

1

The Context and Principles of Palliative Care

Christina Faull

University Hospitals of Leicester and LOROS, The Leicestershire and Rutland Hospice, Leicester, UK

Introduction

Palliative care touches almost every health and social care professional. Irrespective of their particular specialty, most professionals will encounter people with advanced illness, and the care of people in the last months and weeks of life is an important part of their work [1]. This can be an extremely rewarding area of practice, and professional satisfaction is enhanced by confidence in core skills and knowledge of basic physical and nonphysical symptom management [2,3].

Palliative care should not be seen as an alternative to other care. It is a complementary and vital part of total patient management that should be integrated, in people with advanced illness, alongside appropriate care to reverse illness or prolong life. The challenges of the parallel approaches of trying to improve physical well-being and prolong life while also addressing the realistic probability of deterioration and death are significant, especially in those illnesses characterised by episodes of acute deterioration. Perhaps one of the biggest challenges we face in medicine and indeed in society is balancing the clinical and ethical “pros and cons” (weighing the burdens, benefits, and risks) of investigation and intervention in those with advanced illness and in the frail elderly. In the United Kingdom, the General Medical Council (GMC) has recommended that death should be an explicit discussion point when patients are likely to die within 12 months [4,5]. Box 1.1 identifies the mandated expectations in this guidance [5].

The majority of care received by patients during the last year of life is delivered by general practitioners (GPs) and

Box 1.1 Mandated expectations of the GMC guidance—Treatment and care toward the end of life: good practice in decision making [4,5]

- Identification of patients approaching the end of life.
- Provision of information on this matter.
- Determination of preferences regarding life-sustaining treatment including cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR).
- Documentation of the above in an unambiguous and accessible format.
- Communication of decisions within relevant health-care teams.

community teams. A systematic review of GP involvement in this care reported the following [3]:

- GPs value this work and it is appreciated by patients.
- Palliative care is sometimes delivered less well in the community than in other settings.
- Some GPs are unhappy with their competence in this field.
- With specialist support, GPs demonstrably provide effective care.
- The confidence of GPs and the understanding of the potential of team members increase through working with specialist teams.

Of course, many patients spend significant time in hospitals during their last year of life, and it has been estimated that 20% of hospital beds are occupied by patients near the end of life who often do not need, or want, to be there [6]. Despite the majority wishing to die at home, almost 60%

2 Chapter 1

of patients still die in a hospital in the United Kingdom [7]. The lack of recognition of the fact that patients are nearing the ends of their lives and open discussion of this with the patients and their families is the major barrier in achieving better outcomes including enabling people to die where they would most want to [6,8,9].

Palliative care is more than just end-of-life care. Some of the newest challenges are in providing effective support for those living with cancer, or other advanced illness, for long periods of time who are suffering from a complex mix of effects of the cancer or human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or other condition. There is considerable unmet need in supporting people with the effects of the treatments for the disease and the psychosocial and psychospiritual impacts of facing not only the fear of recurrence and death but also the ongoing symptoms such as fatigue, disability, and change of role and social and family dynamics [10,11].

There is a broad range of challenges in delivering high-quality palliative, end-of-life, and terminal care including professional competence and confidence, teamwork and organisational factors, and access to resources. Patients with advanced disease can present some of the most challenging ethical, physical, psychological, and social issues, and it is vital to have a grasp of the communication skills required to explore these issues effectively. It is also important to be able to identify when referrals to specialists and other services are needed.

This chapter outlines the development of palliative care, defines the principles that underpin effective care, and presents an overview of the attainment and assessment of quality in palliative care.

What are hospice, palliative care, and end-of-life care?

Much of our understanding and knowledge of the philosophy, science, and art of palliative care has developed and grown through the work of the hospice movement. Dame Cicely Saunders worked with patients suffering from advanced cancer and undertook systematic narrative research to understand what patients were experiencing and needed. The bedrock of the hospice philosophy, in Western society at least, is that of patient-centred holistic care focusing on quality of life and extending support to significant family and carers:

What links the many professionals and volunteers who work in hospice or palliative care is an awareness of the many needs of a person and his/her family and carers as they grapple with

Box 1.2 Etymology

The word “hospice” originates from the Latin *hospes* meaning host; *hospitalis*, a further derivative, means friendly, a welcome to the stranger. The word *hospitium* perhaps begins to convey the vital philosophy of the hospice movement: it means the warm feeling between host and guest. Hence, a hospice denotes a place where this feeling is experienced, a place of welcome and care for those in need.

The word “palliative” derives from the Latin *pallium*, a cloak. Palliation means cloaking over, not addressing the underlying cause but ameliorating the effects.

all the demands and challenges introduced by the inexorable progress of a disease that has outstripped the possibilities of cure [12].

Hospice has perhaps become thought of as solely a place of care. It is, however, much more than this and in essence is synonymous with palliative care. Both have a philosophy of care not dependent on a place or a building but on attitude, expertise, and understanding. The term “palliative care” was coined by Canadian urological cancer surgeon, Balfour Mount, as a term to apply hospice principles more broadly including within the hospital setting. More recently, the term *specialist palliative care* has been used to represent those professionals and services that concentrate on this area of health care as their main role and expertise, recognising that almost all health-care professionals provide elements of palliative care for patients as part of their practice (see Box 1.2).

Palliative care has been defined as:

an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problems associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual [13].

Palliative care [13]:

- provides relief from pain and other distressing symptoms;
- affirms life and regards dying as a normal process;
- intends neither to hasten nor postpone death;
- integrates the psychological and spiritual aspects of patient care;
- offers a support system to help patients live as actively as possible until death;
- offers a support system to help the family cope during the patient’s illness and in their own bereavement;

- uses a team approach to address the needs of patients and their families, including bereavement counselling, if indicated;
- will enhance quality of life and may also positively influence the course of illness; and
- is applicable early in the course of illness, in conjunction with other therapies that are intended to prolong life, such as chemotherapy or radiation therapy, and includes those investigations needed to better understand and manage distressing clinical complications.

