

INTERNATIONAL LAW IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

From its earliest decisions in the 1790s, the U.S. Supreme Court has used international law to help resolve major legal controversies. This book presents a comprehensive account of the Supreme Court's use of international law from the Court's inception to the present day. Addressing treaties, the direct application of customary international law, and the use of international law as an interpretive tool, this book examines all the cases or lines of cases in which international law has played a material role, showing how the Court's treatment of international law both changed and remained consistent over the period. Although there was substantial continuity in the Supreme Court's international law doctrine through the end of the nineteenth century, the past century has been a time of tremendous doctrinal change. Few aspects of the Court's international law doctrine remain the same in the twenty-first century as they were two hundred years ago.

David L. Sloss is Professor of Law at Santa Clara University School of Law, where he serves as Director of the Center for Global Law and Policy. He is the editor of *The Role of Domestic Courts in Treaty Enforcement*: A *Comparative Study* (2009). He has published numerous articles on the history of U.S. foreign affairs law and the judicial enforcement of treaties in U.S. courts.

Michael D. Ramsey is Professor of Law at the University of San Diego School of Law. He is the author of *The Constitution's Text in Foreign Affairs* (2007) and of numerous articles examining the foreign affairs aspects of the U.S. Constitution in the Founding era.

William S. Dodge is Professor of Law at the University of California, Hastings College of the Law. He is coauthor (with Detlev Vagts and Harold Koh) of *Transnational Business Problems* (4th ed. 2008) and has written extensively on the history of international law in American courts and on statutory interpretation in the international context.



International Law in the U.S. Supreme Court

CONTINUITY AND CHANGE

David L. Sloss

Santa Clara University School of Law

Michael D. Ramsey

University of San Diego School of Law

William S. Dodge

University of California, Hastings College of the Law





> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521119566

© Cambridge University Press 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication data

 $International\ law\ in\ the\ U.S.\ Supreme\ Court: continuity\ and\ change\ /\ [edited\ by]\ David\ L.\ Sloss,\ Michael\ D.\ Ramsey,\ William\ S.\ Dodge.$

p. cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-521-11956-6

United States. Supreme Court.
 Law – United States – Foreign influences – Cases.
 International law and municipal law – United States – Cases.
 International law – United

States - Cases. I. Sloss, David (David L.), 1957- II. Ramsey, Michael D., 1964-

III. Dodge, William S., 1964-

KF358.I58 2011

341.0973–dc22 2010046526

ISBN 978-0-521-11956-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



We dedicate this book to the memory of Louis Henkin (1917–2010), who pioneered modern foreign affairs law scholarship.



Contents

List	of Contributors page 2	κi
Tal	le of Cases xi	İX
Ack	nowledgments xxx	īV
	Introduction	1
PAI	RT I FROM THE FOUNDING TO THE CIVIL WAR	
1	International Law in the Supreme Court to 1860	7
PAl	RT II FROM THE CIVIL WAR TO THE TURN OF THE CENTURY	
2	Treaties in the Supreme Court, 1861–1900	5
3	Customary International Law in the Supreme Court, 1861–1900 8 David J. Bederman	9
4	International Law as an Interpretive Tool in the Supreme Court, 1861–1900	4
5	A Social History of International Law: Historical Commentary, 1861–1900	4
PAl	RT III FROM THE TURN OF THE CENTURY TO WORLD WAR II	
6	Treaties in the Supreme Court, 1901–1945	1
7	Customary International Law in the Supreme Court, 1901–1945	5
8	International Law as an Interpretive Tool in the Supreme Court, 1901–1945 25 Roger P. Alford	7
	V	ii



