

Contents

<i>Tables and Figures</i>	page ix
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Glossary of Key Terms</i>	xv
<i>Acknowledgments and Note on the Online Appendix</i>	xxi
Introduction: Why Don't Electoral Rules Have the Same Effects in All Countries?	1
1 When Do the Effects of Electoral Systems Diverge from Our Expectations?	13
2 Mixed-Member Electoral Systems: How They Work and How They Work for Scholars	42
<i>Appendix 2. Cross-National Analysis of the Number of Parties at the SMD Level: SMDs in Mixed-Member Systems Do Not Have More Candidates than SMDs in Pure Systems</i>	67
3 How Democratic Experience and Party System Development Condition the Effects of Electoral Rules on Disproportionality and the Number of Parties: Theory, Measurement, and Expectations	70
4 How Democratic Experience and Party System Development Condition the Effects of Electoral Rules on Disproportionality and the Number of Parties: What We Actually See	89
<i>Appendix 4A. Effective Number of Parties and Disproportionality for Each Country/Election</i>	109
<i>Appendix 4B. Multivariable Analyses</i>	112
5 Political Context, Electoral Rules, and Their Effects on Strategic and Personal Voting	121
<i>Appendix 5. OLS Models of the SMD-PR Vote Gap in Mixed-Member Systems</i>	141
	vii

viii	<i>Contents</i>
6	How Democratic Experience and Party System Development Condition the Effect of Electoral Rules on Strategic Defection 149
	<i>Appendix 6A1. Histograms of SF Ratios (First and Most Recent Election for Which We Have Data): Established Democracies</i> 173
	<i>Appendix 6A2. Histograms of SF-Ratios for New Democracies</i> 174
	<i>Appendix 6B. Multivariate Analysis of SF Ratios in Mixed Systems</i> 175
7	Social Diversity, Electoral Rules, and the Number of Parties 180
	<i>Appendix 7. Quantitative Analysis of Social Diversity, Electoral Rules, and the Number of Parties</i> 203
8	How Political Context Shapes the Effect of Electoral Rules on Women’s Representation 208
	<i>Appendix 8. Quantitative Analysis of Women’s Representation</i> 230
9	Conclusion: Why and How Political Context Matters for Electoral System Effects 236
	<i>References</i> 259
	<i>Index</i> 273

Tables and Figures

TABLES

1.1 Japan vs. Russia – Average Effective Number of Candidates in SMDs at the District Level and Election Rates of Women under Different Electoral Rules	<i>page 3</i>
2.1 Description of 18 Mixed-Member Electoral Systems, 1953–2007	48
2.2 Multilevel Model of the (Logged) Effective Number of Parties Getting Votes in SMDs in 37 Systems that Use Either Pure FPTP or Mixed-Member Rules	68
4.1 Relationship between System Characteristics and (1) Disproportionality (LSq) and (2) Effective Number of Candidates at the SMD level (N_{cands})	92
4.2 Mean Effective Number of District Candidates (N_{cands}) – Broken Down by Rules and Party System Context (number of country cases in parentheses)	98
4A Effective Number of Parties and Disproportionality for Each Country/Election	109
4.3 Impact of Electoral Rules and Democratic Context on Disproportionality (LSq)	113
4.4 What Shapes the Effective Number of Candidates at the SMD Level (N_{cands})?	115
4.5 What Shapes the Psychological Effect ($N_{parties} - N_{cands}$)?	118
4.6 What Shapes Projection of the Number of Parties from the District to the National Level ($N_v \text{ SMD} - N_{cands}$)?	119
5.1 Correlates of the Gap between Parties’ Share of SMD and PR Votes (Germany and New Zealand)	144
5.2 Correlates of the Gap between Parties’ Share of SMD and PR Votes (Japan, Scotland, and Wales)	145