To this end palliative care is a partnership between the patient, carers, and a wide range of professionals. It integrates the psychological, physical, social, cultural, and spiritual aspects of a patient's care, acknowledging and respecting the uniqueness of each individual:

You matter because you are you, and you matter until the last moment of your life. We will do all that we can to help you not only to die peacefully, but to live until you die [14].

End-of-life care

End-of-life care is the care needed by everyone as they approach the end of their lives. It is usually regarded as a focus on the last 6–12 months of life. It is of course difficult to define the last 12 months of life prospectively and much thought has been given to how indicators may help identify people. Figure 1.1 shows an example of how such indicators can be incorporated in to guidance to help identify appropriate people, which has been developed in Scotland.

The End-of-Life Care strategy (EoLCS) in England and Wales defined a pathway to optimise the quality of care in the last months of life (Figure 1.2) [7]. Many other countries have had similar initiatives.

All patients in the last months, weeks, and days of life need support from primary care. Many, because they are ill, will have contact with secondary care specialists including elderly care services and many of the more elderly patients (85 years+) (who, by 2030, will be 44% of the people that die every year in the United Kingdom) will live in care homes. Only a minority of those that die every year will need direct contact with specialist palliative care services.

The Gold Standards Framework (GSF) [15] provides a quality framework for end-of-life care in primary care and is explored in Chapter 2, *Palliative care in the community*.

Specialist palliative care

Specialist palliative care came into focus with the founding of St Christopher's hospice in London in 1967 by Dame

Cicely Saunders. It was here that an approach that formed the basis for the role of specialist services was developed:

- High-quality care for patients and their relatives, especially those with complex needs.
- A range of services to help provide optimum care: whether the patient was at home, in hospital, or required specialist in-patient care.
- Education, advice, and support to other professionals.
- Evidence-based practice.
- Research and evaluation.

The subsequent, mostly unplanned, growth of specialist palliative care services has led to a wide variety of models of service provision, distribution and funding, with some areas, and therefore patients, being better served than others.

Issues in palliative care worldwide

Fifty-six million people die across the world each year, 80% of deaths occurring in developing countries. The world population is estimated to increase by 50% in the next 50 years and almost all of this increase in population will be in the developing world. In addition, there will be a huge shift in age of the population with a two- to threefold increase in population aged over 60 years in both the developed and the developing world. In the United Kingdom, it is estimated that by 2030 the percentage of deaths over 85 years of age will increase by almost a third to 44% of all deaths, around 255,000 people [16].

The Barcelona declaration on palliative care in 1996 [17], like the World Health Organization (WHO) in 1990 [18], called for palliative care to be included as part of every governmental health policy. Recent studies suggest that palliative care is integrated with wider service provision in only 15% of countries [19]. Although not enshrined in the Human Rights Act, most would agree that every individual has the right to pain relief. Inexpensive, effective methods exist to relieve pain and other symptoms. The *Life Before Death* campaign together with the International Association for the Study of Pain shows, in documentary films, the shocking and profound issues about pain management for people throughout the world, especially in the developing world [20]. Analgesics are inexpensive, and cost need not be an impediment to pain control. It is estimated that globally a hundred million people would currently benefit from the availability of palliative care. We are a long, long way from achieving this. Tens of millions of people die each year in unrelieved suffering [21].

4 Chapter 1



Identifying patients with advanced illness



Supportive & Palliative Care Indicators Tool (SPICT)		
1. Look for two or more general clinical indicators		
Two or more unplanned hospital admissions in the past 6 months.		
Performance status deteriorating (needs help with personal care, in bed or chair for 50% or more of the day).		
Unplanned weight loss (5–10%) over the past 3–6 months and/or body mass index < 20.		
A new event or diagnosis that is likely to reduce life expectancy to less than a year.		
Persistent symptoms despite optimal treatment of advanced illness.		
Lives in a nursing care home or NHS continuing care unit; or needs a care package at home.		
2. Now look for two or more clinical indicators of advanced, progressive illness		
Advanced heart/ vascular disease	Advanced kidney disease	Advanced cancer
NYHA Class III/IV heart failure, or extensive coronary artery disease: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Breathless or chest pain at rest or on minimal exertion. 	Stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease (eGFR < 30 ml/min).	Performance status deteriorating due to metastatic cancer and/ or co-morbidities.
Severe, inoperable peripheral vascular disease.	Kidney failure as a recent complication of another condition or treatment.	Persistent symptoms despite optimal palliative oncology treatment or too frail for oncology treatment.
Advanced respiratory disease	Advanced liver disease	Advanced neurological disease
Severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (FEV1 < 30%) or severe pulmonary fibrosis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Breathless at rest or on minimal exertion between exacerbations. 	Advanced cirrhosis with one or more complications in past year: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diuretic resistant ascites Hepatic encephalopathy Hepatorenal syndrome Bacterial peritonitis Recurrent variceal bleeds 	Progressive deterioration in physical and/or cognitive function despite optimal therapy.
Meets criteria for long-term oxygen therapy (PaO ₂ < 7.3 kPa).	Serum albumin < 25 g/l, INR prolonged (INR > 2).	Speech problems with increasing difficulty communicating and/or progressive dysphagia.
Has needed ventilation for respiratory failure.	Liver transplant is contraindicated.	Recurrent aspiration pneumonia; breathless or respiratory failure.
3. Ask		Advanced dementia/ frailty
Would it be a surprise if this patient died in the next 6–12 months?	No	Unable to dress, walk or eat without help; unable to communicate meaningfully.
4. Assess and plan		Needing assistance with feeding/ maintaining nutrition.
Assess patient and family for unmet needs.		Recurrent febrile episodes or infections; aspiration pneumonia.
Review treatment/care plan; and medication.		Urinary and faecal incontinence.
Discuss and agree care goals with patient and family.		Fractured neck of femur.
Consider using GP register to coordinate care in the community.		
Handover: care plan, agreed levels of intervention, CPR status.		

