# Gröbner Bases, Coding, and Cryptography

Bearbeitet von Massimiliano Sala, Teo Mora, Ludovic Perret, Shojiro Sakata, Carlo Traverso

> 1. Auflage 2009. Buch. xvi, 430 S. Hardcover ISBN 978 3 540 93805 7 Format (B x L): 15,5 x 23,5 cm Gewicht: 1770 g

<u>Weitere Fachgebiete > Mathematik > Operational Research > Symbolisches Rechnen</u>

Zu Inhaltsverzeichnis

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei



Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung beck-shop.de ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

# **Gröbner Bases, Coding, and Cryptography:** a Guide to the State-of-Art

Massimiliano Sala

# 1 In the Beginning

Last century saw a number of landmark scientific contributions, solving longstanding problems and opening the path to entirely new subjects. We are interested in three<sup>1</sup> of these:

- 1. Claude Shannon's (1948),
- 2. Claude Shannon's (1949),
- 3. Bruno Buchberger's (1965)

The title of Shannon's (1948) paper says it all: "A mathematical theory of communication". It was later reprinted as Shannon and Weaver (1949) with an even more ambitious title: "The Mathematical Theory of Communication". Although people have exchanged information in speech and writing for centuries, nobody had ever treated the information exchange (or even information itself) in a rigorous mathematical way. In Shannon's time there was a need for it, since the last century saw a dramatic increase in the amount and speed of information exchange, with the spreading of new media, like radio, television and telephone.

In Shannon (1948), communication theory is the study of some stationary stochastic processes. Random variables describe information sources and probability distributions describe channels, through which information is sent. Noisy channels are modelled and (error correcting) codes are introduced to permit information recover after the transmission. In particular, the (probabilistic) foundation of Coding Theory was laid.

One year later, another astonishing paper by Shannon appeared: Shannon (1949). For centuries "secret codes" have been used to protect messages from unauthorized readers. Unsurprisingly, the lack of a rigorous model for communication prevented the study of a more specific model for secure communication. Cryptography had been largely regarded as an art, often mixed with esoteric and obscure references. A cipher was considered secure until an attacker could break it. Like a lighthouse in the dark, Shannon's paper introduces basic definitions and results, which make

M. Sala

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Here listed in chronological order.

Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Trento, Trento, Italy e-mail: sala@science.unitn.it

cryptography into a *science*. Shannon views a cipher as a set of indexed functions from the plain-text space to the cipher-text space, where the index space is the key space. Building on his previous paper, he focuses on the probability distribution of (the use of) the keys and of the plain-texts, on the way they determine the cipher distribution and on how an attacker can use them. The paper is also full of invaluable (and prophetic) remarks, such as: *"The problem of good cipher design is essentially one of finding difficult problems … How can we ever be sure that a system which is not ideal … will require a large amount of work to break with every method of analysis? … We may construct our cipher in such a way that breaking it is equivalent to … the solution of some problem known to be laborious."* 

Among the mathematical problems known to be "laborious" (to use Shannon's terminology), there is one which has always received a lot of interest: how to "solve" a system of polynomial equations. This reduces to a more general problem: how to represent in a "standard" way a (multivariable) polynomial ideal. Even a simple decision problem like ideal membership<sup>2</sup> had no way to be solved and some even believed it was undecidable, after the word problem in group theory was proved so in Novikov (1955, 1958).

However, in 1965 Buchberger's (1965, 2006) thesis he presented *the* appropriate framework for the study of polynomial ideals, with the introduction of Gröbner bases. There is no way to summarize in a few pages the surge in computational algebra research originated from Buchberger's stunning contribution, with uncountable applications in Mathematics, Engineering, Physics and recently even Biology and other sciences. Fortunately, this book deals only with the applications of Gröbner bases to coding theory and cryptography, and in the next section we will hint at them within the book.

