Green Energy and Technology

Rechargeable Batteries

Materials, Technologies and New Trends

Bearbeitet von Zhengcheng Zhang, Sheng Shui Zhang

1. Auflage 2015. Buch. IX, 712 S. Hardcover ISBN 978 3 319 15457 2 Format (B x L): 17,8 x 24,1 cm Gewicht: 1194 g

<u>Weitere Fachgebiete > Chemie, Biowissenschaften, Agrarwissenschaften ></u> <u>Physikalische Chemie > Elektrochemie, Magnetochemie</u>

Zu Inhaltsverzeichnis

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei



Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung beck-shop.de ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

Olivine-Based Cathode Materials

Karim Zaghib, Alain Mauger and Christian M. Julien

1 Introduction

The lithium insertion compounds built with polyanionic groups such as $(SO_4)^{2^-}$, $(PO_4)^{3^-}$, $(P_2O_7)^{4^-}$, $(MoO_4)^{2^-}$ or $(WO_4)^{2^-}$ are considered as potential positive electrode materials for use in lithium rechargeable batteries [1, 2]. Yet in this family, olivine phosphate and Nasicon-like frameworks are currently the subject of many investigations. In particular, LiFePO₄ (LFP) has received a great deal of interest because this cathode material realizes the highest capacity ($\approx 170 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$) at moderate current densities [3]. In addition, it presents several advantages with regard to low cost, non-toxicity, tolerance on abuse, and high safety, which are determinant with respect to cobalt-oxide-based materials for large-scaled applications such as hybrid electric vehicles (HEV). Nevertheless, the bulk electronic conductivity of olivine is quite low, which may result in losses in the specific capacity during high-rate discharge. To increase the electrochemical performance, it is a common practice in the production of Li-ion battery cathodes to manipulate the active material by (i) adding carbon additives to a olivine matrix [1, 4], (ii) surface coating of particles with thin layers of carbon [5–7] or reducing the particle size [8].

Still, there have been numerous efforts through the years to decrease the size of the particles from a few microns to this "nano" range, for several reasons. One is the increase of the effective contact area of the powder with the electrolyte. A larger effective contact surface with the electrolyte means a greater probability to drain Li⁺

K. Zaghib (⊠) IREQ, Varennes, QC, Canada e-mail: Zaghib.Karim@ireq.ca

A. Mauger IMPMC, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

C.M. Julien PHENIX, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France e-mail: christian.julien@upmc.fr

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

Z. Zhang and S.S. Zhang (eds.), Rechargeable Batteries,

Green Energy and Technology, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-15458-9_2

ions from the electrode, which increases the power density of the cell. A smaller particle size also reduces the length of the path of Li inside the particle, which leads to a greater capacity at higher charge/discharge rates and therefore to a larger power density. Reducing the dimensions of the active particles to nanoscale means, for a given chemical diffusion coefficient of Li⁺ ions, D^* , the characteristic time, τ_c , for the intercalation reaction is given by the Fick's law. For non stoichiometric system (one-phase reaction) the characteristic time constant for is expressed by:

$$\tau_{\rm c} = L^2 / 4\pi D^*,\tag{1}$$

where L is the diffusion length [9]. In the case of olivine compounds, the insertion operates through a two-phase process, so the characteristic time constant becomes:

$$\tau_c = \frac{F^2}{2V_m} \frac{L^2}{\langle \sigma^i \rangle \Delta \mu_i},\tag{2}$$

in which *F* is the Faraday constant, $V_{\rm m}$ the molar volume, $\sigma^{\rm i}$ the ionic conductivity and $\Delta \mu_{\rm i}$ the chemical potential of ions. Nanoparticles, as well as more tailored nanostructures, are being explored and exploited to enhance the rate capability, even for materials with poor intrinsic electronic conductivity such as olivine frameworks. In addition, the problems raised by the small electronic conductivity that results from the strong ionicity of the bonding have been solved by coating the olivine particles with a thin layer that is conductive for both electrons and Li, usually an amorphous carbon layer [10, 11]. Decreasing the particle size reduces the length of the tunneling barrier for electrons to travel between the surface layer and the core of the particle, while the electrons are driven from the surface of the particle to the current collector via the conductive layer that percolates through the structure. The coat may also decrease the activation energy for Li⁺ transfer across the electrode/electrolyte interfaces. Indeed, by preparing the materials at the nanoscale form and by carbon coating, high rates are achievable [10].

The aim of the present chapter is to investigate the physicochemical properties of optimized olivine-like electrode materials. One approach to provide insight into the structural and electronic properties of electrode materials involves a systematic study by a combination of techniques including structural, magnetic and spectroscopic measurements. Furthermore, advantage can be taken of the high sensitivity of some analytical tools for the detection of parasitic impurities that can be grown during synthesis of solid phases. These principles were fully exploited to optimize lithium iron phosphate compounds. This chapter is organized as follows. First, we expose in Sect. 2 the principle of the inductive effect in polyanionic frameworks. Section 3 presents the synthesis route, the structure and morphology of optimized LiFePO₄ particles probed by X-ray powder diffractometry (XRD), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) and Raman scattering (RS) spectroscopy. We then complete the analysis (Sect. 4) with magnetic measurements: magnetization curves and electron spin resonance (ESR). In Sect. 5, we examine the

electrochemical properties of LFP in various situations including high temperature, high current density, and in humid atmosphere. In the following Sects. 6-8, we explore the other olivine materials, namely LiMnPO₄, LiNiPO₄ and LiCoPO₄.

2 The Inductive Effect

The aspect of tuning the redox potential of an electrode material has been demonstrated by Goodenough et al. [1, 2, 12-14]. They have shown that the use of polyanions $(XO_4)^{n-1}$ such as $(SO_4)^{2-1}$, $(PO_4)^{3-1}$, $(AsO_4)^{3-1}$, or even $(WO_4)^{2-1}$ lowers the redox energy of the 3*d*-metals to useful levels with respect to the Fermi level of the Li anode. Thus, the most attractive key point of the polyanion frameworks can be seen in the strong X-O covalency, which results in a decrease of the Fe–O covalency. This inductive effect is responsible for a decrease of the redox potential in comparison to the oxides [12]. The polyanion PO_4^{3-} unit stabilizes the olivine structure of LiFePO₄ and lowers the Fermi level of the $Fe^{2+/3+}$ redox couple through the Fe-O-P inductive effect which results in a higher potential for the olivine material. The discharge voltage 3.45 V is almost 650 mV higher than that of Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ [1]. It is also 350 mV higher than that of Fe₂(SO₄)₃ [14], which is consistent with the stronger Bronsted acidity of sulphuric versus phosphoric acid. In the case of Li_2FeSiO_4 , the lower electronegativity of Si versus P results in a lowering of the $Fe^{2+/3+}$ redox couple [15]. On the other hand, the higher thermal stability of the phospho-olivines and their lower tendency to release oxygen is explained by the strong X-O covalency and the rigidity of the $(XO_4)^{n-1}$ units decreasing the safety risks. However, $AMXO_4$ compounds and $AM(XO_4)_3$ as well (A is an alkali ion) exhibit a very low electronic conductivity because of the separation between MO_6 octahedra and XO_4 tetrahedra that induces a large polarization effect during charge-discharge reaction [16].

Electrochemical extraction of Li from LiFePO₄ gives (Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}) redox potential at ca. 3.5 V versus Li⁰/Li⁺. A small but first-order displacive structural change of the framework gives a two-phase separation over most of the solid-solution range 0 < x < 1 for Li_xFePO₄ and therefore a flat V-x curve. A reversible capacity of 160 mAh g^{-1} is delivered by the nano-structured cathode particles coated with carbon. Electrochemical characteristics of LiFePO₄ are compared with those of other Fe-containing phosphates in Fig. 1. This graph presents the energy of the redox couples against the specific capacity relative to lithium and iron in various phosphate frameworks. Electrochemical tests of optimized LiFePO₄ have been conducted under various conditions to assess the influence of the electrolyte on stability and the influence of electrode processing. Post-mortem analysis, i.e. ICP, XRD, SEM, showed that no iron species were detected at the separator-negative electrode interface in cells with anode in lithium metal, graphite, or $C-Li_4Ti_5O_{12}$ [17, 18]. This result is attributed to the high quality of the "optimized" LiFePO₄, impurity-free materials used as positive electrodes. This property characterizes the impact of structural fluorine on the inductive effect of the PO_4^{3-} polyanion.



3 Lithium Iron Phosphate

The electrochemical properties of LFP are known to be sensitive to the mode of preparation and the structural properties [19]. This can be an advantage for potential applications since it allows for an optimization of the material if we can correlate the mode of preparation with the structural and the physical properties. Aiming to this problem, we have first investigated this correlation in $LiFePO_4$ grown by different techniques [20–24]. Different clustering effects have been evidenced [19]. A firing temperature larger than 800 °C increases the fraction of Fe₂P [25], but Fe₂P nano-particles in such a large concentration that they drive superferromagnetism has been detected in samples that have not been heated to such high temperatures [20]. On the one hand, the presence of Fe_2P can increase the electronic conductivity, but on the other hand it also decreases the ionic conductivity so that both the capacity and cycling rates are degraded with respect to the carbon-coated LFP. It is thus desirable to optimize the preparation of the samples so that such clustering effects do not occur. This can be done easily for Fe₂P clusters, but it is more difficult to avoid the presence of a small concentration $(1.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ per chemical formula})$ of γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles [20, 26] in carbon-free LFP. However, we know from the iron industry that hydrogen, carbon monoxide or carbon can reduce Fe₂O₃ through different reduction steps that depend on temperature and other physical parameters such as particle sizes. Nearly all iron produced commercially is made using a blast furnace process covered by most chemistry text books. In essence, at high temperature, Fe₂O₃, is reduced with carbon (as coke) according to the reaction:

Olivine-Based Cathode Materials

$$2Fe_2O_3 + 3C \rightarrow 4Fe + 3CO_2. \tag{3}$$

This is one of the most significant industrial processes in history, and the origins of the modern process are traceable back to a small town called Coalbrookdale in Shropshire (England) around the year 1773. We can then expect that carbon would reduce Fe³⁺ ions directly or through the formation of CO gas thus preventing the formation of γ -Fe₂O₃, if the synthesis temperature might be raised to 1000 °C. However, such a high temperature is prohibited to synthesize nano-LFP. We believe that the carbon deposition process using organic carbon precursors generates reductive gases such as hydrogen that are more active kinetically to reduce Fe³⁺ impurities in the 500–700 °C temperature range used. This is also favored by the fact that the organic precursor is usually mixed with the LFP material or with the LFP chemical precursors by solution processes at a molecular size level. For overview on olivine phosphate material see the reviews recently published [17, 19, 27].

3.1 Synthesis Routes

Many synthesis routes have been used for the preparation of LFP materials [21–48]. Here, we will focus on three methods used by our group: solid-state, hydrothermal and jet milling techniques [21–23]. Then a comparison of the physical and electrochemical properties of a series of carbon-coated LiFePO₄ is used to optimize the materials for battery application. Precursors were prepared by mixing various raw materials: iron(II) oxalate [Fe(C₂O₄)₂·H₂O] or [FePO₄(H₂O)₂], ammonium dihydrogen phosphate [NH₄H₂PO₄] and lithium salt [Li₂CO₃] or [LiOH]. The chemical homogeneity and purity of the powders appear to be sensitive to the conditions of preparation. Thus, the choice of the raw materials, carbon precursor, temperature, and atmosphere is required not only for the reduction of Fe(III) but also for the carbon-coating formation.

A solid-state synthesis method assisted by polymeric carbon additive proved to be efficient to prepare LFP at quite low temperature, 300-400 °C, while the sintering temperature that does not exceed 750 °C makes the existence of any carbothermal effect unlikely [28]. A blend of Fe^{III}PO₄(H₂O)₂ and Li₂CO₃ was mixed by ball milling in isopropyl alcohol (IPA) overnight; the blend was dried and mixed with 5 wt% of a polymeric carbon additive, polyethylene-block-poly(ethylene glycol) 50 % ethylene oxide. Overall synthesis was carried out under flowing argon. Note that the carbon source could provide the LFP powder with a carbonaceous deposit after pyrolysis and such a powder pressed at 3750 kg cm⁻² at room temperature presents an electronic conductivity much higher than 1×10^{-8} S cm⁻¹ [11]. Other elements that can be present are hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen, as long as they do not interfere with the chemical inertia of the carbon. Preferred polymeric additives include, but are not limited to, hydrocarbons and their derivatives, especially those comprising polycyclic aromatic moieties, e.g. polyolefins,



Fig. 2 Scheme of the steps of the polymer-assisted solid-state synthesis of LFP powders. The in situ carbon-coating is realized by polymer precursor at temperature Ts < 750 °C

polybutadienes, polyvinyl alcohol, etc. [11]. Figure 2 shows the different steps of the synthesis of carbon-coated LFP particles using the polymer-assisted solid-state method. Note that for Ts > 750 °C Fe(III)-containing impurities are formed that poison the electrochemical performance of LFP.