V111	Contents
9	Varieties and Complexities of Doctrinal Change: Historical Commentary, 1901–1945
PAR	T IV FROM WORLD WAR II TO THE NEW MILLENNIUM
10	Treaties in the Supreme Court, 1946–2000
11	Customary International Law in the Supreme Court, 1946–2000 353 William S. Dodge
12	International Law as an Interpretive Tool in the Supreme Court, $1946-2000$ 380 Melissa A. Waters
13	Global Power in an Age of Rights: Historical Commentary, 1946–2000 416 Martin S. Flaherty
PAR	T V INTERNATIONAL LAW IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY
	Introductory Note
V.A	TREATIES AFTER 2000
	Main Essay – Medellin and Sanchez-Llamas: Treaties from John Jay to John Roberts
	Response Essay – The Benefits of Avoiding Conflicts between the Constitution and International Law
	Response Essay – Medellin and the Passive Vices
V.B	CUSTOMARY INTERNATIONAL LAW AFTER 2000
	Main Essay – Sosa and the Derivation of Customary International Law 481 <i>John O. McGinnis</i>
	Response Essay – "Cheap Talk" about Customary International Law 494 Chimène I. Keitner
	Response Essay – History, Ideology, and Erie v. Tompkins
V.C	INTERNATIONAL LAW AND CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION AFTER 2000
	Main Essay – International Law and Constitutional Interpretation in the Twenty-First Century: Change and Continuity



Con	ix ix
	Response Essay – Why Constitutional Comparativism Is Different: A Response to Professor Tushnet
	Response Essay – Judicial Dialogue in <i>Roper</i> : Signaling the Court's Emergence as a Transnational Legal Actor?
V.D	INTERNATIONAL LAW AND STATUTORY INTERPRETATION AFTER 2000
	Main Essay – <i>Empagran</i> 's Empire: International Law and Statutory Interpretation in the U.S. Supreme Court of the Twenty-First Century 533 <i>Ralf Michaels</i>
	Response Essay – Loose Canons: International Law and Statutory Interpretation in the Twenty-First Century
	Response Essay – Empagran: Empire Building or Judicial Modesty? 553 <i>Paul B. Stephan</i>
V.E	INTERNATIONAL LAW AND THE WAR ON TERROR
	Main Essay – The Supreme Court, the War on Terror, and the American Just War Constitutional Tradition
	Response Essay – Constitutional Resolve in a World Changed Utterly 575 <i>Martin S. Flaherty</i>
	Response Essay – Judicial Imperialism and the War on Terror Cases 582 Michael D. Ramsey
PAR	T VI CONCLUSION
	Continuity and Change over Two Centuries
Inde	x 607



List of Contributors

Roger P. Alford is Professor of Law at Pepperdine University School of Law. Prior to joining the faculty in 2000, Professor Alford served as a senior legal advisor with the Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland, the tribunal established to resolve claims to dormant Holocaust-era Swiss bank accounts. From 1995 to 1999, he practiced law with Hogan & Hartson (now HoganLovells) in Washington, DC. He clerked for Judge James L. Buckley on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit and Judge Richard C. Allison on the Iran–U.S. Claims Tribunal in The Hague, Netherlands. He has authored a number of scholarly articles and coedited Holocaust Restitution: Perspectives on the Litigation and Its Legacy (2006). The focus of his scholarship is foreign relations law, international commercial law, human rights law, arbitration, and international courts and tribunals. He is a permanent contributor to the international law blog Opinio Juris. He has been a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and has served on the Executive Council of the American Society of International Law, the Executive Committee of the Institute for Transnational Arbitration, and the Executive Committee of the American Branch of the International Law Association.

David J. Bederman is the K.H. Gyr Professor of Private International Law at Emory University. He holds an AB in international affairs from Princeton University, an MSc in marine affairs from the London School of Economics, a JD from the University of Virginia, a Diploma from the Hague Academy of International Law, and a PhD in law from the University of London. He clerked for Judge Charles E. Wiggins on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and served as a legal assistant at the Iran–U.S. Claims Tribunal in The Hague, Netherlands. Professor Bederman's academic and professional career has focused on international law and its practical impact on American government. He represents clients on important constitutional and international law issues, including a number of cases before the U.S. Supreme Court and significant international arbitrations. He has served as a litigation consultant to the U.S. Departments of Justice, State, and Treasury and numerous federal agencies. Professor Bederman's research interests also include legal theory and history, admiralty and maritime law, and federal practice and procedure. He is a member of the board of editors of the American Journal of International Law.