#### 2 Until Now

A finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  may not look particularly interesting to mathematicians accustomed to infinite fields. After all, it contains only a finite number of elements. Also, all nonzero elements are exactly the powers of a primitive element, providing a rather dull group structure for its multiplicative elements. Nevertheless, it is a field, which means a lot<sup>3</sup> from the point of view of its polynomial rings and their algebraic varieties. Moreover, it has a very peculiar property: *all* functions from  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}$  can be represented as polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Here lies the *heart* of the interaction<sup>4</sup> between Gröbner bases and coding theory/cryptography.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Determining whether a polynomial belongs to an ideal I given a finite basis for I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For example, the number of roots of  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  is deg(p) (counting multiplicities).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Some recent research has focused on special classes of rings, we will discuss it at the end of Sect. 2.3.

#### 2.1 Classical Coding Theory

After Shannon (1948), coding theory has developed along two main directions:<sup>5</sup> algebraic coding theory and probabilistic coding theory. The rationale behind the (apparently unnatural) introduction of algebra is that it is very difficult to predict (or even to estimate) the performance of codes constructed and decoded in a probabilistic way, while already the pioneeristic work by Hamming (1950) showed how easy it is to construct algebraic codes, with algebraic decoding, whose performance can be easily estimated by the computation of a parameter called the (Hamming) distance. The main objects of study in algebraic coding theory are "codes", that is, subsets of finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . There has been extensive study into linear codes (subspaces) and much less into non-linear codes, due to implementation issues. A lot of research has been devoted to cyclic codes, that form a class of linear codes enjoying special algebraic properties, allowing both easier determination of their distance and low-complexity decoders. An introduction to linear and cyclic codes is provided in our chapter (Augot et al. 2009). The two introductory chapters (Mora 2009a, 2009b) lay down our commutative algebra notation, sketch Gröbner basis theory and describe its powerful results for 0-dimensional ideals.<sup>6</sup> The first instance of applications we present is the chapter on the "Cooper philosophy" (Mora and Orsini 2009), where it is showed how to decode efficiently cyclic codes using Gröbner bases. We have a few short notes on linear and non-linear codes, where some Gröbner basis computation is needed:

- Lally (2009) gives a description of quasi-cyclic codes<sup>7</sup> in term of Gröbner bases of polynomial modules,
- Giorgetti (2009) introduces *nth root codes*<sup>8</sup> and show how to compute their distance and weight distribution,
- Bulygin and Pellikaan (2009) explains how to decode a (general) linear code,
- Guerrini et al. (2009) explains how to find the distance of (systematic) non-linear codes (and of linear codes as a special case); a variation allows to classify all such codes with some given parameters,
- Kim (2009) presents a prize problem in coding theory about the existence of a code with special parameters (it could be solved by a variation to the methods in Guerrini et al. 2009),
- Borges-Quintana et al. (2009) provides a Gröbner basis description for binary linear codes, allowing their decoding and the calculation of their distance,
- Martinez-Moro and Ruano (2009) presents a new family of linear codes endowed with a natural Gröbner basis description.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>See our note Gluesing-Luerssen et al. (2009) for a hybrid approach.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>I.e., ideals having a finite number of solutions, as it is always the case in coding and cryptography.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>A class of linear codes which can be seen as a generalization of cyclic codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>A wide class of linear codes containing cyclic codes.

# 2.2 AG Codes

In the eighties (Goppa 1981) the so-called AG (short for "Algebraic Geometry") codes were proposed. These are linear codes obtained as evaluation of function spaces on algebraic curves. Standard results in curve theory yield sharp estimates for their distance. Their geometric structure permits specific decoding algorithms. For problems related to these codes, a polynomial formulation is natural and hence Gröbner bases find a field fertile in applications. Our treatment (chapters) of AG codes is as follows:

- Leonard (2009a) introduces the AG codes, especially the one-point AG codes,<sup>9</sup>
- Little (2009) explains their encoding (with Gröbner bases) and the relation with the curve automorphisms,
- Sakata (2009a) describes the Berlekamp–Massey–Sakata (BMS) algorithm, which can be specialized to decode AG codes, <sup>10</sup> as explained in Sakata (2009b),
- Leonard (2009b) further explores their decoding.