For some of the synthesis routes, the reduction to small size occurs at the expense of crystallinity and the formation of a large concentration of defects [29]. These difficulties have been overcome in the framework of a preparation process in which the mechanical assistance is used only to reduce the size of the particles [11]. In a first step, LFP particles were prepared by melting precursors at 1050 °C for 5 min in a graphite crucible and then cooled under N₂ atmosphere fast enough so that impurities have no time to nucleate [21]. The next step is to decrease the size of the particles down to the desired value, anywhere in the range from the centimeter down to 40 nm (Fig. 3). For this purpose, the ingot is first crushed into centimetersize particles by using a jaw-crusher with ceramic liner to avoid metal contamination. Then, the roll crusher (ceramic type) is used to obtain millimeter-size particles. The millimeter-size particles are further ground by jet-mill to achieve micrometer-size particles. In the process, the grains enter the grading wheel and are blasted to the collector. The particles obtained at this step are referred as "jet-mill" in the following. There size is the order of 1 µm. To obtain smaller particles, these micrometer-size powders were dispersed in isopropyl alcohol (IPA) solution at 10-15 % of solid concentration and then ground on a bead mill using 0.2-mm zirconia beads to obtain nanometer-sized particles [11]. This final product is referred "wet mill" in the following. One advantage of the process is that we can investigate the properties of the same particles at different stages of the milling, for comparison, so that any difference is a size effect. The particles can be considered as uncoated particles because of the great damage caused by the milling process. In the case of the particles used to obtain the experimental results illustrated in the figures of this



Fig. 3 Milling synthesis from molten ingot. Nanopowders are obtained using crusher ceramic liner and jet milling

review, the carbon-coated particles have been obtained with lactose as the carbon precursor in acetone solution according to the following procedure. The uncoated particles were mixed with the carbon precursor. The dry additive corresponded to 5 wt% carbon in LiFePO₄. After drying, the blend was heated at 750 or 700 °C [21, 30] for 4 h under argon atmosphere. This range of temperature is dictated by two considerations. Below 700 °C, the carbon deposit was not conductive enough [21].

The hydrothermal route is particularly successful to control the chemical composition and crystallite size [28, 37-39]. The conventional hydrothermal process involves a reaction time 5-12 h to synthesize LFP [40, 41]. With respect to the previous techniques, the hydrothermal process has the advantage that the synthesis temperature can be as small as 230 °C [42]. Brochu et al. [23] demonstrated the beneficial effect of choosing adapted complexing agent in the hydrothermal solution. Even at this mild temperature the carbon coating of the particles could be achieved by an in situ hydrothermal carbonization of glucose during the synthesis process. The heating temperature to get the particles coated with conductive carbon was still 700 °C, but good results were obtained upon heating during one hour only. Carbon-coated LFP was prepared by hydrothermal synthesis assisted by rotating/ stirring tests at different agitation speeds [24]. The process was carried out at 190 °C for 12 h with a solution chemistry of LiOH·H₂O, FeSO₄·7H₂O, H₃PO₄ (85 wt%), and ascorbic acid (as reducing agent) in the stoichiometry 3Li:1Fe:1P:0.2C. Annealing was done at 700 °C under nitrogen atmosphere using lactose as carbon coating source. The LiFePO₄-C electrodes prepared by employing agitation during hydrothermal synthesis were found to exhibit higher discharge capacities (~137 mAh g⁻¹ at C/12) than those prepared without agitation (~106 mAh g⁻¹). This was equally true for higher current rates, namely C/5 and C/3. Via a series of tests at different speeds (260-1150 rpm) and different concentrations (0.4-0.6 mol.

 dm^{-3}) the optimum solution rotating agitation/concentration conditions were determined to be 260–380 rpm and 0.5 mol.dm⁻³, respectively [24].

A polyol process to synthesize LFP has been developed first by Kim to synthesize particles under the form of rods with average width 20 nm and length 50 nm [37], but different shapes ranging from rods to plates can be obtained [38] with average size of 100–300 nm. Note the polyol process make possible the synthesis of LFP at low temperature just like the hydrothermal process. Bigger particles can be obtained by the solvothermal process in a polyol medium of diethylene glycol, but still under the form of plates or rods. The advantage of this process is that the polyol medium acts not only as a solvent, but also as a reducing agent and stabilizer that limits the particle growth and prevents agglomeration [38–40]. In general, the solvothermal process leads to the formation of LFP under the form of plates, about 50 nm-thick [41], which can be self-assembled by using poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) as the surfactant in a benzyl alcohol system [42].

3.2 Structure of Olivine Phosphate

Triphylite is a rather scarce orthophosphate primary mineral found in phosphatic pegmatites and pegmatitic dikes. Its formula is Li(Mn,Fe)PO₄ and differs from the other mineral, lithiophilite, by being rich in iron instead of manganese. The structures of the two minerals are the same and form a solid solution, referred as the triphylite series, isomorphous with olivine. Therefore, any differences in physical properties between the two would be related to the iron/manganese percentage. These differences are then best evidenced by comparing the physical properties of the two members at the opposite edges of the triphylite family, namely LiFePO₄ and the often associated material LiMnPO₄ (LMP), which, in contrast with triphylite and lithiophilite, are artificial ceramics [43]. In addition, triphylite's name in Greek means "family of three" (referring to Fe, Mn, and Li). Any confusion between LiFePO₄ and triphylite met recently in the literature should then be avoided. Triphylite alters easily into other phosphate minerals, and geologists show it a lot of respect for making the other phosphate minerals possible. This easy alteration, however, means that this material is not very stable and that it is difficult to make good quality, well-crystallized samples. Despite this drawback, many efforts have been focused on the definite compounds LFP and LMP rather than their solid solutions. They belong to the rich family of olivines of the Mg₂SiO₄-type with the general formula B_2AX_4 [44].

The crystal structure of olivine materials has been studied by several authors [44, 48]. LiFePO₄ crystallizes in the orthorhombic system (No. 62) with *Pnma* space group. It consists of a distorted hexagonal-close-packed oxygen framework containing Li and Fe located in half the octahedral sites and P ions in one-eighth of the tetrahedral sites [46]. The FeO₆ octahedra, however, are distorted, lowering their local cubic-octahedral O_h to the C_s symmetry. Corner-shared FeO₆ octahedra are



Fig. 4 Crystal structure of LiFePO₄ olivine. Corner-shared FeO₆ octahedra are linked together in the *bc*-plane; LiO₆ octahedra form edge-sharing chains along the *b*-axis. The tetrahedral PO₄ groups bridge neighboring layers of FeO₆ octahedra by sharing a common edge with one FeO₆ octahedra and two edges with LiO₆ octahedra

linked together in the *bc*-plane; the LiO_6 octahedra form edge-sharing chains along the *b*-axis. The tetrahedral PO₄ groups bridge neighboring layers of FeO₆ octahedra by sharing a common edge with one FeO₆ octahedron and two edges with LiO₆ octahedra. Remarkably short O–O bonds at the shared PO₄ and FeO₆ edges help to screen the cation charges from each other. This structure is illustrated in Fig. 4 showing the 1-D channels via which the lithium ions can be removed. Cornershared FeO₆ octahedra are linked together in the *bc*-plane, while LiO₆ octahedra from edge-sharing chains along the *b*-axis. The tetrahedral PO₄ groups bridge neighboring layers of FeO₆ octahedra by sharing a common edge with one FeO₆ octahedra and two edges with LiO₆ octahedra.

The LiFePO₄ structure consists in three non-equivalent O sites. Most of the atoms of the olivine structure occupy the 4*c* Wyckoff position except O(3) that lies in the general 8*d* position and Li⁺ ions occupying only the 4*a* Wyckoff position (M1 site on an inversion center). The Fe magnetic ions are in the divalent Fe²⁺ state of the FeO₆ units. As a consequence, Fe is distributed so as to form FeO₆ octahedra isolated from each other in TeOc₂ layers perpendicular to the (001) hexagonal direction [47]. In addition, the lattice has a strong two-dimensional character, since above a TeOc₂ layer comes another one at the vertical of the previous one, to build (100) layers of FeO₆ octahedra sharing corners and mixed layers of LiO₆ octahedra. Nevertheless, these Fe–O planes are strongly linked by the PO₄ bridges, sot that the material is truly three-dimensional, which insures the remarkable thermal stability with respect of the lamellar compounds. The synthetic lithium iron phosphate material differs from the primary mineral triphylite (Mn,Fe)PO₄ by the fact that triphylite is only rich in iron, with some manganese ions also in the M2 site. However, while the triphylite is a naturally occurring mineral, LiFePO₄ is an

a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	Unit cell volume (Å ³)	Refs.
10.332(4)	6.010(5)	4.692(2)	291.4(3)	Herle [25]
10.334	6.008	4.693	291.39	Yamada [52]
10.329(0)	6.006(5)	4.690(8)	291.02	Geller [44]
10.31	5.997	4.686	289.73	Santoro [45]
10.3298	6.0079	4.6921	291.19	Andersson [53]
10.334(4)	6.008(3)	4.693(1)	291.392(3)	Padhi [1]

Table 1 Lattice constants for stoichiometric LiFePO₄ materials in the Pnma (62) structure

Table 2 Fractionalcoordinates and site symmetryof atoms in LiFePO4 (*Pnma*)

Atom	x	y	z	Site symmetry
Li	0	0	0	1 (4 <i>a</i>)
Fe	0.28222	1/4	0.97472	m (4 <i>c</i>)
Р	0.09486	1/4	0.41820	m (4 <i>c</i>)
O(1)	0.09678	1/4	0.74279	m (4 <i>c</i>)
O(2)	0.45710	1/4	0.20602	m (4 <i>c</i>)
O(3)	0.16558	0.04646	0.28478	1 (8 <i>d</i>)

artificial product. On a fundamental point of view, the main interest lies in the fact that the olivine structure generates magnetic-interaction frustration [49]. However, three olivine structure classes can be distinguished as a function of the site occupation by magnetic ions. In Mn_2SiS_4 and Fe_2SiS_4 , the magnetic ion (Mn, Fe) lies in the *M1* and the *M2* site [50], while in NaCoPO₄ and NaFePO₄, the magnetic ion lies on the *M1* site only [51]. The third class is the phospho-olivine LiMPO₄ (*M*=Ni, Co, Mn, Fe) where the magnetic ion lies in the *M2* site with the M1 site occupied by the non-magnetic ion (Li⁺).

We used the recent structure determination by Streltsov et al. [46] as a standard reference (Table 1). The orthorhombic unit cell of the olivine structure contains 28 atoms (Z = 4). Structural parameters are listed in Table 2. Fe–O distances range from 2.064 to 2.251 Å. The Fe–Fe separation in LiFePO₄ is large (3.87 Å). The magnetic interactions between Fe ions are antiferromagnetic superexchange interactions of the form –Fe–O–Fe– and –Fe–O–P–O–Fe–, consistent with the antiferromagnetic ordering observed below $T_N = 52$ K [43]. Figure 5 shows the typical XRD spectra of two LiFePO₄ samples with nano- and micro-sized particles. Crystallite sizes 25 nm and 2 µm were estimated using the Scherrer formula.

Fig. 5 XRD patterns of LFP samples with nano-sized particles (lower spectrum) obtained after jet milling and micro-sized particles (upper spectrum). Note the large broadening of the XRD lines of the nano-sized particles



3.3 Morphology of LFP

The surface morphologies of the nano-sized LFP powders studied by HRTEM are reported in Fig. 6. The powders are composed of well-dispersed secondary particles that are slightly agglomerated, with a small quantity of fragments. The TEM pictures show similar images at any part of the sample, which is homogeneous at the nanoscale with respect to the area investigated. Images (a and b) are then representative of the free-carbon particles with the tendency of forming agglomerates. Each of the secondary particles is made of a large number of small primary particles. The HRTEM images (c and d) for the carbon-coated sample illustrated polydispersed primary particles with a mean size ≈ 90 nm, which is larger by a factor 3 with respect to the average size of the monocrystallite grains deduced from the application of the Scherrer law on the XRD pattern. Therefore, the primary particles are polycrystallites of LiFePO₄ made of a few (3 on average) monocrystallites of LiFePO₄. The amorphous carbon layer is well illustrated in the TEM pictures (Fig. 6c, d). The formation of a carbon network appears in the interstitial grainboundary region, which could explain the electrical continuity between $LiFePO_4$ crystallites. In the micrographs, the LiFePO₄ crystallites appear as the darker regions while the carbon coating is surrounding the primary particle as the greyish region. The average thickness is estimated to be 30 nm. The carbon film is highly porous, which results in an irregular coating of the crystallites well-observed on the HRTEM images but the important point for the electronic conductivity is that it connects the particles. To summarize these results, the HRTEM images clearly depict the beneficial effect of a carbon layer coating the LFP crystallites. XRD and HRTEM data are consistent.