SPICT Version 21, October 2011

Figure 1.1 The supportive and palliative care indicators guidance used in Lothian National Health Service (NHS), Scotland. (Reproduced with permission from NHS, available at www.palliativecareguidelines.scot.nhs.uk)




Figure 1.2 The end-of-life care pathway. (Reproduced from Reference 7)

The challenges for palliative care in developing countries

A multiplicity of challenges faces the development of palliative care globally, but the issues are more pronounced in the developing world for several reasons—principally, poverty, the ageing population, the high prevalence of smoking, and the increase in cancer and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS)-related deaths. It is estimated that in the Western world, deaths from cancer will increase by approximately 25% by 2020, but in China cancer deaths will increase by 145%, in India by 158%, in the Middle East by 181%, and in Africa by 149% [22]. The developing world has only 5% of the world’s total resources for cancer control, although it must cope with almost two-thirds of the world’s new cancer patients [23].

Globally the annual number of tobacco-related deaths is expected to rise from three million to ten million by the year 2025 [24]. Much more than half of this increase will occur in the developing world, three million in China alone. The developing world is currently suffering from an epidemic of lung cancer, making this cancer the most common worldwide. By 2015 approximately one million deaths in China will be from lung cancer.

Since the second edition of this book, the experience of HIV infection and AIDS has been transformed for those who can access antiviral medications. In 2009 some 33 million people were living with HIV/AIDS, of whom half are women, but during that year 1.8 million people died. It is estimated that deaths might rise to 6.5 million by 2030. Of those living with HIV/AIDS, 98% are

6 Chapter 1

in the developing world. The sociological effect of AIDS deaths in the developing world is catastrophic, especially in sub-Saharan Africa where the adult prevalence rate may be as high as 35% (although decreasing in some countries). AIDS affects those most likely to be breadwinners for the extended three-generation family and leaves many children orphaned. In 2009 there were estimated to be 16.6 million children orphaned through AIDS.

Availability of opioids

Under the international treaty, *Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs* [25], governments are responsible for ensuring that opioids are available for pain management. The 2010 report from the International Narcotics Control Board showed that opioids are still not widely available for medical needs [26]. More than 90% of the global morphine is used in ten industrialised countries. Over 80% of the world population will have insufficient analgesia, or no analgesia at all if they suffer from pain, including 5.5 million people with terminal cancer.

The main impediments to opioid availability, even in Europe, are government concern about addiction; insufficient training of health-care professionals; and restrictive laws over the manufacture, distribution, prescription, and dispensing of opioids [27]. There is also considerable prescribing reluctance on the part of the health-care profession, due in part to concerns about legal sanctions. This is made worse by the burden of regulatory requirements, the often insufficient import or manufacture of opioids, and the fear of the potential for diversion of opioids for nonlegitimate use. Most recently the Global Access to Pain Relief Initiative, a multiagency collaboration, is tackling the lack of access for the majority of people in need [28].

International observatory on end-of-life care

This is an invaluable resource for anyone wishing to learn more about global issues in palliative care. The website <http://www.lancs.ac.uk/shm/research/ioelc> provides research-based information about hospice and palliative care provision internationally, presenting public health and policy data, as well as cultural, historical, and ethnographic perspectives.

Unmet need and continued suffering in the developed world

The hospice movement and palliative care have come a long way in the past 45 years. There is a considerable body of knowledge and expertise, and services have grown enormously in number and character. There is, however,

still a major unmet need. The majority of people are not living and dying with the comfort and the dignity that it is possible to achieve for most patients. Identified areas for improvement include:

- explicit recognition of patients in the last months, weeks, and days of life [4,8,9];
- management of pain in advanced cancer [27,29–33];
- management of other symptoms [29,33,34];
- information and support for patients and carers [35];
- attention to comfort and basic care for those dying in hospitals [9,36];
- the needs of patients dying from nonmalignant illness [37–43]; and
- the needs of patients who call for help out of “normal” working hours [44–47].

The major challenge for those who seek to improve the care for patients with advanced disease is to ensure that all health-care professionals consider palliative care an important part of their role and have adequate skills, knowledge, and specialist support to undertake it effectively. This is of crucial importance in the 70% of the week that occurs “out-of-hours” when patients are especially vulnerable to the deficits in health-care systems.

There are defined groups of patients who have poor outcomes, who underutilise specialist palliative care services, who have insufficient access to services and for whom service models need to develop to meet their needs in an appropriate way. Patients with illnesses other than cancer are considerably disadvantaged compared to those with cancer, and chapters later in this book discuss these issues in some depth and provide information on how to tackle them.

Health professionals in the world over recognise the fundamental human right to die with dignity. However, the notion of what constitutes a “good death” may vary considerably between and within cultures. While it has been shown that there are often greater similarities than differences between cultures when living and dealing with cancer [48], we know that it is more difficult for people from ethnically diverse communities to access or obtain information, support, and services that will meet their needs. Issues of communication, cultural diversity, appropriateness of information, organisational and staff attitudes, and discrimination are contributing factors across the spectrum of health and illness contexts, and having cancer is no exception to this experience [49–54]. For example, services such as counselling and psychological interventions in appropriate languages may not be available [48]. There may be difficulties in accessing self-help and support groups, Asian or African Caribbean wig

types or prostheses and holistic pain control [54]. Greater understanding of cultural and individual variations in concepts of disclosure, patient autonomy, and patient-centeredness is needed. The extent to which these concepts may be ethnocentric and lack universality deserves wider consideration [49].

