

Guillermo Miguel Eguiazu & Alberto Motta

TECHNOPATHOGENOLOGY

TECHNOLOGY AND NON-EVIDENT RISKS –
A CONTRIBUTION TO PREVENTION

Peter Lang

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	15
-------------------	----

CHAPTER I – Technopathogeny – The Phenomenon

I.	The discovery of a phenomenon still undescribed	19
II.	Epistemological criteria for the creation of a science	21
III.	Epistemological group in Technopathogenology.....	22
IV.	The phenomenon.....	22
V.	Technopathogeny and Technique	26
VI.	Characteristics of the human / human environment harmony	29
VII.	Technology’s approach to maintain the balance	31
VIII.	Technopathogeny: Analyzing its defining terms	33
IX.	The increase in complexity of Technique comes along with the increase of risk.....	45
X.	Technopathogeny: The first three approaches	84
XI.	Technopathogeny: Its causes – The fourth approach.....	84
XII.	Attitudes regarding truth and its consequences on Technique.....	87
XIII.	The ethical attitude that causes the phenomenon: The fifth approach.....	100
XIV.	Flaws by action and flaws by omission	103
XV.	Vector system to exemplify the risks within Technique	104
XVI.	Conclusion.....	113

CHAPTER II – Technopathogeny – Its disciplinary orphanage and a framing proposal

I.	Introduction	115
II.	The need of a new discipline: Basic concepts	117
III.	A science with ups and downs or the disciplinary orphanage	118
IV.	Hypotheses and theories that support the disciplinary void and the postulation of Technopathogenology as a science to study the phenomenon.....	119
V.	Technopathogeny: Preliminary analysis of the situation.....	188

VI.	Searching for a solution.....	195
VII.	Technopathogeny. Searching for a disciplinary framework.....	196
VIII.	Other aspects of the Science and Technique system that contribute to further support the need of this new discipline	253
IX.	Conclusion.....	263

CHAPTER III – Technopathogenology – The science and its method

I.	Introduction	267
II.	Technopathogenology: The science	268
III.	The question on foreseeing.....	272
IV.	Which is the disciplinary framework?.....	272
V.	What happens when there is no discipline for a phenomenon which is not much described?.....	273
VI.	Why a Technopathogenology?	275
VII.	Technopathogenology: Multidiscipline or transdiscipline?	276
VIII.	Technopathogenology as a science	279
IX.	Technopathogenology and its insertion within the science system	283
X.	Possible interactions among the above mentioned sciences.....	289
XI.	What is Technopathogenology?	291
XII.	Technopathogenology: A “light” on the road of technological progress.....	293
XIII.	The scientific-technological task and the stubborn support of the innocuousness of the technological object	294
XIV.	Technopathogenology: Hard science or soft science, basic science or applied science, empirical science or formal science....	318
XV.	Technopathogenology: Unexpected science for an undesired phenomenon. A caprice or a need?	322
XVI.	Technopathogenology: The method	331
XVII.	Some criteria for the prevention of technopathogenic risk.....	357
XVIII.	Other procedures for the prevention of Technopathogeny	359
XIX.	A utopian proposal	367
XX.	Technopathogenology: The results.....	370
XXI.	Technopathogenology – A summary.....	371
XXII.	Conclusion.....	374

CHAPTER IV – Technopathogenology and its impact on society

I.	Technopathogenology and the cultural clash in the scientific system	380
II.	Technopathogenology and protection to consumers	434
III.	Technopathogenology and its economic implications.....	455
IV.	Technopathogenology and new quality criteria.....	468
V.	Technopathogenology and education	498
VI.	Technopathogenology and its contribution towards a stricter regulation in the international commerce of hazardous substances. The case of pesticides.....	502
VII.	Avoiding war and technopathogenic risk as a global responsibility – Two initiatives with one goal in common: The protection of humans	519
VIII.	Technopathogenology and the need of an ethical objector in Science and Technique	527
	Final Conclusion.....	661

ANNEX

I.	Prof. Heinrich Beck’s statement.....	672
II.	Opinions, comments and general criticism received.....	675
III.	Professor Otmar Wassermann’s evaluation.....	690
IV.	Comment on Prof. Per Jacobson’s letters.....	710
V.	Comments by Dr. Christian Hedinger, secretary of SAGUF	716
VI.	Opinions by Dr. Héctor José Huyke and Prof. Carl Mitcham	718
VII.	Letter by International Network of Engineers and Scientist for Global Responsibility (INES) to the Rector of Universidad Nacional de Rosario	719
VIII.	Letter by Greenpeace Argentina to the Rector of Universidad Nacional de Rosario	721
	Detailed Table of Contents	723
	Bibliography	737