Fig. 6 HRTEM images of LFP nano-sized particles (a, b) before carbon coating and (c, d) after carbon deposited by the lactose method

3.4 Local Structure, Lattice Dynamics

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy probes bulk properties, while Raman scattering (RS) spectroscopy is the tool to perform surface analysis [54–57]. For instance, the amount of carbon on LiFePO₄ is too small to be detected by FTIR, but it is well-characterized by RS experiments [54]. The vibrational modes of LiFePO₄ are primarily due to motion associated with phosphate and iron the other modes show some lithium contribution [55]. The FTIR spectra of the samples are reported in Fig. 7. We have also reported the position of the peaks intrinsic to this material, already identified in earlier works [52]. Let us recall that the spectra result from absorption measurements, so that they are a probe of the bulk properties, and the amount of carbon in the material is too small to be detected by such experiments. This is the basic reason why the FTIR spectra are characteristics of the LiFePO₄ part. The positions of all the IR bands are in agreement with those in Ref. [58]. No extra line is observed with respect to pure LFP. The bands in the range 372–647 cm⁻¹ are bending modes (v_2 and v_4) involving O–P–O symmetric and





asymmetric modes and Li vibrations [55]. In particular, the line at 230 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the same cage mode of the lithium ions that undergo translation vibrations inside the cage formed by the six nearest-neighbour oxygen atoms [56]. The bands in this range $372-647 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are thus the part of the spectrum that is sensitive to the local lithium environment. This is also the part of the spectrum that is the same in both the carbon-free and carbon-coated samples. We can then infer from this result that the lithium ions do not 'see' the carbon ions, another evidence that the carbon did not penetrate inside the LFP particles. The part of the spectrum in the range 945–1139 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the stretching modes of the $(PO_4)^{3-1}$ units. They involve symmetric and asymmetric modes of the P-O bonds at frequencies closely related to those of the free molecule, which explains that the frequencies of these modes are the same in both samples. However, the modes in the carbon-free sample are significantly broader than in the carbon-coated sample. This broadening gives evidence of a decrease in the lifetime of the phonons, and thus the existence of defects breaking the periodicity of the lattice sites inside the LFP crystallites of the carbon-free sample. The analysis of magnetic properties in the next section will allow us to identify these defects as γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles.

To explore the surface properties of the LFP particles, Raman spectra have been measured; the penetration depth for carbon with Raman spectroscopy is approximately 30 nm [54]. This is one order of magnitude larger than the thickness of the carbon coat deposited at the surface of the LFP particles in case of a uniform carbon distribution. Therefore, any screening effect of carbon on the LiFePO₄ spectra is not expected. The penetration depth inside LiFePO₄ is unknown, but it should be small, so that the detector in the Raman experiments collects the signal within the light penetration depth, which basically represents the total amount of carbon and a few per cent of the amount of LiFePO₄. Since the total amount of carbon is itself 5 wt%

Fig. 8 Raman spectra of the carbon-free and carboncoated LiFePO₄ samples. Spectra were recorded using the 514.5 nm laser line at the spectral resolution 2 cm^{-1} . RS features of the LiFePO₄ bulk material are screened by the carbon deposit for which the G- and D-band are observed



of LiFePO₄, we can expect that comparable amounts of carbon and LiFePO₄ are probed by the sampling depth. This is confirmed by the Raman spectra reported in Fig. 8. The part of the spectrum in the wave number range $100-1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the same in the carbon-free and the carbon-coated sample and only the lines characteristics of LiFePO₄ are detected in this range. The peak positions reported in Fig. 8 in this range are within a few cm^{-1} the same as those that have been reported in [57], and we refer to this prior work for their assignment. The largest difference is for the line at 395 cm^{-1} , which is reported at 410 cm^{-1} in Ref. [55]. This line is associated with the PO₄ bending modes v_2 , v_4 which are strongly coupled. However, we cannot consider this difference as significant since all the other lines associated with PO₄ have the same position. This is the case in particular for the lines at 620, 940, 986 and 1058 cm⁻¹ associated with v_4 , v_1 , v_3 and v_2 intramolecular stretching modes of PO₄, respectively. The only difference in this range of wave numbers is a shift of the Raman lines by about 10 cm⁻¹ towards lower frequencies in the carbon-coated sample. This shift of the Raman lines is in contrast with the absence of any shift of the FTIR lines, which gives evidence that it is a surface effect. This shift of the Raman lines is attributable to the increase of the bonding length in the first layers of LFP₄ particles near the interface with the carbon, taking its origin in the strain induced by the adhesion of the carbon film. For samples with a different mode of preparation, carbon was reported to be responsible for a screening of the signal from bulk, so that only a weak band at 942 cm^{-1} associated with LFP could still be detected [54]. Again, such a screening is not expected for the reasons above mentioned, and it is not observed in the present case.

3.5 Iron(III) Nanoclusters in LFP

Recently, significant effort has been underway to improve LiFePO₄ by developing a new synthesis route via carbon coating [59, 60]. The 1-D Li channels make the olivine performance sensitive not only to particle size, but also to impurities and stacking faults that block the channels. Various types of iron-based impurities have been identified in the olivine framework: for examples y-Fe₂O₃, Fe₃O₄, Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃, Fe₂P₂O₇, Fe₂P, Fe₃P, Fe₇₅P₁₅C₁₀, etc. Critical quality control of the product is necessary to obtain a complete understanding of synthesis conditions using combination of experiments such as Raman spectroscopy and magnetic measurements [20-22, 59, 60]. Analysis of the magnetic properties gives an average separation of the magnetic clusters that is too large for interaction between particles (superparamagnetic model). This hypothesis must be released where the number *n* of magnetic clusters of momentum μ is so large that magnetic interactions between the ferrimagnetic particles become important [20]. At high fields, M_{extrin} saturates to $Nn\mu$ so that this quantity is readily determined as the magnetization at the intersection of the tangent to the magnetization curves at large fields with the ordinate axis at H = 0. As a result, we find that $Nn\mu$ does not depend significantly on temperature below 300 K. Therefore, we are in the situation where the cluster magnetization is temperature independent, which amounts to say that the Curie temperature Tc inside the clusters is much larger than 300 K. This is an important information on the nature of the ferromagnetic clusters. In particular, this feature precludes the existence of Fe₂P clusters observed in some LFP samples prepared according to a different procedure [59], since the Curie temperature of these clusters is only 220 K. The nature of the strongly ferromagnetic clusters in the present case is most likely maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃).

It is remarkable from Fig. 9 that the synthesized LFP sample displays different magnetic features, with a magnetic moment $\mu_{eff} = 5.72 \ \mu_B$ due to the existence of Fe (III) containing impurities. The first consequence is an ambiguity in what is called the magnetic susceptibility χ_m since *M/H* is distinct from *dM/dH*. The magnetic susceptibility measured with a SQUID at H = 10 kOe shows the non-linearity of the magnetic moments attributed to the presence of γ -Fe₂O₃. The best material shows the lowest Curie constant 3.09 emu K mol⁻¹. The effective magnetic moment $\mu_{eff} = 4.98 \ \mu_B$ is close to theoretical value 4.90 μ_B calculated from the spin-only value of Fe²⁺ in its high-spin configuration. Departure from the spin-only value is attributable to the presence of Fe³⁺ ions because the orbital-momentum contribution of the Fe²⁺ ions is quenched by the crystal field [26].



3.6 Influence of the Fe₂P Nanoclusters

The electrochemical properties of LFP are known to be sensitive to the mode of preparation and the structural properties [61]. This can be an advantage for potential applications since it allows for an optimization of the material if we can correlate the mode of preparation with the structural and the physical properties. To address this issue, we investigated this relationship in LiFePO₄ samples grown under different conditions [62]. Undesirable impurities in the lattice can be introduced during the growth process. For instance, the presence of Fe_2P can increase the electronic conductivity, but on the other hand it also decreases the ionic conductivity so that both the capacity and cycling rates are degraded with respect to C-LFP. In addition, the iron from Fe₂P dissolves into the electrolyte, which reduced the calendar life of the cell. The presence of a small concentration (>0.5 %) of Fe₂P is evidenced in Fig. 9 by the appearance of an abnormal $\chi(T)$ behaviour with the occurrence of a shoulder near $T_c = 265$ K, the Curie temperature of the ferromagnet Fe₂P. Figure 10 shows the Arrhenius plot of the electronic conductivity, σ_{elec} , of three LiFePO₄ samples: a pure material, a Fe₂P-containing sample, and a C-LFP. It is obvious that addition of either iron phosphide or carbon enhances greatly σ_{elec} but to the detriment of the capacity for the former compound, as it will be discussed next.

Figure 11 displays the electrochemical charge-discharge profiles of Li//LFP cells cycled at room temperature with pure LiFePO₄ and with Fe₂P-containing electrode material. It is obvious that at the rate 2C, the capacity retention decreases significantly for the material containing few % of Fe₂P. A close examination was made for the detection of any iron dissolution that could occur after long-term cycling. The analysis of iron species was investigated at the separator/lithium (SL) interface by SEM cross-section (slice view) as shown in Fig. 12a, b. The micrograph (Fig. 12a)



obtained from evaluation of the earlier generation material shows the presence of iron islands at the SL interface. Obviously, some iron particles (or ions) migrate through the electrolyte from the LiFePO₄ positive electrode to the lithium negative. The net effect of this migration is a large decrease in capacity retention of the Li// LFP cell. Figure 12b shows the post-mortem micrograph obtained from tests with an optimised electrode in a Li cell with a lithium foil negative. In this case, there is no iron detected at the SL interface, which remained intact after 100 cycles. In fact, this high performance was possible not only because of the optimised synthesis of the LFP powders, but also because of strict control of the structural quality of the materials. Several physical methods were used to analyse the local structure and the electronic properties of the phospho-olivine framework [18].



Fig. 12 Post-mortem SEM images of the detection of iron species at the separator/lithium interface. **a** Formation of iron islands at the interface with an earlier generation of LiFePO₄. **b** No iron was detected at the surface of Li foil with the optimized LiFePO₄

3.7 Carbon Coating

To increase the electronic conductivity, it is a common practice in the production of Li-ion battery electrodes, to add carbon, either by use of carbon additives to the LiFePO₄ matrix [3], or by surface coating of LFP particles with thin layers of carbon [5, 6]. A seven-order-of-magnitude increase in the electronic conductivity has been reached by adding sucrose to produce carbon in LFP raw materials by a spray pyrolysis technique [7]. The addition of carbon has then the advantage of combining much better electronic conductivity, and high capacity. In particular, a capacity of about 160 mAh g⁻¹ has been found for LFP coated with 1 wt% carbon [61]. Ravet et al. [5, 6] reported two ways to coat carbon: (i) mixing LFP powder with sugar solution and heating the mixture at 700 °C, and (ii) synthesizing LFP with some organic materials added before heating. Although the way to add carbon is not fully optimized yet, the approach that consists in adding a carbon source at the beginning of the synthesis is more promising [6]. Recently, Julien et al. [33] demonstrated that better performance was obtained at high-rate discharge (3C) with 6 % carbon additive in the LFP electrode. This material is suitable for HEV application. This is consistent with the HRTEM images in Fig. 13, showing that the carbon coats the secondary particles with a typical radius of 100 nm and does not penetrate into the LFP particles [23].

3.8 Aging of LFP Particles Exposed to Water

It has been well-known for decades that all the lithium-ion batteries need to be protected against humidity. The main reason is that lithium is very reactive with water according to the chemical reaction $\text{Li} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{LiOH} + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2$. Lithium hydroxide (LiOH) is a corrosive alkali hydroxide. When crystallized, it is a white hygroscopic material. It is also soluble in water, a property that has been used to investigate aqueous lithium hydroxide as a potential electrolyte in Li-ion batteries



Fig. 13 HRTEM of carbon-coated LFP particles synthesized by hydrothermal method. The carbon coating, ~ 2 -nm thick was realized using the lactose route

with a LiFePO₄ cathode. Since the carbon coat is not a barrier for Li⁺-ion transport (the reason for the success of C–LiFePO₄ as a cathode element of Li-ion batteries), we expect the reaction with water to be effective, implying extraction of Li from LFP grains to interact with water. This delithiation is the only effect that is observed after exposure to H₂O in air, since the surface layer of the particles is also affected [63, 64]. Despite this reaction of lithium with humidity, a drop of LiFePO₄ particles into water was used in the laboratory to check the carbon-coating process by separating coated and uncoated particles. This means of characterization is based on the fact that when the C-LiFePO₄ powder is dropped into water, part of the carbon that links the particles unties and floats to the surface, retaining with it some of the particles, while the major part sinks. More recently, Porcher et al. [64] have determined that the exposure of C-LiFePO₄ particles to water results in the formation of a thin layer of Li₃PO₄ (few nm thick) at the surface of the particles as a result of migration of Fe into the water. In the present work, we investigate the effect of water on carbon-coated LiFePO₄ particles and analyze both the particles that have sunk and the floating part. We have shown that the water attacks the particles and that the carbon coat is not a protection because it detaches and is not waterproof [63]. We find that Fe is not the only element that reacts with the water, as the water contains also P and Li species after immersion of the LiFePO₄. A strong interaction between LiFePO₄ with H₂O molecules was not necessarily expected. Since iron phosphate is hydrophobic, the surface layer protects the iron against oxidation and corrosion. Intuition would then have suggested that, upon immersion of LiFePO₄ in water, a delithiation in a thin layer at the surface would lead to the formation of a FePO₄ layer that would protect the particles against any other damage. Quantification of magnetic analysis of LFP grains shows that the thickness of the delithiated surface layer is ~ 3 nm.

The cyclic voltammograms of LFP powders after immersion for 1 h in water are reported in Fig. 14. In these measurements, an initial 3.2 V working potential is



applied. Then, the voltage was varied at the rate 1.25 mV per minute, as shown in the figure: a voltage increase up to 4 V is followed by a decrease to 2.2 V and an increase again up to 3.2 V. Besides the peak associated with Fe²⁺, the part of the curve obtained by decreasing the voltage shows a secondary peak at 2.63 V that is characteristic of the Fe³⁺ in iron oxide (vs. more than 3.5 V in phosphate) [32]. The presence of Fe³⁺ ions in LFP samples confirms the delithiation of the surface layer evident in the previous sections. On the other hand, upon increasing the voltage again, this signal disappeared, which shows that the voltammogram before exposure to H₂O was recovered. Therefore, the surface layer was lithiated again during Li insertion, and the effect of immersion in water was reversed [63]. Note, however, that this recovery could be obtained because we were dealing with a half-cell, i.e. with lithium metal as an anode. In this case, the lithium anode acts as a reservoir of lithium. In a full cell where the negative electrode would be carbon or Si or any metal oxide, however, one would not such a reservoir so that no recovery would have been obtained, so that, in practice, it is important to keep the LFP powder dry.