Recently, it has been observed that the classical presentation of AG codes suffers from some limitations, such as the need for a lot<sup>11</sup> of theoretical prerequisites in order to understand theory and the absence of explicit code descriptions.<sup>12</sup> To overcome these difficulties, a new constructive approach has been proposed: the Order Domain codes. These codes and their relation to classical AG codes are discussed in our chapter (Geil 2009). Interestingly, Gröbner bases have turned out to be very convenient tools for their study.

# 2.3 Coding Miscellanea

Classical decoding algorithms for cyclic and AG codes can be reinterpreted in terms of Gröbner basis computation, as explained in our chapter (Guerrini and Rimoldi 2009), where also *list-decoding algorithms* are detailed. A list-decoding algorithm is an algorithm<sup>13</sup> that decodes a received message into a *list* of possible codewords. A probabilistic algorithm is then used to choose the most likely among them. These algorithms are a compromise between algebraic decoding and probabilistic decoding, which is necessary in order to fully exploit the channel capacity without losing the advantage of the algebraic approach. Also the BMS algorithm can be adapted to a list-decoding algorithm (Sakata 2009b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Which is their most important subclass, enjoying an easier description. See our note (Matthews 2009) for multi-point AG codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Historically, this was the first *fast* algorithm to decode such codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>In comparison to the prerequisites for standard linear code theory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Which would prevent actual use of these codes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>See also our notes (Augot and Stepanov 2009; Beelen and Brander 2009).

We report that recently also (linear and cyclic) codes over rings have been studied. For an introduction to this theory see our chapter (Greferath 2009). Also Gröbner basis theory can be adapted to special classes of rings. This is sketched in our chapter (Byrne and Mora 2009), where it is also explained how the Gröbner basis decoding techniques in Guerrini and Rimoldi (2009) are extended to codes over (special) rings.

### 2.4 Cryptography

After Shannon's (1949) paper two main kinds of ciphers have been developed: block ciphers and stream ciphers. Block ciphers are closer to Shannon's original idea of key-indexed transformations from the plain-text space to the cipher-text space, and can be viewed as maps from  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}^m$ , for some  $n, m \ge 1$ . Stream ciphers assume the message to come in a (ideally) infinite stream (of field elements in  $\mathbb{F}$ ) and they add<sup>14</sup> element by element the message stream with a key stream produced by the cipher itself. Block ciphers and their relation to Gröbner bases are discussed in our chapter (Cid and Weinmann 2009), while stream ciphers and their relation to Gröbner bases are discussed in chapter (Armknecht and Ars 2009). It is interesting to note that Gröbner basis attacks on some stream ciphers have outmatched all classical attacks and so they are now widely used for assessing the security of keystream generators (Armknecht and Ars 2009). This is not the case for Gröbner basis attacks on block ciphers, yet.

The problem with the ciphers as designed by Shannon is that the two peers need to exchange the key before data transmission. This can be difficult since it requires the presence of a secure channel. In Diffie and Hellman (1976) they solved this problem with an ingenious key exchange protocol and their ideas were adapted to design a cipher based on two keys, a public  $K_P$  and a secret  $K_S$ , such that only a key exchange of  $K_P$  in a public channel is required (see e.g. Rivest et al. 1978; McEliece 1978). This branch of cryptography is nowadays called *public key* (or asymmetric) cryptography (PKC), while traditional cryptography is called symmetric cryptography. Although PKC cannot provide the same security level as symmetric cryptography without a larger computational cost, in many real situations (such as in the Internet) there is little choice. Among the PKC systems brought forward in the last 40 years, there are two families that rely on "laborious" problems in polynomial rings. They are deeply discussed in our chapters (Billet and Ding 2009) and (Levy-dit-Vehel et al. 2009). The ciphers in the latter family are called *Polly* Cracker systems. Although Gröbner bases are used to attack the systems discussed in both chapters, Gröbner bases are used to build the systems themselves in the Polly Cracker case (which then deserves a deeper analysis).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Or, rarely, perform more complicate transformations.