Compounding this disadvantage and poor quality of life is that people from diverse ethnic communities are more likely to be poor and have financial and housing difficulties. In addition, evidence, although limited by inadequacy of ethnicity monitoring, suggests that people with cancer from diverse ethnic communities have poorer survival than others [55]. In these conditions, it is not surprising that people from ethnically diverse communities with cancer consistently wish for [56]:

- more information about cancer, cancer treatments, and cancer care services;
- improved open communication and awareness about their condition;
- reduced feelings of stigma, isolation, and fear;
- greater control and choice in their care; and
- more effective care.

Migrant communities often have proportionately higher death rates from diseases not related to cancer, compounding their disadvantage in accessing palliative care. Gatrads and colleagues [57] suggest that realising high-quality palliative care for all will need fundamental changes on at least three fronts:

1. Tackling institutional discrimination in the provision of palliative care.
2. Progress in incorporating transcultural medicine into medical and nursing curriculums.
3. A greater willingness on the part of health-care providers to embrace complexity.

In doing these we shall develop a richer appreciation of the challenges facing people from minority communities in achieving a good end to their lives. These themes are explored further in Chapter 4, *Palliative care: choice, equality, and diversity*.

Enabling people to be at home

The EoLCS for England and Wales [7] has identified the key things that need to be in place to achieve the best possible care for people in the last months of life. These include:

- identifying people approaching the end of life;
- care planning;
- coordination of care;

- rapid access to care; and
- delivery of high-quality care by trained and competent practitioners in all service sectors.

These facets of care are discussed in depth in other chapters of this book, and Chapter 21, *Terminal care and dying*, focuses specifically on enabling people to die in the place of their choice.

Thomas [58,59] has developed seven standards (Box 1.3) to help primary care providers and teams improve their delivery of palliative care. Benefits have been demonstrated that include better communication and co-working and increased staff morale; however, the impact on patient outcomes especially achieving death in place of choice is less clear [60]. This is explored further in Chapter 2, *Palliative care in the community*. Communication with, and the quality of, out-of-hours primary care services is of critical importance in achieving the goals of care [44–47]. In more closed health systems such as the hospice programme in the United States, achievement of care and death at home is almost a prerequisite criterion of entry to the programme and therefore self-fulfilling; however, 80% of deaths in America are in hospital and only 7% die at home under hospice care.

Box 1.3 The seven “C’s”: gold standards for palliative care in primary care

Communication: Practice register; regular team meetings for information sharing, planning and reflection/audit; patient information; patient-held records.

Coordination: Nominated coordinator maintains register, organises meetings, audit, education symptom sheets, and other resources.

Control of symptoms: Holistic, patient centred assessment and management.

Continuity out-of-hours: Effective transfer of information to and from out-of-hours services. Access to drugs and equipment.

Continued learning: Audit/reflection/critical incident analysis. Use of continuing professional development time.

Carer support: Practical, financial, emotional, and bereavement support.

Care in the dying phase: Protocol-driven care addressing physical, emotional, and spiritual needs. Care needs around and after death acted upon.

8 Chapter 1

The principles of palliative care

Knowing how to approach patients with advanced illness is the first step in achieving effective care. Six key principles underpin effective, holistic care:

1. Consider the patient and their family/carers as the unit of care while respecting patient autonomy and confidentiality and acknowledge and encourage their participation.
2. Perform a systematic assessment of physical, psychological, social, and spiritual needs.
3. Communicate findings to the patient, providing information and support at all stages.
4. Relieve the patient's symptoms promptly: "*There is only today.*"
5. Plan proactively and thoroughly for potential/anticipated future problems.
6. Use a team approach listening to suggestions and views and involving resources for extra support at an early stage.

What do patients and their carers need?

The uniqueness of each individual's situation must be acknowledged and the manner of care adapted accordingly. The essence of what patients and their carers may need is outlined in Box 1.4.

It should be clear from this that communication skills (see Chapter 6) play a fundamental role in achieving good palliative care and quality of life for the patient:

Almost invariably, the act of communication is an important part of the therapy; occasionally it is the only constituent. It usually requires greater thought and planning than a drug prescription, and unfortunately it is commonly administered in subtherapeutic doses [61].

Achieving good symptom management

Twycross, among others, has done much to ensure an evidence-based, scientific rigor in palliative care [62]. The management of any problem should be approached as follows:

- Anticipation
- Evaluation and assessment
- Explanation and information
- Individualised treatment
- Re-evaluation and supervision
- Attention to detail
- Continuity of care.

Anticipation

Many physical and nonphysical problems can often be anticipated and in some instances prevented. Failure to anticipate problems and to set up appropriate manage-

Box 1.4 The rights and needs of patients and their carers

Patients have a right to confidentiality, pain control, and other symptom management and, wherever possible, to choose the setting of death and the degree of carer involvement. They also have a right to deny the illness.

Information

The patient has a need for sensitive, clear explanations of:

- the diagnosis and its implications;
- the likely effects of treatments on activities of daily living and well-being;
- the type and extent of support that may be required and how it may be addressed; and
- expected symptoms and what may be done about them.

Quality of life

The patient has a need for life that is as normal, congenial, independent, and as dignified as possible.