The same effect holds true for longer immersion times of a few days. In the following experiments, the samples were immersed for 63 h. Then, the samples were dried for 48 h at 85 °C. Moreover, it should be noted that the open-circuit voltage (OCV) decreased by 2.3 % on immersion in water. Since the OCV is directly related to the state of charge of the battery, it can be viewed as an indirect measurement of the delithiation rate of the battery. Indeed, this result is fully consistent with the 4 % delithiation rate deduced from the magnetization measurements, and the 1–3 % loss of Fe and P in the immersion process estimated from the physical and chemical analyses. It thus fully confirms that the delithiation process is located in the surface layer. The effect of H₂O on the electrochemical properties was also evaluated by exposure of the sample to ambient air. This effect is illustrated for a hydrothermal sample in Fig. 15, which shows the change of the capacity as a function of time at different temperatures in dry atmosphere and in ambient air (55 % relative humidity) [63].



Fig. 15 Capacity of the C-LiFePO₄/LiPF₆-EC-DEC/Li cells as a function of time spent in dry atmosphere and in ambient atmosphere (55 % relative humidity), at three different temperatures. The temperatures at which the full lines (in dry atmosphere) have been obtained can be distinguished by the fact that they do not overlap, and the higher capacity is obtained at lower temperature

3.9 Non-stoichiometric LFP: Defects and Related Properties

Deviation from ideal stoichiometry of $LiFePO_4$ has been investigated [62]. Any attempt to increase the Li concentration of samples prepared either by the precursor precipitation route or the continuous aqueous precursor synthesis route results in the formation of lithium phosphate impurity, in addition of stoichiometric LFP free of any Li vacancy. On another hand, Li-deficient homogenous solid solutions of composition $\text{Li}_{1-2x}\text{Fe}_x\text{FePO}_4$ could be obtained (Fig. 16). For $x \ge 0.06$, however, a sarcopside impurity phase is formed. Investigations of structural properties allowed us to define the defect responsible for the solid solution as $Fe_{Li}^{\bullet}+V'_{Li}$ in the Kroger-Vink notation. Since the chemical formula of the sarcopside is obtained by writing x = 1/2 in the chemical formula of the solid solution, this impurity phase can be viewed as a condensation of the Fe¹_{Li}+V¹_{Li} defects. Magnetic measurements showed that isolated lithium vacancies V'_{Li} are also diluted in the $Li_{l-2x}Fe_xFePO_4$ matrix. The negative charge of the isolated V'_{Li} is compensated by the valence change Fe² $^+ \rightarrow Fe^{3+}$ of an iron ion in its vicinity, forming a small magnetic polaron that is detected by magnetic measurements. The concentration of such polarons, however, remains very small as it saturates to a concentration of 0.2-0.3 mol%, much smaller than the concentration x in V'_{Li} bound to Fe^{\bullet}_{Li} . The electrochemical features are significantly damaged by the Fe_{Li} defects that block the diffusion of lithium along the corresponding channel, while the Li₃PO₄ only acts as an inert mass. Defects



have an important impact on the electrochemical properties. The study of small particles (40 nm) has shown that the presence of defects or structural disorder favors the formation of the solid solution Li_xFePO_4 in the lithiation/delithiation process, instead of the phase separation between a Li-rich and Li-poor phase. This phenomenon has been observed in the surface layer (3 nm thick) alone in case the core of the particles is free of defects and well crystallized. It has also been observed in the whole volume of the particle when not only the surface layer, but the total particle is full of defects and cation vacancies, while the classical separation in two phases is recovered in case the particles (core plus surface layer) are free of defects.

3.10 Electrochemical Performance of LFP

Here, we present an overview of the high-temperature performance for an optimized LiFePO₄ sample, i.e. carbon-coated (C-LFP). The coffee-bag cell was charged and discharged at C/8 for the first cycle followed by 12 cycles at C/4 with 1 h rest before each charge and discharge. This high-temperature test was made at 60 °C, which is the appropriate condition to investigate possible iron dissolution in non-aqueous electrolytes [18, 65]. From structural and elemental analysis, no iron, even at the ppm level, was found in the electrolyte solution. Thus, all these data converge to the conclusion that the optimized LiFePO₄ is not soluble at 60 °C.

The typical electrochemical profile of the C-LFP/1 mol. L^{-1} LiPF₆-EC-DEC/Li 18,650-type cell cycled at 60 °C is shown in Fig. 17a. These experimental conditions (ca. 60 °C) have a severe impact on the kinetics of the Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ redox reaction, but the recent report from Hydro-Québec Research Labs showed that this type of C-LFP electrode can be cycled at 60 °C without significant capacity loss for over 200 cycles [35]. Optimized particle size in the range 200–300 nm agrees well with the average diameter of grains *L* that validates Eq. (2) with $D^* \approx 10^{-14}$ cm² s⁻¹

Fig. 17 a Voltage-capacity cycle for Li//LFP 18,650-type cell cycled at C/4 rate at 60 ° C. **b** Peukert plots of the C-LiFePO₄/LiPF₆-EC-DEC/Li cells as a function of the working temperature 25 and 60 °C



in the LiFePO₄ matrix. The 10th and 120th cycle shows a similar specific capacity of 160 mAh g⁻¹. These results illustrate the excellent electrochemical performance of the carbon-coated olivine material. The electrode can be fully charged up to 4 V, which is its most reactive state. This remarkable performance is attributed to the optimized carbon-coated particles and their structural integrity under a large current in the electrode. Even at such a high cycling rate, C–LiFePO₄ exhibits rapid kinetics of lithium extraction and it realizes most of its theoretical capacity (170 mAh g⁻¹). The discharge profile appears with the typical voltage plateau (at ca. 3.45 V vs. Li⁰/Li⁺) attributed to the two-phase reaction of the (1 – *x*) FePO₄+*x*LiFePO₄ system. The modified Peukert plots of cell cycled at 25 and 60 °C are shown in Fig. 17b. The cells were cycled in the potential range 2.5–4.0 V. The discharge capacity and electrochemical utilisation, i.e. the ratio discharge/charge versus cycle number is excellent for the C–LiFePO₄/Li cells. At 10C rate, these Li-ion cells provide coulombic efficiencies 85 % at 60 °C.

4 Lithium Manganese Olivine

LiMnPO₄ (LMP) is an attractive cathode material that offers several advantages: (i) it is low cost, (ii) due to the Mn²⁺/Mn³⁺ redox potential of 4.1 V versus Li⁰/Li⁺ it presents higher voltage than LFP and (iii) it is suitable for the electrolytes used in Li batteries. However, the intrinsically specific capacity is restrained by (i) the inherently low ionic and electrical conductivities of LiMnPO₄ that seriously limit Li⁺ insertion and extraction and (ii) the high kinetic barrier at the mismatched interface of MnPO₄/LiMnPO₄ due to the severe Jahn-Teller (JT) distortion [66]. As shown in Fig. 18, the electrical conductivity of LiMnPO₄ is lower than the already insulating LiFePO₄ by 5 orders of magnitude [67] making it challenging to achieve high capacity at high rates for LiMnPO₄ using methodologies developed for LiFePO₄. LiMnPO₄ crystallizes in an ordered olivine structure indexed by orthorhombic *Pnmb* space group. Typical crystallographic parameters are a = 6.106 (1) Å, b = 10.452(1) Å, and c = 4.746(1) Å.

LMP was prepared by the same methods described before for other olivine frameworks [68–92]. Figure 19 presents the HRTEM images of LMP samples with different morphologies. Synthesis techniques include solid-state reaction with different carbon sources [91], precipitation method using different precursors such as NH₄MnPO₄·H₂O, MnPO₄·H₂O [68, 95], and modified ionothermal synthesis [69]. Drezen et al. [68] prepared LMP with different crystallite sizes by varying the sintering temperature. Using the polyol route, Wang et al. [69] and Martha et al. [70] obtained carbon-coated LMP particles of 30 nm that delivered a specific capacity of 110 mAh g⁻¹ at 1C rate. A flower-like LiMnPO₄–C composite prepared by solid-state reaction showed a reversible capacity of 85 mAh g⁻¹ at a rate of







Fig. 19 HRTEM images of LMP samples with different morphologies. LMP nanoparticles were prepared by (a) hydro-thermal method with ascorbic acid, b polyol reflux method with tetraethylene glycol as solvent, c thermal decomposition method using oleic acid as surfactant and benzyl ether as solvent, and d precipitation route

0.05C [71]. The solution-based synthetic routes such as spray pyrolysis [72, 73], precipitation, sol-gel [74], hydrothermal method [75], solvothermal route [76, 77] and polyol synthesis [78] provide nanostructured LMP powders with enhanced electrochemical properties, which is mostly attributed to the higher chemical homogeneity and narrow particle size distribution of the material. The best results were obtained for LiMnPO₄ prepared via sol–gel, hydrothermal and co-precipitation routes. However, these techniques use the very low concentration of starting materials, which leads to the very low production rate [79]. 5–10 nm thin rod shaped LMP nanoparticles were synthesized by an improved thermal decomposition method using oleic acid as surfactant and benzyl ether as solvent [80]. LMP nanorods were also synthesized by modified polyol and resin coating processes to enhance their conductivity as well as their electrochemical properties with a capacity up to 120 mAh g⁻¹ at 1C rate [81]. LiCu_xMn_{1-x}PO₄/C nanorods prepared

by a simple solvothermal process followed by calcination show that Cu successfully entered into the lattice of LiMnPO₄ and induced a decrease in the lattice parameters; however, a small initial discharge capacity of 87.5 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.5C was reported [82]. A microwave irradiated solvothermal method with tetraethylene glycol as solvent was used to prepare dimensionally modulated, single-crystalline LiMnPO₄ with nano-thumblike shapes for high-power applications [94]. A two-step procedure for preparation of LMP with small particle size (15–20 nm) and embedded in a carbon matrix was presented by Pivko et al. [83]. Despite the nanosized particles limited capacity of 130 mAh g⁻¹ at C/20 rate was observed at 55 °C after 100 cycles. A sequential precipitation method was applied to LiMnPO₄ olivine by confining Mn₃(PO₄)₂ precipitation on surface of a precursor seed of Li₃PO₄ that results in discharge capacity of 62 mAh g⁻¹ at 5C rate for the size of LMP particles limited to less than 100 nm [84].

Kim et al. [85] claim to improve significantly the electrochemical properties of LMP by small amounts of co-doping of Fe and Co. The 4.0 V redox potential is achieved, while the kinetics are enhanced through formation of a local solidsolution like phase in the matrix, which is expected to lower the nucleation barrier of the delithiated phase and reduced the JT distortion. Lee et al. [86] evidenced the intercrystallite ionic transport in 40 nm × 200 nm sized LiMnPO₄ nanorods synthesized by the modified polyol method that resolves the problem of particle inhomogeneity occurring in stoichiometric synthesis. LMP nanoplates with thickness of 50 nm grew in the (100) plane via solid-state reaction in molten hydrocarbon such as paraffin and delivered a capacity 130 mAh g^{-1} at C/10 rate [87]. The effect of different carbon sources on the electrochemical properties of rod-like LMP/ C nanocomposites was reported by Li et al. [88]. A reversible capacity of \sim 153 mAh g⁻¹ at a rate of C/10 was obtained with beta-cyclodextrin as the carbon source, which is much better than that obtained from ascorbic acid, citric acid, glucose and sucrose. A carbon matrix, for restricting growth of LMP crystallites, was built on the small Li₃PO₄ crystallites precipitated from aqueous solutions, by the pyrolysis of sucrose. Small crystallite-size (8-12 nm) particles were successfully prepared using the carbon coated Li₃PO₄ as one of the reactants (the other reactant is MnSO₄) and the nuclei by a solvothermal method [89]. Chen and Richardson [90] demonstrated that the release of oxygen from LMP material occurs at 150 °C followed by the combustion of the electrolyte at 215 °C for a total heat of 884 J g^{-1} that is less thermal stability than LFP. The thermal stability mechanism on charged LMP electrode can be expressed as:

$$\operatorname{MnPO_4}_{pmna} \xrightarrow{150-180\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{MnPO_4}_{JT \text{ distortion}} \xrightarrow{490\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Mn_2P_2O_7}_{C2/m} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{O_2} \uparrow \qquad (4)$$

From in-situ XRD, XPS and EDAX results on the charged and discharged LMP cathode, the charged state of MnPO₄ undergoes structural changes due to Jahn-Teller effect at above 180 °C and followed by reduction into pyrophosphate $Mn_2P_2O_7$ at ca. 490 °C. TGA-DSC measurements shown that the weight loss up to 450 °C for charged MnPO₄ shows similar behavior as that of discharged LiMnPO₄

cathode, indicating that the reaction is a decomposition of the SEI layer formed during high-voltage electrochemical cycling process. Note that a sharp exothermic peak is observed in the DSC scan during reduction due to the oxygen and CO_2 gas released after reacting with conductive carbon additive.