As mentioned at the beginning of the section, it is the polynomial nature of all functions from  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}$  that allows the use of Gröbner bases in coding and cryptography. A special case is the binary case, i.e. when  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ , since in most applications the encoding/enciphering is binary. Any function from  $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is called a *Boolean* function and any function from  $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$  to  $(\mathbb{F}_2)^m$  is a *vectorial* Boolean functions. As expected, their properties are amply studied in connection with cryptography problems.

We present three notes dealing with three different aspects:

- Simonetti (2009) shows how to use Gröbner bases to compute the non-linearity of any Boolean function *f*, which is an important parameter in evaluating the security of using *f* in building a cipher;
- Gligoroski et al. (2009b) sketches the use of (vectorial) Boolean functions in building hash functions;<sup>15</sup>
- Gligoroski et al. (2009a) uses Gröbner bases to represent a special class of Boolean functions (*quasigroups*) which are used to construct a PKC system.

#### **3** Final Comments

In the previous sections, I have tried to convey the general plan behind our book and its chapters (notes) division. This book is a collection of papers by many authors, some of them with a very different background.<sup>16</sup> As such, it cannot be read as a text-book, but the accurate choice of the subjects should allow the reader to have a comprehensive view of the most common applications of Gröbner bases to coding and cryptography. It is especially important to read carefully the introductory chapters and understand their notation. Within every chapter and note, I have done my best to insert all inter-book cross-references that I felt adequate. Still, there are many parts of the theory we have not been able to cover and a lot of further interactions that we have not detailed.

It is my belief (shared by the Board) that this book can be an excellent *guide* to the subject, both for the researcher wishing to go deeper into some unfamiliar part of the theory and for the student approaching this area.

#### References

F. Armknecht and G. Ars, *Algebraic attacks on stream ciphers with Gröbner bases*, this volume, 2009, pp. 329–348.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>These are cryptographic methods utilized to guarantee the authentication of a pair message/sender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>There is also a note (Matsumoto 2009) which does not apparently fit with the rest of the book's material, but which I felt it should be included because it hints at possible new developments.

- D. Augot and M. Stepanov, A note on the generalisation of the Guruswami–Sudan list decoding algorithm to Reed–Muller codes, this volume, 2009, pp. 395–398.
- D. Augot, E. Betti and E. Orsini, *An introduction to linear and cyclic codes*, this volume, 2009, pp. 47–68.
- P. Beelen and K. Brander, *Decoding folded Reed–Solomon codes using Hensel lifting*, this volume, 2009, pp. 389–394.
- O. Billet and J. Ding, Overview of cryptanalysis techniques in multivariate public key cryptography, this volume, 2009, pp. 263–283.
- M. Borges-Quintana, M. A. Borges-Trenard and E. Martinez-Moro, An application of Möller's algorithm to coding theory, this volume, 2009, pp. 379–384.
- B. Buchberger, Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal, Ph.D. thesis, Innsbruck, 1965.
- B. Buchberger, Bruno Buchberger's PhD thesis 1965: An algorithm for finding the basis elements of the residue class ring of a zero dimensional polynomial ideal, J. Symb. Comput. **41** (2006), no. 3-4, 475–511.
- S. Bulygin and R. Pellikaan, Decoding linear error-correcting codes up to half the minimum distance with Gröbner bases, this volume, 2009, pp. 361–365.
- E. Byrne and T. Mora, *Gröbner bases over commutative rings and applications to coding theory*, this volume, 2009, pp. 239–261.
- C. Cid and R. P. Weinmann, Block ciphers: algebraic cryptanalysis and Gröbner bases, this volume, 2009, pp. 307–327.
- W. Diffie and M.E. Hellman, *New directions in cryptography*, IEEE Trans. on Inf. Th. 22 (1976), no. 6, 644–654.
- O. Geil, Algebraic geometry codes from order domains, this volume, 2009, pp. 121-141.
- M. Giorgetti, *About the nth-root codes: a Gröbner basis approach to the weight computation*, this volume, 2009, pp. 357–360.
- D. Gligoroski, V. Dimitrova and S. Markovski, *Quasigroups as Boolean functions, their equation systems and Gröbner bases*, this volume, 2009a, pp. 415–420.
- D. Gligoroski, S. Markovski and S. J. Knapskog, A new measure to estimate pseudo-randomness of Boolean functions and relations with Gröbner bases, this volume, 2009b, pp. 421–425.
- H. Gluesing-Luerssen, B. Langfeld and W. Schmale, *A short introduction to cyclic convolutional codes*, this volume, 2009, pp. 403–408.
- V. D. Goppa, Codes on algebraic curves, Soviet Math. Dokl. 24 (1981), no. 1, 170-172.
- M. Greferath, An introduction to ring-linear coding theory, this volume, 2009, pp. 219–238.
- E. Guerrini and A. Rimoldi, FGLM-like decoding: from Fitzpatrick's approach to recent developments, this volume, 2009, pp. 197–218.
- E. Guerrini, E. Orsini and I. Simonetti, *Gröbner bases for the distance distribution of systematic codes*, this volume, 2009, pp. 367–372.
- R. W. Hamming, Error detecting and error correcting codes, Bell Systems Technical Journal 29 (1950), 147–160.
- J. L. Kim, A prize problem in coding theory, this volume, 2009, pp. 373–377.
- K. Lally, Canonical representation of quasicyclic codes using Gröbner basis theory, this volume, 2009, pp. 351–355.
- D. A. Leonard, *A tutorial on AG code construction from a Gröbner basis perspective*, this volume, 2009a, pp. 93–106.
- D. A. Leonard, A tutorial on AG code decoding from a Gröbner basis perspective, this volume, 2009b, pp. 187–196.
- F. Levy-dit-Vehel, M. G. Marinari, L. Perret and C. Traverso, A survey on Polly Cracker systems, this volume, 2009, pp. 285–305.
- J. B. Little, Automorphisms and encoding of AG and order domain codes, this volume, 2009, pp. 107–120.
- E. Martinez-Moro and D. Ruano, *Mattson Solomon transform and algebra codes*, this volume, 2009, pp. 385–388.
- R. Matsumoto, Radical computation for small characteristics, this volume, 2009, pp. 427-430.