An individual's quality of life will depend on minimising the gap between their expectations and aspirations and their actual experiences. This may be achieved by:

- respect, as a person as well as a patient, from properly trained staff who see themselves as partners in living,
- effective relief from pain and other distressing symptoms,
- an appropriate and satisfying diet;
- comfort and consolation, especially from those who share the patient's values and beliefs and/or belong to the same cultural community;
- companionship from family and friends and from members of the care team;
- continuity of care from both the primary care team and other services;
- consistent and effective response to changes in physical and psychosocial discomfort; and
- information about support and self-help and other groups and services.

Support for carers

The patient's family or other carers have a need for support at times of crises in the illness and in their bereavement.

These needs include:

- practical support with financial, legal, housing, or welfare problems;
- information about the illness (with the patient's consent) and the available support;
- respite from the stress of caring;
- involvement of carers in the moment of death and in other aspects of care;
- bereavement support; and
- special support where the patient's death may directly affect young children or where the patient is a child or adolescent.

Box 1.5 Applying an understanding of the natural history of a disease and psychosocial awareness to care planning

A 45-year-old woman has recently been found to have spinal metastases from her breast cancer. Potential issues that could be anticipated are:

- Pain—due to the boney origin; this may need nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), opioids, and radiotherapy.
- Constipation—start laxatives when opioid is prescribed.
- Spinal cord compression—examine neurology if unsteady or complains of numbness.
- If she has young children—may need help, practically and in telling the children.
- Work—may she need financial and benefit advice?
- Hypercalcaemia—check blood if nauseated or confused.
- Psychospiritual—how is she coping with the impact?

ment strategies (e.g., who should they call?) is a common source of dissatisfaction for patients [63]. Understanding the natural history of the disease with specific reference to an individual patient, awareness of the patient's psychosocial circumstances and identification of risk factors allows planning of care by the team. For an example of applying this in practice, see Box 1.5.

Evaluation and assessment

An understanding of the pathophysiology and likely cause(s) of any particular problem is vital in selecting and directing appropriate investigations and treatment. Deciding what treatment to use is based on consideration of the evidence of the mechanism of the symptom and of the treatment's efficacy, safety, and appropriateness in the situation. This is illustrated by the following specific examples:

- Sedation for an agitated patient with urinary retention is not as helpful as catheterisation.
- Antiemetics for the nausea of hypercalcaemia are important but so too is lowering the serum calcium (if appropriate).
- A patient who is fearful of dying may be helped more by discussing and addressing specific fears rather than taking benzodiazepines.
- Pain in a vertebral metastasis may be helped by analgesics, radiotherapy, orthopaedic surgery, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and acupuncture. A decision as to which to prescribe is made only by careful assessment.

Comorbidity is common and should always be considered. For example, it is easy (and unfortunately common) to assume that the pain in a patient with cancer is caused by the cancer. In one series almost a quarter of pains in patients with cancer were unrelated to the cancer or the cancer treatment [64].

The multidimensional nature of symptoms, such as pain, means that the use of drugs may be only one part of treatment. A holistic assessment is vital in enabling the most effective management plan. This includes eliciting the patient's concerns and focusing on their feelings.

Explanation and information

Management of a problem should always begin with explanation of the findings and diagnostic conclusions. This usually reduces the patient's anxieties, even if it confirms their worst suspicions—a monster in the light is usually better faced than a monster unseen in the shadows. Further information may be useful to some patients. A clear explanation of the suggested treatments and follow-up plan is important for the patient to gain a sense of control and security. Allow plenty of space for questions and check that what you meant to convey has been understood (see Chapter 6).

Some real examples:

Mr H, with advanced liver disease, was very anxious in the outpatient department. He told me he had developed a tender lump on his chest. On examination this turned out to be gynaecomastia, most probably, I thought, due to the spironolactone. With this explanation, and the relief of his anxiety, he chose to continue the drug rather than have recurrence of his ascites.

Mrs S looked worried and was angry. We discussed the scan results she had had 6 months earlier, before her chemotherapy and surgery. "So what does that mean?" she asked. "I'm afraid that means the cancer cannot be cured," I said. She dissolved in tears and said "Thank you doctor. I have been thinking this but no one would tell me."

Individualised treatment

The individual physical, social, and psychological circumstances of the patient and their views and wishes should be considered in planning care. For example, lymphoedema compression bandages may be unused unless there is someone available to help the patient to fit them daily.

Treatment options need to be shared with the patient and their perspective on choices be explored. For example, Mr K developed arterial occlusion in his leg. Because of his other symptoms, he was thought to have recurrent bladder

10 Chapter 1

cancer, but this was not confirmed by scans. He needed to consider whether to have an amputation. It appeared most likely that he would die from his disease within the next weeks to months. He decided that he would only have the amputation if he had 6 months or more to live and declined the operation.

Re-evaluation and supervision: be proactive

The symptoms of frail patients with advanced disease can change frequently. New problems can occur and established ones worsen. Interventions may be complex (many patients take more than 20 pills a day), and close supervision is vital to ensure optimum efficacy and tailoring to the patient.

Attention to detail

The quality of palliative care is in the detail of care. For example, it is vital to ensure that the patient not only has a prescription for the correct drug but also can obtain it from the pharmacy, have adequate supplies to cover a weekend, and understand how to adjust it if the problem worsens.

Continuity of care

No professional can be available for 24 h and 7 days a week, but patients may need support at all hours of the day. Transfer of information within teams and to those that may be called upon to provide care (e.g., out-of-hours services) is one way of ensuring continuity of care. Patient-held records, clear plans in nursing care records at the patient's house, team handover/message books, and formalised information for out-of-hours services [65] are all ways to achieve this.

Limits of symptom control

There is always something more that can be done to help a patient, but it is not always possible to completely relieve symptoms. Specialist advice should usually have been sought for help in the management of intractable symptoms. This extra support is in itself an important way of helping the patient.