5 Lithium Mn–Fe Olivine

The solid solution $LiMn_vFe_{1-v}PO_4$ (LMFP) looks promising because it operates at 3.4-4.1 V that is not so high as to decompose the organic electrolyte but not so low as to sacrifice energy density [95-101]. Gardiner and Islam [99] have discussed the formation of the intrinsic defect-type with the lowest energy in the cation anti-site defect, in which Li and Fe/Mn ions exchange position in 4c sites. Migrations energies for Fe and Mn anti-site cations on Li sites suggest that Mn defects would impede bulk Li mobility in LiMnPO₄ to a greater extent than Fe anti-site defects in LiFePO₄. The electrochemical properties of the LMFP materials prepared by chelating-assisted hydrothermal method have been investigated by a number of research groups (see [100] and references herein). Trottier et al. [100] investigated the electrochemical properties of $LiMn_vFe_{1-v}PO_4$ (0.5 $\leq y \leq 0.8$) mixed-metal phospho-olivines (LMFP) grown by hydrothermal route assisted by ascorbic acid as chelating agent. Hong et al. [94] synthesized $\text{LiMn}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{PO}_4$ by a facile solvothermal approach with an excess of 20 wt% sucrose to yield carbon coating. Kosova et al. [95] reported structural studies of nanosized LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}PO₄ prepared by mechanochemically assisted carbothermal reduction route under cycling by in situ synchrotron diffraction. Wang et al. [96] reported the synthesis of $LiMn_{1-x}Fe_xPO_4$ nanorods on reduced graphene oxide sheets.

The rate capability for $\text{Li/C}-\text{LiMn}_{0.8}\text{Fe}_{0.2}\text{PO}_4$ cell is shown in Fig. 20. The cell was charged and discharged at the same C-rate starting from C/12 to 20C. For instance, the composite electrode including C-LiMn_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}PO₄ electrode 5 wt% Denka and 5 wt% VGCF delivers a capacity 92 mAh g^{-1} at 1C rate. For LiMn_{0.8}Fe_{0.2}PO₄, the electrode exhibited two reversible discharge plateaus at 3.95 and 3.52 V versus $\text{Li}^{0}/\text{Li}^{+}$ related to the Mn³⁺/Mn²⁺ and Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox couples, respectively. From these profile, several conclusions can be drawn: (i) the chargedischarge profile exhibits similar shape for all compositions, (ii) the two pairs of peaks in dx/dV curves shift slightly with y as a result of the inductive effect (charge: 3.54 and 4.12 V; discharge 3.95 and 3.47 V for y = 0.6), (iii) the potentials of the reduction peaks are more sensitive than those of the oxidation peaks to the Mn²⁺substitution, (iv) a small increase of the over-voltage is seen as a function of y, and (v) the highest specific capacity is 130 mAh g^{-1} for y = 0.5, when the electrode was kept at 4.5 V until C/50 rate. Note that the polarization potential increases slightly for the Mn-rich compounds, which we attribute to a Jahn-Teller effect increased by the alloying process, rather than the formation of the intrinsic defect-type with the lowest energy in the cation anti-site defect, in which Li and Fe/Mn ions exchange positions.





Magnetic properties of the solid solution $\text{LiMn}_y\text{Fe}_{1-y}\text{PO}_4$ showed that for $y \le 0.6$, all the Mn^{3+} ions in delithiated LMFP are in the high-spin state (S = 2). At larger manganese concentration, however, the Mn^{3+} ions in excess of the critical concentration $y_c = 0.6$ undergo a transition to the low-spin state (S = 1). The spin-transition of Mn^{3+} in concentration ($y - y_c$) to the low-spin state is at the origin of the strain fields at the molecular scale that increase with *y* for y > 0.6, and ultimately prevents the full delithiation for y > 0.8. This result sheds light on the reason for the degradation of cathode properties in Mn-rich compounds of the heterosite–purpurite series, while the electrochemical properties are good in the range $y \le 0.6$ but only at slow rates, due to the very small hopping mobility of the small polaron [97, 98]. It is obvious that LMP exhibits inferior electrochemical performance compared with LFP. Optimizing the synthesis process and carbon coating should lead to promising electrochemical properties for LMP nanoparticles.

6 Lithium Cobalt Phosphate

Lithium cobalt phosphate, LiCoPO₄ (LCP) has attracted attention since it offers both flat high potential (at approximately 4.8 V vs. Li⁰/Li⁺), good theoretical capacity (167 mAg⁻¹) and smaller structure volume change. As other olivine compounds, LCP crystallizes with the orthorhombic symmetry (*Pnma* S.G.) with lattice parameters: a = 10.2048 Å, b = 5.9245 Å, and c = 4.7030 Å. However, like in the case of LiMnPO₄, the electrochemical performance of pristine LCP is very poor due to the low intrinsic electronic and ionic conductivity [67, 102–116]. Zhao et al. [116] prepared LCP micro-rods with the diameter of ca. 500 nm and length of ca. 5 µm by a hydrothermal method, which delivered a discharge capacity of only 65 mAh g⁻¹ at C/10 rate. Solution-based methods are appealing to control LCP particles size, shape and morphology of nanocrystals. Truong et al. [102] used the supercritical fluid (SCF) processing with different amines having long alkyl chain such as hexamethylene diamine for adjusting physicochemical properties. Hedgehog-like LCP with hierarchical microstructures was first synthesized via a simple solvothermal process in water–benzyl alcohol mixed solvent at 200 °C [103]. The hedgehog-like microstructures in the size of about 5–8 µm were composed of large numbers of nanorods in diameter of ca. 40 nm and length of ca. 1 µm, which are coated with a carbon layer of ca. 8 nm in thickness by in situ carbonization of glucose during the solvothermal reaction. As a 5 V positive electrode material for rechargeable lithium battery, the hedgehog-like LiCoPO₄ delivered an initial discharge capacity of 136 mAh g⁻¹ at C/10 rate and retained its 91 % after 50 cycles. LCP nanocrystals with (010) orientation were prepared by solvothermal method employing ethanol as the solvent and poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) as the carbon source and template [104].

In the early work by Amine et al. [117], it is demonstrated that Li can be reversibly removed from LCP at an average voltage of 4.8 V versus Li⁺/Li with only a small contraction in the unit cell volume of the olivine lattice and the formation of a second olivine-like phase upon Li extraction from Li_xCoPO₄ with limited $\Delta x = 0.42$ lithium per formula unit. The electrochemical properties of LiCoPO₄ have been studied as a function of synthesis parameters. Effects on the discharge capacity have been investigated and capability improvements include: mixing LNP-LCP to obtained solid solutions as cathodes [105], carbon coating [106, 107], effect of oxygen partial pressure on the discharge capacity [108]. Wolfenstine et al. [109] have studied the structural evolution of LiCoPO₄ delithiated by the chemical oxidation. Okada et al. [110] have shown that LiCoPO₄ exhibited the highest 4.8 V discharge plateau of 100 mAh g⁻¹ after initial charging to 5.1 V giving an energy density of 480 Wh kg⁻¹ comparable to that of LiCoO₂. Electrical conductivity of LCP and mixed (Co, Ni), (Co, Mn) compounds [111], and of doped LCP [112] have also been explored. LCP having low electron conductivity, its use as the cathodic material is possible only in the case of synthesis of the LiCoPO₄/C composite [103]. Such composites can be discharged at the potentials of 4.7-4.8 V. However, cyclability of such composites is very low, as decomposition of liquid electrolyte occurs under charging in the potential range of 4.8–5.1 V simultaneously with oxidation of Co^{2+} to Co^{3+} . The initial discharge capacity of LiCoPO₄/C is close to the theoretical one that is about 167 mAh g^{-1} . Figure 21 compares the discharge curves of various lithium cells including LiCoPO₄ (LCP), LiCoO₂ (LCO), LiFePO₄ (LFP) and Li₃V₂(PO₄)₃ (LVP).

The phase transitions occurring upon lithium insertion-extraction of $LiCoPO_4$ have been investigated by several groups [111–115]. A two phase mechanism was confirmed by in situ synchrotron diffraction [111]. An amorphization of the phosphate was observed after electrochemical or chemical oxidation [113]. Nagayama et al. [114] suggested from X-ray absorption spectroscopy a hybridization effect between the Co 3*d* and O 2*p* orbitals and the polarization effect introduced by Li ions. Bramnik et al. [115] revealed the appearance of two



orthorhombic phases upon electrochemical Li extraction. The LiCoPO₄ and the Li deficient phases, Li_{0.7}CoPO₄ and CoPO₄, are responsible for the two voltage plateaus at 4.8 and 4.9 V versus Li⁺/Li. The hedgehog-like LiCoPO₄ microstructures in the size of about 5-8 µm are composed of large numbers of nanorods in diameter of ca. 40 nm and length of ca. 1 µm, which are coated with a carbon layer of ca. 8 nm in thickness by in situ carbonization of glucose during the solvothermal reaction. As a 5 V positive electrode material for rechargeable lithium battery, the hedgehog-like LiCoPO₄ delivers an initial discharge capacity of 136 mAh g^{-1} at C/ 10 rate and retains its 91 % after 50 cycles [111]. Surface modification of LCP particles results in a satisfactory cyclability for LiCoPO₄ to be used as a 5 V cathode material [115]. The capacity retention of Al₂O₃-coated LCP was 105 mAh g^{-1} after 50 cycles at T = 55 °C. Jang et al. [118] claimed that LiFePO₄ coated LCP particles prepared by SSR method (~100-150 nm) show improved battery performance with an initial discharge capacity of 132 mAh g⁻¹, but did neither mention the C-rate nor the current density. The ability to suppress thermal runaway of LiMPO₄ olivine frameworks is attributed to the high covalent feature of the P–O bonds in the tetrahedral (PO₄) units, which stabilizes the olivine structure and prevents oxygen release from the charged (delithiated) olivine materials up to 600 $^{\circ}$ C. This is still controversial in LCP and LNP olivine lattices. In particular, a thermal instability has been reported in the charged (i.e. delithiated) state of LiCoPO₄ [119]. Both olivine-like phases $Li_z CoPO_4$ (z = 0.6) and $CoPO_4$ appearing during the delithiation of LiCoPO₄ are unstable upon heating, and decompose readily in the range 100–200 °C. The decomposition of lithium-poor phases leads to gas evolution and the crystallization of Co₂P₂O₇. Incorporation of lithium bis(oxalato)borate (LiBOB) as additive in conventional electrolyte solutions enhances the electrochemical performance of LCP electrode [120].

LiFePO₄ (LFP) and $Li_3V_2(PO_4)_3$ (LVP)

7 Lithium Nickel Phosphate

Compared with LiFePO₄ and LiMnPO₄, LiNiPO₄ (LNP) displays much higher redox potential [121-143]. Wolfenstine and Allen [121] determined the Ni³⁺/Ni²⁺ redox potential between 5.1 and 5.3 V for the LNP olivine lattice. To overcome the problems with low electrolyte stability, a 1 mol L^{-1} LiPF₆ in tetramethylene sulfone electrolyte was used because of its high oxidative stability, around 5.8 V versus Li⁰/ Li⁺. These experimental values are in excellent agreement with the theoretical predictions [139–141]. Recently, a review has presented the progress in the fabrication of LNP powders and the general synthesis approaches to circumvent the drawbacks of LNP [142]. Among the various synthesis approaches, solution-based methods have been successful for LNP. Standard hydrothermal route starts with mixing Li salt with either Ni(C₂H₃O₂)₂·4H₂O or Ni(NO₃)·6H₂O in different reaction media such as NH₄OH, cotton fibers, 1,2-propanediol and ethylene glycol [36, 143–152]. Tsai [145] produced LiNiPO₄ nanocrystals via a microemulsions method and determined that the water-to-surfactant ratio directly affects the particle size and shape. Various attempts were made to prepare nanosized LNP particles using solgel method. Prabu and Selvasekarapandian [146] prepared plate-like LNP (surface area 0.25 m² g⁻¹) by adding citric acid and polyethylene glycol as chelating agent for gelation. Yang and Xu [144] obtained phase pure LiNiPO₄ with desirable particles sub-micron size and free of agglomeration. Nanometric crystallite size were obtained by using both the acrylamide and N,N'-methylenebis(acrylamide) as gelling agents [147]. Lanthanum- and zinc-doped LiNiPO₄ was prepared by the polyol method from metal acetates and 1,2 propanediol as polyol medium [121-122, 148]. Nano-particles <50 nm were obtained. Dimesso et al. [123] reported the synthesis of graphitic carbon foam-LiNiPO₄ composites prepared by a Pechiniassisted sol-gel method using water as solvent. The difficulties in obtaining a LNP pure phase have been pointed out by several groups [121, 123–125].