- G. L. Matthews, *Viewing multipoint codes as subcodes of one-point codes*, this volume, 2009, pp. 399–402.
- R. J. McEliece, A public key cryptosystem based on algebraic coding theory, JPL DSN 42-44 (1978), 114-116.
- T. Mora, *The FGLM problem and Moeller's algorithm on zero-dimensional ideals*, this volume, 2009a, pp. 27–45.
- T. Mora, Gröbner technology, this volume, 2009b, pp. 11-25.
- T. Mora and E. Orsini, *Decoding cyclic codes: the Cooper philosophy*, this volume, 2009, pp. 69–91.
- P. S. Novikov, *Ob algoritmičeskoĭ nerazrešimosti problemy toždestva slov v teorii grupp*, Trudy Mat. Inst. im. Steklov. no. 44, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1955.
- P. S. Novikov, On the algorithmic insolvability of the word problem in group theory, AMS Translations, Ser. 2, Vol. 9, AMS, Providence, 1958, pp. 1–122.
- R. L. Rivest, A. Shamir and L. M. Adleman, A method for obtaining digital signatures and publickey cryptosystems, Commun. ACM 21 (1978), no. 2, 120–126.
- S. Sakata, The BMS algorithm, this volume, 2009a, pp. 143-163.
- S. Sakata, The BMS algorithm and decoding of AG codes, this volume, 2009b, pp. 165-185.
- C. E. Shannon, *A mathematical theory of communication*, Bell System Tech. J. **27** (1948), 379–423, 623–656.
- C. E. Shannon, Communication theory of secrecy systems, Bell System Tech. J. 28 (1949), 656– 715.
- C. E. Shannon and W. Weaver, *The mathematical theory of communication*, University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1949.
- I. Simonetti, On the non-linearity of Boolean functions, this volume, 2009, pp. 409-413.



http://www.springer.com/978-3-540-93805-7

Gröbner Bases, Coding, and Cryptography (Eds.)M. Sala; T. Mora; L. Perret; S. Sakata; C. Traverso 2009, XVI, 430 p., Hardcover ISBN: 978-3-540-93805-7