monitoring the mean and variance of autocorrelated processes. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 31, 259–274.
- Lu, C. W., & Reynolds, M. R., Jr. (2001). CUSUM charts for monitoring an autocorrelated process. Journal of Quality Technology, 33, 316–334.
- Maragah, H. D., & Woodall, W. H. (1992). The effect of autocorrelation on the retrospective X-chart. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 40, 29–42.
- Montgomery, D. C., & Mastrangelo, C. M. (1991). Some statistical process control methods for autocorrelated data. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 23, 179–193.
- Nelson, L. S. (1984). The Shewhart control chart tests for special causes. *Journal of Quality Technology*, *16*, 237–239.
- Nelsen, R. B. (2006). An introduction to copulas. New York: Springer.
- Nelsen, R. B., Quesada-Molina, J. J., Rodriguez-Lallena, J. A., & Úbeda-Flores, M. (2009). Kendall distribution functions and associative copulas. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 160, 52–57.
- Quesenberry, C. P. (1993). The effect of sample size on estimated limits of \bar{X} and X control charts. Journal of Quality Technology, 25, 237–247
- Schmid, W. (1995). On the run length of a Shewhart control chart for correlated data. *Statistical Papers*, *36*, 111–130.
- Schmid, W. (1997). On EWMA charts for time series, In H. J. Lenz & P. T. Wilrich (Eds.), Frontiers in statistical quality control 5 (pp. 115–137). Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag.
- Sklar, A. (1959). Fonctions de répartition á n dimensions et leurs marges. Publications de l'Intitut de Statistique de l'Universit de Paris, 8, 229–231
- VanBrackle, L. N., III. & Reynolds, M. R., Jr. (1997). EWMA and CUSUM control charts in presence of correlations. *Communications in Statistics Simulation and Computation*, 26, 979–1008.
- Vasilopoulos, A. V., & Stamboulis, A. P. (1978). Modification of control limits in the presence of correlation. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 10, 20–30.
- Wardell, D. G., Moskowitz, H., & Plante, R. D. (1994). Run-length distributions of special-cause control charts for correlated processes. *Technometrics*, 36, 3–17.
- Woodall, W. H., & Montgomery, D. C. (1999). Research issues and ideas in statistical process control. *Journal of Quality Technology*, 25, 188–198.
- Yourstone, S. A., & Montgomery, D. C. (1991). Detection of process upsets sample autocorrelation control chart and group autocorrelation control chart applications. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 7, 133–140.
- Zhang, N. F. (1998). Statistical control chart for stationary process data. *Technometrics*, 40, 24–38.