Solid-state reaction has been widely used for LNP production. Impurities such as NiO, Ni₃P, Ni₂P₂O₇ and Li₂Ni₃(P₂O₇)₂ have been identified. The carbothermalreaction method was employed by Herle et al. [126] using heat-treatment in flowing argon atmosphere. The most commonly used precursors are Li₂CO₃, LiOH·H₂O, Li₃PO₄, but also LiH₂PO₄ for Li, Ni(COO)₂·2H₂O, Ni(C₂H₃O₂)₂·4H₂O, Ni (C₂H3O₂)₂, NiO, Ni(CO₃), Ni(OH)₂, Ni₃(PO₄)₂ for Ni, and NH₄H₂PO₄, $(NH4)_2$ HPO₄, a mixture of $(NH_4)_2$ HPO₄ and P₂O₅ or $(NH_4)_3$ PO₄ for P, respectively. Sugiyama et al. [127] prepared phase pure LiNiPO₄ by planetary ball milling and calcination under argon at 750 °C for 6 h. Wang et al. [128] only obtain phase pure LiNiPO₄ after calcination above 800 °C, while lower temperature processes provide samples containing Li₃PO₄ and NiO_x. The olivine analogue compound containing mixed (Mg, Ni) cations contained Li₃PO₄ as a second phase upon synthesis; however a carbothermal reduction method produced a single-phase compound [129]. Solidstate methods are also of importance in terms of obtaining ordered crystal structure. As an example, Julien et al. [125, 130] reported the growth of $LiNiPO_4$ with high purity using modified solid-state reaction with different sets of reactants such as (i)



Fig. 22 SEM images of LiNiPO₄ samples synthesized by \mathbf{a} the ammonium-hydrogen-phosphate route, and \mathbf{b} the lithium-dihydrogen-phosphate route

Li₂CO₃, (NH₄)₂HPO₄, and NiO (ammonium-hydrogen-phosphate route), and (ii) NiO and LiH₂PO₄ (lithium-dihydrogen-phosphate route) dissolved in acetone solution. Single phases of LNP were obtained after sintering at 800 °C for 36 h. Figure 22 shows the typical SEM images of LNP powders synthesized by solid-state reactions [125]. Structural characteristics of particle synthesized by the ammonium-hydrogenphosphate route were studied in detail using analytical electron microscopy. Results are shown in Fig. 23. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) images indicate that the grown LiNiPO₄ is well-crystallized in the olivine structure without any indication of crystallographic defects such as dislocations or misfits.

The absence of redox peaks when LNP was heated under argon suggests that this material has a very low intrinsic electrical conductivity and hence, additional treatments such as carbon coating are required for LNP to exhibit Li insertion/deinsertion. Indeed, Rissouli et al. [67] determined that the electrical conductivity of LiNiPO₄ was 2-3 decades lower than that for LiCoPO₄ and LiMnPO₄ (Fig. 18). Such a poor electronic conductivity has been recently confirmed by ac measurements on nanocrystalline powders [131]. Herle et al. [126] have pointed out that LiNiPO_4 exhibits a percolating nano-network of metal-rich phosphides (i.e. Ni₃P, Ni₂P, or NiP₃) responsible for enhanced conductivity. Magnetic anisotropy in Li-phosphates and the origin of their magneto-electric properties have been investigated [125, 132, 133]. Magnetic properties of LCP and LNP show that antiferromagnetic M-O-M superexchange interactions couple the spins closely in planes parallel to (100) [133] with a Néel temperature $T_{\rm N} = 21$ K. The magnetically ordered phases and spin dynamics of magnetoelectric LiNiPO₄ have been studied in magnetic field as high as 17.3 T along the c axis and up to 16 T along the a- and b-axis [134]. Vaknin et al. reported the commensurate-incommensurate magnetic phase transition in magnetoelectric single crystal LiNiPO₄ [135]. Local environment and bonding strength of cations were studied by vibrational spectroscopy, i.e. Raman and FTIR [125, 136].

The information available about the electrochemical performance of LNP is very limited. Few reports have shown that LNP is not electrochemically active when it is



Fig. 23 a HRTEM image of LiNiPO₄. The well-resolved lattice fringes indicate the crystallinity of the sample. The measured values of the lattice fringe spacing are indicated. Inset shows the FFT of the HRTEM image indicating the direction in which the crystallite was examined and lattice planes contributing to the diffraction. **b**, **c** SAED patterns of LiNiPO₄. The assignments of diffraction maxima and the direction of view are indicated

charged over 5.2 V [137–139, 149]. However, Wolfenstine and Allen [121] mentioned the electrochemical activity of LNP powders prepared by SSR method under high purity argon with addition of a thin layer of carbon coat. The voltammetry displayed an oxidation peak at \sim 5.3 V and a reduction peak at \sim 5.1 V.

Recently, Dimesso et al. [123, 150] have reported the preparation of LNP and LCP by a Pechini assisted sol–gel process that provides material exhibiting redox peaks at ~5.2 and ~4.9 V versus Li⁰/Li⁺, respectively. Mg-substituted LNP/graphitic carbon foams composite was also synthesized by the same method that shows a discharge capacity of 126 mAh g⁻¹ at C/10 rate by substituting 0.2 Mg for Ni [151]. Modifications of the local structure and lattice parameters in LiFe_{1-y}Ni_yPO₄ (0 < *x* < 1) olivine-type solid solutions have been studied by X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) [152, 153]. Results indicate ordering of Li in the M1 site, and of Fe and Ni in the M2 site of the olivine structure. Ni doping is found to induce an anisotropic shrinking of the unit cell with both Fe and Ni six-coordinated with oxygens, occupying distorted octahedral sites. LiNi_{1-x}Cu_xPO₄ (0 < *x* < 0.99) samples were successfully synthesized by non-aqueous sol–gel method followed by microwave (MW) annealing were found to be electrocatalysts towards oxygen reduction which is apparently due to nanosized particles as obtained via MW annealing [152]. The solid solution LiNi_xFe_{1-x}PO₄ (0 < *x* < 1)

synthesized via a solid-state reaction under Ar ambient shows lattice parameters following the Vegard's law but the formation of agglomerates reduces the specific capacity that cannot exceed 45 mAh g^{-1} [153].

8 Concluding Remarks

The use of lithiated frameworks has revolutionized the concept of lithium rechargeable batteries for high-power sources applied to hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs). To date, most interest has been focused on the LiFePO₄ phase, which is already in commercial use. In this chapter, we have provided the state-of-the-art of olivine (orthophosphate) compounds used as positive electrodes for the new generation of Li-ion batteries. The successful application of lithium iron phosphate has triggered great interest in the R&D of high-power batteries with remarkable safety concerns despite the fact that all these materials are insulators. Other olivine $LiMPO_4$ (*M*=Mn, Ni, Co) compounds, which have been identified as potential high-voltage cathode, are creating intensive studies both from scientific and technological points of view and some of the more prominent follow-up activities have been briefly discussed. Unfortunately these materials all suffer from a number of drawbacks that must be overcome before they can compete with LiFePO₄. The limitation in capacity attributed to the diffusion-limited transfer of lithium across the two-phase interface LiMPO4-MPO4 as end members without much solubility has been overcome with reducing the particle at the nanometer scale and by painting them with conducting carbon. For example, LiMnPO₄ has poorer Li-ion conductivity than LiFePO₄, LiNiPO₄ also exhibits extremely poor electronic conductivity and extremely low charge/discharge capacity. Nevertheless, the use of nanosized solid-state materials not only improves the power density, but also facilitates Li-ions insertion/extraction in/out of the storage materials, which improves the cycle life of these batteries. $LiCoPO_4$ (4.8 V) and LiNiPO₄ (5.2 V) with higher operating voltage are appealing for increasing the energy density. Owing to this, large room for improvements in the performances in Li-cells of LCP and LNP is expected by assessing the synthesis route, the coatings, the doping/substitution and the electrolyte additives in order to boost its ability to reversibly cycle lithium. However, the use of these cathodes requires challenges in the development of more stable and robust electrolytes.

References

- 1. Padhi K, Nanjundaswamy KS, Goodenough JB (1997) Phospho-olivines as positiveelectrode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries. J Electrochem Soc 144:1188–1194
- Padhi K, Nanjundaswamy KS, Masquelier C, Okada S, Goodenough JB (1997) Effect of structure on the Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox couple in iron phosphates. J Electrochem Soc 144:1609– 1613

- 3. Huang H, Yin SC, Nazar LF (2001) Approaching theoretical capacity of LiFePO₄ at room temperature at high rates. Electrochem Solid State Lett 4:A170–A172
- Dominko D, Gaberscek M, Drofenik J, Bele M, Jamnik J (2003) Influence of carbon black distribution on performance of oxide cathodes for Li ion batteries. Electrochim Acta 48:3709–3716
- Ravet N, Goodenough JB, Besner S, Simoneau M, Hovington P, Armand M (1999) Improved iron based cathode material. In: Proceedings of the 196th ECS meeting, Honolulu, Oct 1999, Extended Abstract no 127
- Ravet N, Chouinard Y, Magnan JF, Besner S, Gauthier M, Armand M (2001) Electroactivity of natural and synthetic triphylite. J Power Sources 97:503–507
- Bewlay SL, Konstantinov K, Wang GX, Dou SX, Liu HK (2004) Conductivity improvements to spray-produced LiFePO₄ by addition of a carbon source. Mater Lett 58:1788–1791
- Julien CM, Mauger A, Ait-Salah A, Massot M, Gendron F, Zaghib K (2007) Nanoscopic scale studies of LiFePO₄ as cathode material in lithium-ion batteries for HEV application. Ionics 13:395–411
- 9. Weppner W, Huggins R (1977) Determination of the kinetic parameters of mixed-conducting electrodes and applications to the system Li₃Sb. J Electrochem Soc 124:1569–1578
- Chen Z, Dahn JR (2002) Reducing carbon in LiFePO₄/C composite electrodes to maximize specific energy, volumetric energy and tap density. J Electrochem Soc 149:A1184–A1189
- 11. Ravet N, Besner S, Simoneau M, Vallée A, Armand M, Magnan JF (2005) Electrode materials with high surface conductivity. US Patent 6,962,666, 8 Nov 2005
- Nanjundaswamy KS, Padhi AK, Goodenough JB, Okada S, Ohtsuka H, Arai H, Yamaki J (1996) Synthesis, redox potential evaluation and electrochemical characteristics of NASICON-related-3D framework compounds. Solid State Ionics 92:1–10
- Pahdi AK, Manivannan M, Goodenough JB (1998) Tuning the position of the redox couples in materials with NASICON structure by anionic substitution. J Electrochem Soc 145:1518– 1520
- Manthiram A, Goodenough JB (1989) Lithium insertion into Fe₂(SO₄)₃ frameworks. J Power Sources 26:403–408
- 15. Nyten A, Abouimrane A, Armand M, Gustafsson T, Thomas JO (2005) Electrochemical performance of Li_2FeSiO_4 as a new Li-battery cathode material. Electrochem Commun 7:156–160
- Barker J, Saidi MY, Swoyer JL (2003) Electrochemical insertion properties of the novel lithium vanadium fluorophosphate, LiVPO₄F. J Electrochem Soc 150:A1394–A1398
- 17. Zaghib K, Mauger A, Julien CM (2012) Overwiew of olivines in lithium batteries for green transportation and energy storage. J Solid State Electrochem 16:835–845
- Julien CM, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Groult H (2012) Enhanced electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄ as positive electrode of Li-ion batteries for HEV application. Adv Chem Eng Sci 2:321–329
- Fergus JW (2010) Recent developments in cathode materials for lithium ion batteries. J Power Sources 195:939–954
- Ait-Salah A, Mauger A, Julien CM, Gendron F (2006) Nanosized impurity phases in relation to the mode of preparation of LiFeO₄ effects. Mater Sci Eng, B 129:232–244
- Ait-Salah A, Mauger A, Zaghib K, Goodenough JB, Ravet N, Gauthier M, Gendron F, Julien CM (2006) Reduction of Fe³⁺ impurities in LiFePO₄ from the pyrolysis of organic precursor used for carbon deposition. J Electrochem Soc 153:A1692–A1701
- Zaghib K, Dontigny M, Charest P, Labrecque JF, Guerfi A, Kopec M, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2010) LiFePO₄: from molten ingot to nanoparticles with high-rate performance in Li-ion batteries. J Power Sources 195:8280–8288
- Brochu F, Guerfi A, Trottier J, Kopeć M, Mauger A, Groult H, Julien CM, Zaghib K (2012) Structure and electrochemistry of scaling nano C-LiFePO₄ synthesized by hydrothermal route: complexing agent effect. J Power Sources 214:1–6