In such situations an acceptable solution must be found to provide adequate relief of distress for the patient. For the management of a physical symptom and sometimes of psychological distress, this may be a compromise between the presence of the symptom and sedation from medications. It is hard for a team to accept suboptimal relief of symptoms, and discussions with the patient and the family may be very difficult. It is important for the team

to remember the great value of their continuing involvement to the patients and their carers, to acknowledge how difficult the situation is, and not to abandon the patient because it is painful and distressing for the professionals:

Slowly, I learn about the importance of powerlessness.

I experience it in my own life and I live with it in my work.

The secret is not to be afraid of it—not to run away.

The dying know we are not God.

All they ask is that we do not desert them [66].

Attaining quality in palliative care

The quality of palliative and end-of-life care is an area of increasing focus. A key challenge is the integration of palliative care alongside treatments of curative intent and in the care of many more patients [67]. Discussion of treatment benefits and burdens and of end-of-life choices is an important feature of quality in advanced disease. Guidance from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) in the United Kingdom interprets the evidence base for achieving high-quality palliative and supportive cancer care [68] (see the key recommendations for primary care in Box 1.6) and has recently developed a Quality Standard for end-of-life care for adults [69]. The EoLCS in England and Wales has defined an array of quality outcomes markers [70]. Similar initiatives are in place in many countries across the world [71–74].

Box 1.6 Recommendations of the NICE guidance for Supportive and Palliative Care 2004, which are key for primary care

12: Mechanisms need to be implemented within each locality to ensure that medical and nursing services are available for patients with advanced cancer on a 24 h, 7 days a week basis and that equipment can be provided without delay. Those providing generalist medical and nursing services should have access to specialist advice at all times.

13: Primary care teams should institute mechanisms to ensure that the needs of patients with advanced cancer are assessed and that the information is communicated within the team and with other professionals as appropriate. The *GSF* provides one mechanism for achieving this.

14: In all locations, the particular needs of patients who are dying from cancer should be identified and addressed. The *Liverpool Care Pathway for the Dying Patient* provides one mechanism for achieving this.

Source: Reproduced from Reference 68.

Box 1.7 Examples of palliative care quality assurance within a clinical governance framework for the primary care team and commissioners

Component of clinical governance	Example
A: Primary Care Team level	
Consultation and patient involvement	Are patients asked about their preferred place of death?
Clinical risk management	Is there a policy for the use of syringe drivers including rapid access to parenteral drugs?
Clinical audit	Are all patients taking morphine also prescribed appropriate laxatives?
Use of information about patient's experiences	How does the team reflect about those patients who die in hospital?
Staffing and staff management	Are there palliative care link nurses with performance reviewed job description?
Education, training, and continuing professional development	Does the team use up-to-date symptom management guidance?
B: Commissioners	
Organisational and clinical leadership	Are there designated managers, doctors, and nurses with lead responsibilities for palliative care?
Direction and planning	Is there a clear locality strategy to address identified gaps in services?
Performance review	Does the commissioner utilise its home death data and activity reports from specialist palliative care services to inform commissioning?
Patient and public partnership	How do cancer support groups work with the commissioner?

Quality assurance

The GSF for palliative care described above [15,58,59] is one possible method for assuring high-quality palliative care and is partially embedded in the quality and outcomes framework for primary care in England and Wales. The practical use of frameworks such as the GSF is discussed further in Chapter 2, *Palliative care in the community*. The use of a care pathway is another method of quality assurance, and two such pathways have been developed for care in the last days of life [75,76]. Standards for palliative care have also been developed for community hospitals and nursing homes.

Clinical governance systems are a key component of quality assurance, and examples relating to palliative care within this framework are shown in Box 1.7. In the future, commissioners may develop novel enhanced level services in palliative care, driving up the quality in areas of poor performance.

Audit of quality

In some countries, measurement and benchmarking of outcomes of specialist care is quite advanced [77], but none as yet measure quality or outcome in whole health systems.

Quality assurance frameworks such as the GSF and Dying Care Pathway are relatively easily audited with respect to goals of care. For example, a standard could be set by a commissioner or service or practice that the place of choice for death should be known for at least 80% of patients and a death at home should be achieved for at least 80% of those desiring this. Various measures of outcomes in palliative care has been developed, with a breadth of validation work [78,79]. Only two, however, have validation in primary care: the Palliative Care Outcome Scale [80] and the Cambridge Palliative Audit Schedule: CAMPAS-R [81]. Chapter 2, *Palliative care in the community*, explores the use of the After Death Analysis tool of the GSF.

References

1. Gott M, Seymour J, Ingleton C, et al. That's part of everybody's job: the perspectives of health care staff in England and New Zealand on the meaning and remit of palliative care. *Palliat Med* 2011; doi: 10.1177/0269216311408993.
2. Redinbaugh EM, Sullivan AM, Block SD, et al. Doctors' emotional reactions to recent death of a patient: cross sectional study of hospital doctors. *BMJ* 2003; 327: 185.