x	<i>Tables and Figures</i>
5.3	Correlates of the Gap between Parties' Share of SMD and PR Votes (Lithuania, Russia, and Ukraine) 146
5.4	Correlates of the Gap between Parties' Share of SMD and PR Votes Based on Margin of Victory in the Previous Election 147
6.1	Multilevel Model of Correlates of the SF Ratio 177
7.1	Diversity, Electoral Rules, and the Number of Parties 204
7.2	New Zealand Pre- and Post-Reform in SMDs 206
8.1	Women's Representation in PR and SMD Tiers in 49 Elections in 18 Mixed-Member Systems 218
8.2	Percentage of Respondents Who Agree with the Statement, "Men Make Better Political Leaders than Women Do" 223
8.3	Correlates of the Difference between the Percentage of PR and SMD Seats Held by Women (by Country/Election) 232
8.4	Multilevel Probit Model of Correlates of Legislators Being Female 235
9.1	Electoral System Outcomes, Expectations, and Alternative Findings 241

FIGURES

1.1	FPTP rules and the number of parties 26
1.2	Interaction between electoral rules and social diversity in shaping the number of parties 31
1.3	Potential relationship between electoral rules, number of parties, and the election of women 35
2.1	Geographical representation of mixed-member electoral system 43
2.2	Comparing the (mean) effective number of candidates in select FPTP and mixed-member systems at the SMD level 56
2.3	Mean effective number of candidates at the SMD level across multiple FPTP and mixed-member systems 57
4.1	Rules and democratic context shape disproportionality 95
4.2	Democratic and mixed-system experience shape the effective number of candidates at the SMD level 99
4.3	The average effective number of parties in SMDs and PR (SMD level) 102
4.4	Democratic experience and tier linkage shape the psychological effect (the effective number of PR parties [N _{parties}] minus the effective number of SMD candidates [N _{cands}]) 103
4.5	Democratic and mixed-system experience affect the extent of SMD party projection from the district to the national level (N _v SMD minus N _{cands}) 105

Cambridge University Press

978-1-107-02542-4 - Electoral Systems and Political Context: How the Effects of Rules Vary Across New and Established Democracies

Robert G. Moser and Ethan Scheiner

Table of Contents

[More information](#)*Tables and Figures*

xi

5.1 Evidence of personal and strategic voting – relationship between SMD margin of victory (1st place vote – 2nd place vote) and the SMD–PR vote gap	132
5.2 Evidence of personal and strategic voting – relationship between SMD margin of victory (1st place vote – 2nd place vote) in the <i>previous</i> election and the SMD–PR vote gap	138
6.1 District-level SF-ratio patterns suggest greater strategic defection in SMDs in Germany than in Russia	156
6.2 SF ratios patterns in (post-1996) Japan suggest that there is greater strategic defection in close races	162
6.3 Relationship between each major variable and the SF ratios in SMD balloting	166
6.4 The SF ratios we can expect to see in established democracies are much lower than those in new democracies	168
6.5 Relationship between each major variable and the SF ratios in SMD balloting, based on margin of victory in the <i>previous</i> election	169
6A1 Histograms of SF ratios (first and most recent election for which we have data): established democracies	173
6A2 Histograms of SF ratios for new democracies	174
7.1 The most common view of the relationship between social diversity and party fragmentation	182
7.2 If social diversity affects the number of parties even under FPTP	186
7.3 Diversity and the number of parties (district and subdistrict level)	193
7.4 Effect of ethnic diversity on party fragmentation in New Zealand – pre- and post-reform (1987–2005)	198
8.1 Factors hypothesized to effect women’s representation under PR and SMD rules in postcommunist states	216
8.2 Average percentage of seats won by women	220
8.3 Expected difference in share of seats held by women (PR seat percentage minus SMD seat percentage), country-level analysis	221
8.4 Public attitudes toward women in politics and the election of women	223
8.5 Public attitudes toward women and the probability of legislators being female	225
8.6 Number of parties and the probability of legislators being female	226
8.7 Combined effect of the number of parties and public attitudes toward women on the probability of legislators being female	227
8.8 Effect of institutions and party ideology on the probability of legislators being female	228