- Vediappan K, Guerfi A, Gariépy V, Demopoulos GP, Hovington P, Trottier J, Mauger A, Julien CM, Zaghib K (2014) Stirring effect in hydrothermal synthesis of C-LiFePO₄. J Power Sources 266:99–106
- Herle PS, Ellis B, Coombs N, Nazar LF (2004) Nano-network electronic conduction in iron and nickel olivine phosphates. Nat Mater 3:147–152
- Ait-Salah A, Dodd J, Mauger A, Yazami R, Gendron F, Julien CM (2006) Structural and magnetic properties of LiFePO₄ and lithium extraction effects. Z Allg Inorg Chem 632:1598– 1605
- Ellis BL, Lee KT, Nazar LF (2010) Positive electrode materials for Li-ion and Li-batteries. Chem Mater 22:691–714
- Ravet N, Gauthier M, Zaghib K, Goodenough JB, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2007) Mechanism of the Fe³⁺ reduction at low temperature for LiFePO₄ synthesis from polymeric precursor. Chem Mater 19:2595–2602
- Laffont L, Delacourt C, Gibot P, Wu MY, Kooyman P, Masquelier C, Tarascon JM (2006) Study of the LiFePO₄/FePO₄ two-phase system by high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy. Chem Mater 18:5520–5529
- Zaghib K, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2008) Surface effects on the physical and electrochemical properties of thin LiFePO₄ particles. Chem Mater 20:462–469
- Yang S, Zavajil PY, Whittingham MS (2001) Hydrothermal synthesis of lithium iron phosphate cathodes. Electrochem Commun 3:505–508
- 32. Sato M, Tajimi S, Okawa H, Uematsu K, Toda K (2002) Preparation of iron phosphate cathode material of Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ by hydrothermal reaction and thermal decomposition processes. Solid State Ionics 152–153:247–251
- Dokko K, Koizumi S, Kanamura K (2006) Electrochemical reactivity of LiFePO₄ prepared by hydrothermal method. Chem Lett 35:338–339
- Dokko K, Koizumi S, Sharaishi K, Kananura K (2007) Electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄ prepared via hydrothermal route. J Power Sources 165:656–659
- 35. Jin B, Gu HB (2008) Preparation and characterization of LiFePO₄ cathode materials by hydrothermal method. Solid State Ionics 178:1907–1914
- Murugan AV, Muraliganth T, Manthiram A (2009) One-pot microwave-hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of carbon-coated LiMPO₄ (M=Mn, Fe, and Co) cathodes. J Electrochem Soc 156:A79–A83
- Kim DH, Kim J (2006) Synthesis of LiFePO₄ nanoparticles in polyol medium and their electrochemical properties. Electrochem Solid State Lett 9:A439–A442
- Kim DH, Kim J (2007) Synthesis of LiFePO₄ nanoparticles and their electrochemical properties. J Phys Chem Solids 68:734–737
- Kim DH, Lim JS, Kang JW, Kim EJ, Ahn HY, Kim J (2007) A new synthesis route to nanocrystalline olivine phosphates and their electrochemical properties. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 7:3949–3953
- 40. Azib T, Ammar S, Nowak S, Lau-Truing S, Groult H, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Julien CM (2012) Crystallinity of nano C-LiFePO₄ prepared by the polyol process. J Power Sources 217:220–228
- Saravanan K, Reddy MV, Balaya P, Gong H, Chowdari BVR, Vittal JJ (2009) Storage performance of LiFePO₄ nanoplates. J. Mater. Chem 19:605–610
- 42. Yang H, Wu XL, Cao MH, Guo YG (2009) Solvothermal synthesis of LiFePO₄ hierarchically dumbbell-like microstructures by nanoplate self-assembly and their application as a cathode material in lithium-ion batteries. J Phys Chem C 113:3345–3351
- Arcon D, Zorko A, Dominko R, Jaglicic Z (2004) A comparative studies of magnetic properties of LiFePO₄ and LiMnPO₄. J Phys C Condens Matter 16:5531–5548
- 44. Geller S, Durand JL (1960) Refinement of the structure of LiMnPO₄. Acta Crystallogr 13:325–329
- 45. Santorro RP, Newnham RE (1987) Antiferromagnetism in LiFePO₄. Acta Crystallogr 22:344–347

- 46. Streltsov VA, Belokoneva EL, Tsirelson VG, Hansen NK (1993) Multipole analysis of the electron density in triphylite LiFePO₄ using X-ray diffraction data. Acta Crystallogr B 49:147–153
- 47. Rousse G, Rodriguez-Carvajal J, Patoux S, Masquelier C (2003) Magnetic structures of the triphylite LiFePO₄ and its delithiated form FePO₄. Chem Mater 15:4082–4090
- Losey A, Rakovan J, Huges J, Francis CA, Dyar MD (2004) Structural variation in the lithiophilite-triphylite series and other olivine-group structures. Canad Mineral 42:1105– 1108
- Andersson AS, Thomas JO (2001) The source of first-cycle capacity loss in LiFePO₄. J Power Sources 97–98:498–502
- 50. Nyten A, Thomas JO (2006) A neutron powder diffraction study of $LiCo_xFe_{1-x}PO_4$ for x = 0, 0.25, 0.40, 0.60 and 0.75. Solid State Ionics 177:1327–1330
- Beale AM, Sankar G (2002) Following the structural changes in iron phosphate catalysts by in situ combined XRD/QuEXAFS technique. J Mater Chem 12:3064–3072
- Yamada A, Chung SC, Hinokuma K (2001) Optimized LiFePO₄ for lithium battery cathodes. J Electrochem Soc 148:A224–A229
- Andersson AS, Thomas JO (2001) The source of first-cycle capacity loss in LiFePO₄. J Power Sources 97–98:498–502
- 54. Julien CM, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Massot M, Ait-Salah A, Selmane M, Gendron F (2006) Characterization of the carbon-coating onto LiFePO₄ particles used in lithium batteries. J Appl Phys 100:063511
- 55. Ait-Salah A, Jozwiak P, Zaghib K, Garbarczyk J, Gendron F, Mauger A, Julien CM (2006) FTIR features of lithium-iron phosphates as electrode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries. Spectrochim Acta A 65:1007–1013
- 56. Julien CM, Ait-Salah A, Gendron F, Morhange JF, Mauger A, Ramana CV (2006) Microstructure of LiXPO₄ (X=Ni Co, Mn) prepared by solid-state chemical reaction. Scripta Mater 55:1179–1182
- 57. Burba CM, Frech R (2006) In situ transmission FTIR spectroelectrochemistry: A new technique for studying lithium batteries. Electrochem Acta 52:780–785
- Paques-Ledent MT, Tarte P (1974) Vibrational studies of olivine-type compounds—II orthophosphates, -arsenates and -vanadates A^IB^{II}X^VO₄. Spectrochim Acta, Part A 30: 673–689
- Ait-Salah A, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2006) Magnetic studies of the carbothermal effect on LiFePO₄. Phys Status Solidi A 203:R1–R3
- 60. Doeff MM, Hu Y, McLarnon F, Kostecki R (2003) Effect of surface carbon structure on the electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄. Electrochem Solid State Lett 6:A207–A209
- Arnold G, Garche J, Hemmer R, Ströbele S, Vogler C, Wohlfgang-Mehrens M (2003) Fineparticle lithium iron phosphate LiFePO₄ synthesized by a new low-cost aqueous precipitation technique. J Power Sources 119–121:247–251
- Axmann P, Stinner C, Wohlfahrt-Mehrens M, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2009) Nonstoichiometric LiFePO₄: defects and related properties. Chem Mater 21:1636–1644
- Zaghib K, Dontigny M, Charest P, Labrecque JF, Guerfi A, Kopec M, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2008) Aging of LiFePO₄ upon exposure to H₂O. J Power Sources 185:698–710
- 64. Porcher W, Moreau P, Lestriez B, Jouanneau S, Guyomard D (2008) Electrocvhem Solid State Lett 11:A4–A8
- 65. Zaghib K, Ravet N, Gauthier M, Gendron F, Mauger A, Goodenough JB, Julien CM (2006) Optimized electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄ at 60 °C with purity controlled by SQUID magnetometry. J Power Sources 163:560–566
- 66. Bramnik NN, Ehrenberg H (2008) Precursor-based synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiMnPO₄. J Alloys Compd 464:259–264
- 67. Rissouli K, Benkhouja K, Ramos-Barrado JR, Julien C (2003) Electrical conductivity in lithium orthophosphates. Mater Sci Eng B 98:185–189
- Drezen T, Kwon NH, Bowen P, Teerlinck I, Isono M, Exnar I (2007) Effect of particle size on LiMnPO₄ cathodes. J Power Sources 174:949–953

- 69. Wang D, Buqa H, Crouzet M, Deghenghi G, Drezen T, Exnar I, Kwon NH, Miners J, Poletto L, Gratzel M (2009) High-performance. nano-structured LiMnPO₄ synthesized via a polyol method. J Power Sources 189:624–628
- Martha SK, Markovsky B, Grinblat J, Gofer Y, Haik O, Zinigrad E, Aurbach D, Drezen T, Wang D, Deghenghi G, Exnar I (2009) LiMnPO₄ as an advanced cathode material for rechargeable lithium batteries. J Electrochem Soc 156:A541–A552
- Liu JL, Hu DG, Huang T, Yu AS (2012) Synthesis of flower-like LiMnPO₄/C with precipitated NH₄MnPO₄•H₂O as precursor. J Alloys Compd 518:58–62
- Bakenov Z, Taniguchi I (2010) LiMg_xMn_{1-x}PO₄/C cathodes for lithium batteries prepared by a combination of spray pyrolysis with wet ballmilling. J Electrochem Soc 157:A430–A436
- 73. Doan TNL, Bakenov Z, Taniguchi I (2010) Preparation of carbon coated LiMnPO₄ powders by a combination of spray pyrolysis with dry ball-milling followed by heat treatment. Adv Powder Technol 21:187–196
- 74. Xiao J, Xu W, Choi D, Zhang J (2010) Synthesis and characterization of lithium manganese phosphate by a precipitation method. J Electrochem Soc 157:A142–A147
- Fujimoto D, Lei Y, Huang ZH, Kang F, Kawamura J (2014) Synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiMnPO₄ by hydrothermal method. Int J Electrochem 2014:768912
- 76. Devaraju MK, Honma I (2012) Hydrothermal and solvothermal process towards development of LiMPO₄ (M=Fe, Mn) nanomaterials for lithium-ion batteries. Adv Ener Mater 2:284–297
- 77. Zhou F, Zhu P, Fu X, Chen R, Sun R, Wong C (2014) Comparative study of LiMnPO₄ cathode materials synthesized by solvothermal methods using different manganese salts. Cryst Eng Comm 16:766–774
- Wang D, Buqa H, Crouzet M et al (2009) High-performance, nano-structured LiMnPO₄ synthesized via a polyol method. J Power Sources 189:624–628
- Bakenov Z, Taniguchi I (2011) LiMnPO₄ olivine as a cathode for lithium batteries. Open Mater Sci J 5:222–227
- 80. Kwon NH, Fromm KM (2012) Enhanced electrochemical performance of <30 nm thin LiMnPO₄ nanorods with reduced amount of carbon as a cathode for lithium ion batteries. Food Chem 133:1435–1440
- Kumar PR, Venkateswarlu M, Misra M, Mohanty AK, Satyanarayana N (2011) Carbon coated LiMnPO₄ nanorods for lithioum batteries. J Electrochem Soc 158:A227–A230
- 82. Yuan LF, Ge LL, Shen YH, Zhang H, Wang CP, Xie AJ (2013) Synthesis and electrochemical properties of Cu-doped LiMnPO₄/C nanorods as cathode materials of lithium-ion batteries. J Nano Res 25:1–7
- Pivko M, Bele M, Tchernychova E, Logar NZ, dominko R, Gaberscek M (2012) Synthesis of nanometric LiMnPO₄ via a two-step technique. Chem Mater 24:1041–1047
- 84. Kim TA, Park HS, Lee MH, Lee SY, Song HK (2012) Restricted growth of LiMnPO₄ nanoparticles evolved from a precursor seed. J Power Sources 210:1–6
- Kim J, Seo DH, Kim SW, Park YU, Kang K (2010) Mn based olivine electrode material with high power and energy. Chem Commun 46:1305–1307
- Lee KT, Kan WH, Nazar LF (2009) Proof of intercrystallite ionic transport in LiMPO4 electrodes (M=Fe, Mn). J Am Chem Soc 131:6044–6045
- 87. Choi D, Wang D, Bae IT, Xiaot J, Nie Z, Wang W, Yiswanathan Y, Lee Y, Zhang JG, Graff G, Yang Z, Liu J (2010) LiMnPO₄ nanoplate grown via solid-state reaction in molten hydrocarbon for Li-ion battery cathode. Nano Lett 10(8):2799–2805
- Li L, Liu J, Chen L, Xu H, Yang J, Qian Y (2013) Effect of different carbon sources on the electrochemical properties of rod-like LMP/C nanocomposites. RSC Adv 3:6847–6852
- Zhao M, Fu Y, Xu N, Li G, Wu M, Gao X (2014) High performance LiMnPO₄/C prepared by a crystallite size control method. J Mater Chem A 2:15070–15077
- Chen G, Richardson TJ (2010) Thermal instability of olivine-type LiMnPO₄ cathodes. J Power Sources 195:1221–1224
- 91. Zhong S, Xu Y, Li Y, Zeng H, Li W, Wang J (2012) Synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiMnPO₄/C composites cathode materials. Rare Met 31:474–478