12 Chapter 1

3. Mitchell GK. How well do general practitioners deliver palliative care? A systematic review. *Palliat Med* 2002; 16: 457–464.
4. General Medical Council. *Treatment and Care Towards the End of Life: Good Practice in Decision Making*. London: GMC, 2010
5. Bell D. GMC guidelines on end of life care. *BMJ* 2010; 340: c3231.
6. Lakhani M. Let's talk about dying. *BMJ* 2011; 342: d3018.
7. Department of Health. *End of Life Care Strategy*. London: DH, 2008
8. Boyd K and Murray SA. Recognizing and managing key transitions in end of life care. *BMJ* 2010; 341: c4863.
9. National Audit Office. *End of Life Care*. London: Stationary office, 2008.
10. Department of Health. *National Cancer Survivorship Initiative*. London: Vision, DH, 2010
11. Armes PJ, Richardson A, Crowe M, et al. Patients' supportive care needs beyond the end of treatment: a prospective and longitudinal survey. *J Clin Oncol* 2009; 27: 6172–6179.
12. Saunders C. Foreword. In: Doyle D, Hanks GWC and Macdonald N (eds), *Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993, pp. v–viii.
13. *National Cancer Control Programmes: Policies and Managerial Guidelines*, 2nd edition. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
14. Saunders C. Care of the dying—the problem of euthanasia. *Nursing Times* 1976; 72: 1049–1052.
15. Shaw KL, Clifford C, Thomas K, et al. Review: improving end-of-life care: a critical review of the gold standards framework in primary care. *Palliat Med* 2010; 24(3): 317–329.
16. Gomes S, Higginson I, Gomes B, et al. Where people die (1974–2030): past trends, future projections and implications for care. *Palliat Med* 2008; 22: 33–41.
17. The Barcelona Declaration on Palliative Care. *Eur J Pall Care* 1995; 3: 15.
18. Cancer pain relief and palliative care. Technical report series: 804. Geneva: World Health organization, 1990.
19. Wright M, Wood J, Lynch T, et al. *Mapping Levels of Palliative Care Development: A Global View*. Lancaster: International Observatory for end of life care, 2008.
20. *The Lien Foundation: Conspiracy of Silence: Life before Death Moonshine Movies*. 2011. Available at: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=01ISKV2eF0>; www.lifebeforedeath.com (accessed on May 17, 2012).
21. Sternswärd J and Clark D. Palliative medicine—a global perspective. In: Doyle D, Hanks G, Cherny N and Calman K (eds), *Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine*, 3rd edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
22. Kanavos P. The rising burden of cancer in the developing world. *Ann Oncol* 2006; 17(Suppl 8): viii15–viii23.
23. Bulato RA and Stephens PW. Estimates and projections of mortality by cause: a global overview 1970–2015. In: Jamison DT and Mosley HW (eds), *The World Bank Health Sector Priorities Review*. Washington, DC: World Bank, 1991.
24. World Health Organization. Tobacco-attributable mortality: global estimates and projections. In: *Tobacco Alert*. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 1991.
25. United Nations. *Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961*. United Nations sales No. E62.XI.1. New York, NY: United Nations, 1962.
26. International Narcotics Control Board. *Availability of Internationally Controlled Drugs: Ensuring Adequate Access for Medical and Scientific Purposes*. New York, NY: United Nations, 2011.
27. Cherny NI, Baselga J, de Conno F, et al. Formulary availability and regulatory barriers to accessibility of opioids for cancer pain in Europe: a report from the ESMO/EAPC Opioids Policy Initiative. *Ann Oncol* 2010; 21: 615–626
28. Anderson T. Cancer doctors pledge to widen access to pain relief in developing countries. *BMJ* 2010; 341: c4645.
29. Kutner JS, Kassner CT and Nowels DE. Symptom burden at the end of life: hospice providers' perceptions. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2001; 21: 473–480.
30. Rogers MS and Todd CJ. The “right kind” of pain: talking about symptoms in outpatient oncology consultations. *Palliat Med* 2000; 14: 299–307.
31. European Pain in Cancer (EPIC) survey. 2007. Available at www.epicsurvey.com (accessed on May 17, 2012).
32. Valeberg BT, Rustoen T, Bjordal K, et al. Self-reported prevalence, etiology, and characteristics of pain in oncology outpatients. *European Journal of Pain* 2008; 12(5): 582–590.
33. Addington-Hall J and McCarthy M. Dying from cancer: results of a national population-based investigation. *Palliat Med* 1995; 9: 295–305.
34. Valdimarsdottir U, Helgason AR, Furst CJ, et al. The unrecognised cost of cancer patients' unrelieved symptoms: a nationwide follow-up of their surviving partners. *Br J Cancer* 2002; 86: 1540–1545.
35. Livingston G, et al. Making decisions for people with dementia who lack capacity: qualitative study of family carers in UK. *BMJ* 2010; 341: c4184.
36. Mills M, Davies HTO and Macrae WA. Care of dying patients in hospital. *BMJ* 1994; 309: 583–586.
37. Jones RVH. *A Parkinson's Disease Study in Devon and Cornwall*. London: Parkinson's Disease Society, 1993.
38. Addington-Hall JM, Lay M, Altmann D, et al. Symptom control, communication with health professionals and hospital care of stroke patients in the last year of life, as reported by surviving family, friends and carers. *Stroke* 1995; 26: 2242–2248.
39. Department of Health. *National Service Framework for Coronary Heart Disease*. London: Department of Health, 2000.
40. Department of Health. *National Service Framework for Older People*. London: Department of Health, 2001. www.doh.gov.uk/nsf/olderpeople/pdfs/nsfolderpeople.pdf.

