

Lucretius and His Sources

A Study of Lucretius, "De rerum natura" I 635-920

Bearbeitet von
Francesco Montarese

1. Auflage 2012. Buch. XII, 326 S. Hardcover

ISBN 978 3 11 019452 4

Format (B x L): 15,5 x 23 cm

Gewicht: 602 g

[Weitere Fachgebiete > Literatur, Sprache > Literaturwissenschaft: Allgemeines > Klassische Lateinische Literatur](#)

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei

The logo for beck-shop.de features the text "beck-shop.de" in a bold, red, sans-serif font. Above the "i" in "shop" are three red dots of increasing size. Below the main text, the words "DIE FACHBUCHHANDLUNG" are written in a smaller, red, all-caps, sans-serif font.

beck-shop.de
DIE FACHBUCHHANDLUNG

Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung beck-shop.de ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

Table of contents

Introduction	1
Chapter 1	
Lucretius drew the Critique from an earlier Epicurean polemic . .	11
1.1 Lucretius' information is second-hand	20
1.2 Lucretius' source was an Epicurean text	35
1.2.1 Lucretius' use of <i>homoeomeria</i>	36
1.2.2 The choice of Heraclitus as representative monist .	40
1.2.2.1 The Stoics as fire monists?	43
1.2.2.2 The Stoic denial of void in the world? . . .	44
1.2.3 Lucretius' arguments against the limited	
pluralists	46
1.2.3.1 Lines 753–781	46
1.2.3.2 Lines 782–802	47
1.2.4 The Epicurean angle	50
1.3 Conclusion	56
Chapter 2	
Books XIV and XV of Epicurus' <i>Περὶ φύσεως</i>	58
2.1 The content of books XIV and XV	58
2.1.1 Book XIV was not dedicated to polemic	59
2.1.1.1 Evidence from the format of <i>PHerc.</i> 1148 .	59
2.1.1.2 Columns I–XXII	60
2.1.1.3 Columns XXIII and XXIV	63
2.1.1.4 Evidence from the <i>sezioni</i>	66
2.1.2 Epicurus did not discuss Heraclitus' theory	
ΠΦ XIV	78
2.1.3 Epicurus did not refute Empedocles' theory	
in ΠΦ XIV	79
2.1.4 Book XV was not dedicated to criticism of	
Anaxagoras	84
2.1.4.1 <i>Cornice</i> 2	86
2.1.4.2 <i>Cornice</i> 3	105
2.1.4.3 <i>Cornice</i> 4	110

2.1.4.4	<i>Cornice</i> 5	116
2.1.4.5	<i>Cornici</i> 6 and 7	122
2.1.4.6	<i>Cornice</i> 8	125
2.2	Other considerations intrinsic to Epicurus' work	128
2.3	Do ΠΦ XIV and XV depend on Theophrastus' Φυσικαὶ δόξαι?	131
2.3.1	Was Plato the last of the limited pluralists in Theophrastus' Φυσικαὶ δόξαι?	137
2.3.2	The detail of the arguments against Plato and air monism	138
2.3.3	The dating of ΠΦ XIV and of Theophrastus' Φυσικαὶ δόξαι	143
2.4	Conclusion	145
Chapter 3		
	Lucretius' use of sources in <i>DRN</i> I	147
3.1	The source of <i>DRN</i> I.156–598 and 951–1107	147
3.2	Did Lucretius change source after line 598 of <i>DRN</i> I?	152
3.3	The Critique does not derive from the same source as 155 ff.	158
3.4	The connection between lines 634 and 635	160
3.5	Why did Lucretius have the Critique at the centre of book I?	163
3.6	Was Epicurus the source of the Critique?	168
3.7	Did Lucretius use a later Epicurean source?	171
3.7.1	The choice of Heraclitus	177
3.7.2	Lucretius' use of <i>homoeomeria</i>	179
3.8	Conclusion	181
Chapter 4		
	Lucretius in the Critique	182
4.1	Heraclitus as a general	182
4.2	Heraclitus' army	185
4.2.1	<i>Stolidi</i> and <i>inanes Graii</i>	186
4.2.2	Sound and truth	190
4.2.3	<i>Inversis sub verbis</i>	199
4.3	The theme of the path and the search for truth	208
4.3.1	Lines 657–59	209
4.3.2	Lines 690–700	211
4.4	Empedocles and Sicily	212

4.4.1 Empedocles' language: poetry as revelation	213
4.4.2 Lucretius' praise	216
4.4.3 Etna	223
4.4.4 Lucretius' endorsement of Empedocles' discoveries	224
4.4.5 The four elements: Empedocles' disastrous fall . .	231
4.5 Lucretius' presentation of Anaxagoras' theory	235
4.5.1 Lucretius' transliteration <i>homoeomeria</i>	236
4.5.2 Parody of Anaxagoras	238
4.6 The mortality of Anaxagoras' <i>primordia</i>	239
4.7 Lucretius' strategy in lines 859–74	243
4.8 The analogy of letters and atoms	245
4.8.1 Lines 823–29	247
4.8.2 Intertextuality	250
4.8.3 Lines 906–14	251
4.9 Formularity	253
4.10 The parallelism between lines 803–29 and 897–920 . . .	255
4.11 The Critique as 'dialogue'	257
4.12 Conclusion	264
Appendix (A) Two stages of composition?	267
Appendix (B) The format of <i>PHerc.</i> 1148 and <i>PHerc.</i> 1151	273
Appendix (C) Do Epicurus' <i>Ad Herodotum</i> and <i>Ad Pythoclem</i> reflect continuous books of ΠΦ?	283
Abbreviations	289
Bibliography	291