- 92. Wang L, Sun W, Li J, Gao J, He X, Jiang C (2012) Synthesis of electrochemically active LiMnPO₄ via MnPO₄•H₂O with different morphology prepared by facile precipitation. Int J Electrochem Sci 7:3591–3600
- Barpanda P, Djellab K, Recham N, Armand M, Tarascon JM (2011) Direct and modified ionothermal synthesis of LiMnPO₄ with tunable morphology for rechargeable Li-ion batteries. J Mater Chem 21:10143–10152
- Murugan AV, Muraliganth T, Ferreira PJ, Manthiram A (2009) Dimensionally modulated, single-crystalline LiMPO₄ (M=Mn, Fe Co, and Ni) with nano-thumblike shapes for highpower energy storage. Inorg Chem 48:946–952
- Kosova NV, Devyatkina ET, Ancharov AI, Markov AV, Karnaushenko DD, Makukha VK (2012) Structural studies of nanosized LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}PO₄ under cycling by in situ synchrotron diffraction. Solid State Ionics 225:564–569
- 96. Wang H, Yang Y, Liang Y, Cui LF, Casalongue HS, Li Y, Hong G, Cui Y, Da H (2011) LiMn_{1-x}Fe_xPO₄ nanorods grown on graphene sheets for ultrahigh-rate-performance lithium ion batteries. Angew Chem Int Ed 50:7364–7368
- 97. Kopec M, Yamada A, Kobayashi G, Nishimura S, Kanno R, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2009) Structural and magnetic properties of Li_x(Mn_yFe_{1-y})PO₄ electrode materials for Li-ion batteries. J Power Sources 189:1154–1163
- Zaghib K, Mauger A, Gendron F, Massot M, Julien CM (2008) Insertion properties of LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}PO₄ electrode materials for Li-ion batteries. Ionics 14:371–376
- 99. Gardiner GR, Islam MS (2010) Anti-site defects and ion migration in the LiFe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}PO₄ mixed-metal cathode material. Chem Mater 22:1242–1248
- 100. Trottier J, Mathieu MC, Guerfi A, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Julien CM (2013) Li M_y Fe_{1-y}PO₄ (0.5 \leq y \leq 0.8) cathode materials grown by hydrothermal route: electrochemical performance. ECS Trans 50–24:109–114
- 101. Hong Y, Tang Z, Hong Z, Zhang Z (2014) LiMn_{1-x}Fe_xPO₄ (x = 0, 0.1, 0.2) nanorods synthesized by a facile solvothermal approach as high performance cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. J Power Sources 248:655–659
- 102. Truong QD, Devaraju MK, Ganbe Y, Tomai T, Honma I (2013) Controlling the shape of LiCoPO₄ nanocrystals by supercritical fluid process for enhanced energy storage properties. Sci Rep 4:3975
- 103. Wang F, Yang J, Nuli Y, Wang J (2011) Novel hedgehog-like 5 V LiCoPO₄ positive electrode material for rechargeable lithium battery. J Power Sources 196:4806–4810
- 104. Su J, Wei BQ, Rong JP, Yin WY, Ye ZX, Tian XQ, Ren L, Cao MH, Hu CW (2011) A general solution-chemistry route to the synthesis LiMPO₄ (M=Mn, Fe and Co) nanocrystals with [010] orientation for lithium ion batteries. J Solid State Chem 184:2909–2919
- 105. Wolfenstine J, Allen J (2004) LiNiPO₄–LiCoPO₄ solid solutions as cathodes. J Power Sources 136:150–153
- 106. Ni J, Gao L, Lu L (2013) Carbon coated lithium cobalt phosphate for Li-ion batteries: Comparison of three coating techniques. J Power Sources 221:35–41
- 107. Wolfenstine J, Read J, Allen J (2007) Effect of carbon on the electronic conductivity and discharge capacity LiCoPO₄. J Power Sources 163:1070–1073
- Wolfenstine J, Lee U, Poese B, Allen J (2005) Effect of oxygen partial pressure on the discharge capacity of LiCoPO₄. J Power Sources 144:226–230
- 109. Wolfenstine J, Poese B, Allen J (2004) Chemical oxidation of LiCoPO₄. J Power Sources 138:281–282
- 110. Okada S, Sawa S, Egashira M, Yamaki JI, Tabuchi M, Kageyama H, Konishi T, Yoshino A (2001) Cathode properties of phospho-olivine LiMPO₄ for lithium secondary batteries. J Power Sources 97–98:430–432
- 111. Bramnik NN, Bramnik KG, Baehtz C, Ehrenberg H (2005) Study of the effect of different synthesis routes on Li extraction–insertion from LiCoPO₄. J Power Sources 145:74–81
- Wolfenstine J (2006) Electrical conductivity of doped LiCoPO₄. J Power Sources 158:1431– 1435

- 113. Wang F, Yang J, Li YN, Wang J (2011) Novel hedgehog-like 5 V LiCoPO₄ positive electrode material for rechargeable lithium battery. J Power Sources 196:4806–4810
- 114. Nakayama M, Goto S, Uchimoto Y, Wakihara M, Kitayama Y, Miyanaga T, Watanabe I (2005) X-ray absorption spectroscopic study on the electronic structure of $Li_{1-x}CoPO_4$ electrodes as 4.8 V positive electrodes for rechargeable lithium ion batteries. J Phys Chem B 109:11197–11203
- 115. Bramnik NN, Nikolowski K, Baehtz C, Bramnik KG, Ehrenberg H (2007) Phase transition occurring upon lithium insertion-extraction of LiCoPO₄. Chem Mater 19:908–915
- 116. Zhao Y, Wang S, Zhao C, Xia D (2009) Synthesis and electrochemical performance of LiCoPO₄ micron-rods by dispersant-aided hydrothermal method for lithium ion batteries. Rare Met 28:117–121
- 117. Bramnik NN, Nikolowski K, Trots DM, Ehrenberg H (2008) Thermal stability of LiCoPO₄ cathodes. Electrochem Solid State Lett 11:A89–A93
- 118. Jang IC, Lim HH, Lee SB, Karthikeyan K, Aravindan V, Kang KS, Yoon WS, Cho WI, Lee YS (2010) Preparation of LiCoPO₄ and LiFePO₄ coated LiCoPO₄ materials with improved battery performance. J Alloys Compd 497:321–324
- Bramnik NN, Nikolowski K, Trots DM, Ehrenberg H (2008) Thermal stability of LiCoPO₄ cathodes. Electrochem Solid State Lett 11:A89–A93
- 120. Aravindan V, Cheah YL, Chui Ling WC, Madhavi S (2012) Effect of LiBOB additive on the electrochemical performance of LiCoPO₄. J Electrochem Soc 159:A1435–A1439
- 121. Wolfenstine J, Allen J (2005) Ni³⁺/Ni²⁺ redox potential in LiNiPO₄. J Power Sources 142:389–390
- 122. Karthickprabhu S, Hirankumar G, Maheswaran A, Daries-Bella RS, Sanjeeviraja C (2014) Structural, morphological, vibrational and electrical studies on Zn doped nanocrystalline LiNiPO₄. Mater Sci Forum 781:145–153
- Dimesso L, Becker D, Spanheimer C, Jaegermann W (2012) Investigation of graphitic carbon foams/LiNiPO₄ composites. J Solid State Electrochem 16:3791–3798
- 124. Piana M, Arrabito M, Bodoardo S, D'Epifanio A, Satolli D, Croce F, Scrosati B (2002) Characterization of phospho-olivines as materials for Li-ion celle cathodes. Ionics 8:17–26
- 125. Julien CM, Mauger A, Zaghib K, Veillette R, Groult H (2012) Structural and electronic properties of the LiNiPO₄ orthophosphate. Ionics 18:625–633
- Herle PS, Ellis B, Coombs N, Nazar LF (2004) Nano-network electronic conduction in iron and nickel olivine phosphates. Nat Mater 3:147–152
- 127. Sugiyama J, Nozaki H, Harada M, Kamazawa K, Ikedo Y, Miyake Y, Ofer O, Mansson M, Ansaldo EJ, Chow KH (2012) Diffusive behaviour in LiMPO4 with M=Fe Co, Ni probed by muon-spin relaxation. Phys Rev B 85:054111
- 128. Wang D, Xiao J, Xu W, Zhang JG (2010) Investigation of LiNiPO₄ as a cathode material for lithium ion battery. The 15th international meeting on lithium batteries, IMLB2010, abstract no°372
- 129. Minakshi M, Singh P, Ralph D, Appadoo D, Blackford M, Ionescu M (2012) Structural characteristics of olivine Li(Mg_{0.5}Ni_{0.5})PO₄ via TEM analysis. Ionics 18:583–590
- 130. Ramana CV, Ait-Salah A, Utsunomiya S, Becker U, Mauger A, Gendron F, Julien CM (2006) Structural characteristics of lithium nickel phosphate olivine studied using analytical electron microscopy and raman spectroscopy. Chem Mater 18:3788–3794
- 131. Cheruku R, Govindaraj G (2014) Structural and electrical conductivity studies of nanocrystalline olivine type LiNiPO₄ material. Int J ChemTech Res 6:2017–2201
- 132. Goñi A, Lezama L, Barberis GE, Pizarro JL, Arriortua MI, Rojo T (1996) Magnetic properties of the LiMPO₄ (M=Co, Ni) compounds. J Magn Magn Mater 164:251–255
- 133. Santoro RP, Segal DJ, Newnham RE (1966) Magnetic properties of LiCoPO₄ and LiNiPO₄. J Phys Chem Solids 27:1192–1193
- 134. Toft-Petersen R, Jensen J, Jensen TBS, Andersen NH, Christensen NB, Niedemayer C, Kenzelmann M, Skoulatos M, Le MD, Lefmann K, Hensen SR, Li J, Zarestky JL, Vaknin D (2011) High-field magnetic phase transition and spin excitations in magnetoelectric LiNiPO₄. Phys Rev B 84:054408

- 135. Vaknin D, Zarestky JL, Rivera JP, Schmid H (2004) Commensurate-incommensurate magnetic phase transition in magnetoelectric single crystal LiNiPO₄. Phys Rev Lett 92:207201
- 136. Fomin VI, Gnezdilov VP, Kurnosov VS, Peschanskii AV, Yeremenko AV, Schmid H, Rivera JP, Gentil S (2002) Raman scattering in a LiNiPO₄ single crystal. Low Temp Phys 28:203–209
- 137. Ficher CAJ, Prieto VMH, Islam MS (2008) Lithium battery materials LiMPO₄ (M=Mn, Fe, Co and Ni): insights into defect association, transport mechanisms and doping behaviour. Chem Mater 20:5907–5915
- 138. Garcia-Moreno O, Alvarez-Vega M, Garcia-Alvarado F, Garcia-Jaca J, Garcia-Amores JM, Sanjuan ML, Amador U (2001) Influence of the structure on the electrochemical performance of lithium transition metal phosphates as cathodic materials in rechargeable lithium batteries: a new high-pressure form of LMPO₄ (M=Fe and Ni). Chem Mater 13:1570–1576
- 139. Zhou F, Cococcioni M, Kang K, Ceder G (2004) The Li intercalation potential of LiMPO₄ and LiMSiO₄ olivines with M=Fe, Mn Co, Ni. Electrochem Commun 6:1144–1148
- 140. Howard WF, Spotnitz RM (2007) Theoretical evaluation of high-energy lithium metal phosphate cathode materials in Li-ion batteries. J Power Sources 165:887–891
- 141. Chevrier VL, Ong SP, Armiento R, Chan MKY, Ceder G (2010) Hybrid density functional calculations of redox potentials and formation energies of transition metal compounds. Phys Rev B 82:075122
- 142. Rommel SM, Schall N, Brünig C, Weihrich R (2014) Challenges in the synthesis of high voltage electrode materials for lithium-ion batteries: a review on LiNiPO₄. Monatsh Chem 145:385–404
- 143. Kausarjanjua N, Mumtaz M, Yabuq A, Sabahat S, Mujtaba A (2014) Electrocatalytic activity of LiNiPO₄ and the copper doped analogues towards oxygen reduction. Nucleus 51:109–115
- 144. Yang J, Xu JJ (2006) Synthesis and characterization of carbon-coated lithium transition metal phosphates LiMPO₄ (M=Fe, Mn Co, Ni) prepared via nonaqueous sol-gel route. J Electrochem Soc 153:A716–A723
- 145. Tsai A (2011) Synthesis and characterization of LiNiPO₄ nanocrystals via microemulsion method as a new class of electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction. Master's thesis, New Jersey Graduate School, New Brunswick
- 146. Prabu M, Selvasekarapandian S (2012) Dielectric and modulus studies of LiNiPO₄. Mater Chem Phys 134:366–370
- 147. Gangulibabu DB, Kalaiselvi N, Jayaprakash N, Periasamy P (2009) CAM sol-gel synthesized LiMPO₄ (M=Co, Ni) cathodes for rechargeable lithium batteries. J Sol-Gel Sci Technol 49:137–144
- 148. Karthickprabhu S, Hirankumar G, Maheswaran A, Daries-Bella RS, Sanjeeviraja C (2013) Structural and conductivity studies on lanthanum doped LiNiPO₄ prepared by polyol method. In: Chowdari BVR, Kawamura J, Mizusaki J, Amezawa K (eds) Proceedings of the 13th Asian conference on solid state ionics, Sendai, Japan 17–20 July 2012, World Scientific, Singapore
- 149. Piana M, Arrabito M, Bodoardo S, D'Epifanio A, Satolli D, Croce F, Scrosati B (2002) Characterization of phospho-olivines as materials for Li-ion celle cathodes. Ionics 8:17–26
- 150. Dimesso L, Jacke S, Spanheimer C, Jaegermann W (2012) Investigation on LiCoPO4 powders as cathode materials annealed under different atmospheres. J Solid State Electrochem 16:3911–3919
- 151. Dimesso L, Spanheimer C, Jaegermann W (2013) Effect of the Mg-substitution on the graphitic carbon foams—LiNi_{1-y}Mg_yPO₄ composites as possible cathodes materials for 5 V applications. Mater Res Bull 48:559–565
- 152. Tabassam L, Giuli G, Moretti A, Nobili F, Marassi R, Minicucci M, Gunnella R, Olivi L, DiCicco A (2012) Structural study of LiFePO₄-LiNiPO₄ solid solutions. J Power Sources 213:287–295
- 153. Qing R, Yang MC, Meng YS, Sigmund W (2013) Synthesis of LiNi_xFe_{1-x}PO₄ solid solution as cathode materials for lithium ion batteries. Electrochim Acta 108:827–832



http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-15457-2

Rechargeable Batteries Materials, Technologies and New Trends Zhang, Z.; Zhang, S.S. (Eds.) 2015, IX, 712 p. 337 illus., Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-319-15457-2