41. Tebbitt P. *Palliative Care for Adults with Non-malignant Diseases: Developing a National Policy*. London: National Council for Hospices and Specialist Palliative Care Services, 2003.
42. Thorns A and Cawley D. Palliative care in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *BMJ* 2011; 342: d106.
43. Pinnock H, Kendall M, Murray SA, et al. Living and dying with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: multi-perspective longitudinal qualitative study. *BMJ* 2011; 342: d142.
44. Fergus CJY, Chinn DJ and Murray SA. Assessing and improving out-of-hours palliative care in a deprived community: a rapid appraisal study. *Palliat Med* 2010; 24: 493–500.
45. Munday D, Dale J and Barnett M. Out-of-hours palliative care in the UK: perspectives from general practice and specialist services. *J R Soc Med* 2002; 95: 28–30.
46. Shipman C, Addington-Hall J, Barclay S, et al. Providing palliative care in primary care: how satisfied are GPs and district nurses with current out-of-hours arrangements? *Br J Gen Pract* 2000; 50: 477–478.
47. Thomas K. *Out of Hours Palliative Care in the Community*. London: Macmillan Cancer Relief, 2001.
48. Chattoo S, Ahmad W, Haworth M, et al. *South Asian and White Patients with Advanced Cancer: Patients' and Families' Experiences of the Illness and Perceived Needs for Care. Final Report to Cancer Research UK*. Centre for Research in Primary Care, University of Leeds, January 2002.
49. Kai J, Beavan J and Faull C. Challenges of mediated communication, disclosure and patient autonomy in cross-cultural cancer care. *Br J Cancer* 2011; 1–7. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2011.318.
50. Hill D and Penso D. *Opening Doors: Improving Access to Hospice and Specialist Palliative Care Services by Members of Black and Ethnic Minority Communities*. London: National Council for Hospices and Specialist Palliative Care Services, 1995.
51. Karim K, Bailey M and Tunna KH. Non-white ethnicity and the provision of specialist palliative care services: factors affecting doctors' referral patterns. *Palliat Med* 2000; 14: 471–478.
52. Firth S. *Wider Horizons: Care of the Dying in a Multicultural Society*. London: The National Council for Hospice and Specialist Palliative Care Services, 2001.
53. Gunarantun Y. Ethnicity and palliative care. In: Culley L and Dyson S (eds), *Ethnicity and Nursing Practice*. Basingstoke: Palgrave, 2001.
54. Faull C. Cancer and palliative care. In: Kai J (ed.), *Ethnicity, Health and Primary Care*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
55. Selby P. Cancer clinical outcomes for minority ethnic groups. *Br J Cancer* 1996; 74: S54–S60.
56. Johnson MRD, Bains J, Chauhan J, et al. *Improving Palliative Care for Minority Ethnic Communities in Birmingham*. A report for the Birmingham Specialist and Community NHS Trust and Macmillan Trust, 2001.
57. Gatrads AR, Brown E, Notta H, et al. Palliative care needs of minorities. *BMJ* 2003; 327: 176–177.
58. Thomas K. *Caring for the Dying at Home: Companions on a Journey*. Oxford: Radcliffe Medical Press, 2003.
59. Thomas, K. The gold standards framework in community palliative care. *Eur J Palliat Care* 2003; 10(3): 113–115.
60. Munday D, Mahmood K, Agarwal S, et al. Evaluation of the Macmillan gold standards framework for palliative care (GSF)—Phases 3–6 (2003–2005). *Palliat Med* 2006; 20(2): 134
61. Buckman R. Communication in palliative care: a practical guide. In: Doyle D, Hanks GWC and Macdonald N (eds), *Oxford Textbook of Palliative Medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1993, pp. 47–61.
62. Twycross R, Wilcock A and Toller S. *Symptom Management in Advanced Cancer*, 4th edition. Oxford: Radcliffe Medical Press, 2009.
63. Blyth A. An audit of terminal care in general practice. *BMJ* 1987; 294: 871–874.
64. Twycross RG and Fairfield S. Pain in far advanced cancer. *Pain* 1982; 14: 303–310.
65. King N, Thomas K and Bell D. An out-of-hours protocol for community palliative care: practitioners' perspectives. *Int J Palliat Nurs* 2003; 9: 277–282.
66. Cassidy S. *Sharing the Darkness; the Spirituality of Caring*. London: Darton, Longman and Todd, 1988.
67. Spotlight: palliative care beyond cancer. *BMJ* 2010; 341: 644–662.
68. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. *Guidance on Cancer Services: Improving Supportive and Palliative Care for Adults with Cancer*. London: National Institute for Clinical Excellence, 2004.
69. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Quality standard for end of life care for adults, 2011
70. Department of Health. *End of Life Care Strategy: Quality Markers and Measures for End of Life Care*. London: DH, 2009.
71. National Consensus Project for Quality Palliative Care. 2009. *Clinical Practice Guidelines for Quality Palliative Care*, 2nd edition. Available at: <http://www.nationalconsensusproject.org>.
72. African Palliative Care Association Standards for Providing Quality Palliative Care Across Africa. APCA, 2010
73. *Standards for Providing Palliative Care for all Australians*, 4th edition. Palliative Care Australia, 2005
74. Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association (CHCPA). *A Model Guide to Hospice Palliative Care: Based on National Principles and Norms of Practice*, 2002.
75. Ellershaw J and Ward C. Care of the dying patients; the last hours or days of life. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 30–34.

14 Chapter 1

76. Fowell A, Finlay I, Johnstone R, et al. An integrated care pathway for the last two days of life: Wales-wide benchmarking in palliative care. *Palliat Nurs* 2002; 8: 566–573.
77. Eagar K, Watters P, Currow DC, et al. The Australian palliative care outcomes collaboration (PCOC)—measuring the quality and outcomes of palliative care on a routine basis. *Aust Health Review* 2010; 34: 186–192.
78. Hearn J and Higginson IJ. Outcome measures in palliative care for advanced cancer patients. *J Pub Health Med* 1997; 19:193–199.
79. Clinical Effectiveness Working Group. *The Which Tool Guide?* Southampton: Association for Palliative Medicine, 2002.
80. Hearn J and Higginson IJ. Development and validation of a core outcome measure for palliative care: the palliative care outcome scale. Palliative Care Core Audit Project Advisory Group. *Qual Health Care* 1999; 8: 219–227.
81. Ewing G, Todd C, Rogers M, et al. Validation of a symptom measure suitable for use among palliative care patients in the community: CAMPAS-R. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2004; 27: 287–299.