Lori F. Damrosch is the Hamilton Fish Professor of International Law and Diplomacy and Henry L. Moses Professor of Law and International Organization at Columbia

хi



xii List of Contributors

University. In 2009, she was a visiting professor at Harvard Law School. She received her BA from Yale, summa cum laude, in 1973 and her JD from Yale Law School in 1976. She clerked for Judge Jon Newman on the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut. Professor Damrosch served in the Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State, from 1977 to 1980 and with Sullivan & Cromwell from 1981, until she joined the Columbia University faculty in 1984. Her publications include The International Court of Justice at a Crossroads (1987; editor); Law and Force in the New International Order (1991; editor); Enforcing Restraint: Collective Intervention in Internal Conflicts (1993; editor); Beyond Confrontation: International Law for the Post–Cold War Era (1995; editor); Enforcing International Law through Non-Forcible Measures (1997); and International Law: Cases and Materials (5th ed. 2009). She is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Department of State Advisory Committee on International Law. She served as Vice President of the American Society of International Law from 1996 to 1998 and as a counselor from 2001 to 2005. She has been on the board of editors of the American Journal of International Law since 1990 and its Coeditor-in-Chief since 2003.

William S. Dodge is Professor of Law at the University of California, Hastings College of the Law. He is coauthor (with Detlev F. Vagts and Harold Hongju Koh) of the casebook *Transnational Business Problems* (4th ed. 2008) and has written extensively on, among other topics, the history of customary international law in American courts and statutory interpretation in the international context. In 2004, he wrote the Amicus Brief of Professors of Federal Jurisdiction and Legal History in *Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain*, the position of which the Supreme Court adopted. He is a member of the Department of State Advisory Committee on International Law. Professor Dodge received his BA in History, summa cum laude, from Yale in 1986 and his JD from Yale Law School in 1991. After law school, he clerked for Judge William A. Norris on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and for Justice Harry A. Blackmun on the U.S. Supreme Court. He practiced law with Arnold & Porter in Washington, DC, before joining the University of California, Hastings, faculty in 1995.

Martin S. Flaherty is Leitner Family Professor of Law and Co-Founding Director of the Leitner Center for International Law and Justice of Fordham Law School. He is also a visiting professor at the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, where he was a Fellow in its Program in Law and Public Affairs. Professor Flaherty recently founded the Rule of Law in Asia Program at the Leitner Center. Previously, Professor Flaherty clerked for Justice Byron R. White on the U.S. Supreme Court and Chief Judge John Gibbons on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. He holds a BA, summa cum laude, from Princeton; an MA and MPhil in history from Yale; and a JD from Columbia Law School, where he was Book Review and Articles Editor of the Columbia Law Review. Formerly chair of the New York City Bar Association's International Human Rights Committee, he has led and participated in human rights missions to Northern Ireland, Turkey, Hong Kong, Mexico, Malaysia, Kenya, and Romania. He is also a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. Professor Flaherty's publications focus on constitutional law and history, foreign affairs, and international human rights.

David Golove is the Hiller Family Foundation Professor of Law at New York University School of Law. He specializes in constitutional law and history, focusing on foreign affairs, and has written extensively in these fields. Among his best-known writings is a



List of Contributors xiii

book-length article "Treaty-Making and the Nation: The Historical Foundations of the Nationalist Conception of the Treaty Power," published in the *Michigan Law Review*. He also coauthored (with Bruce Ackerman) a *Harvard Law Review* article on the constitutionality of congressional—executive agreements. His most recent article, "A Civilized Nation: The Early American Constitution, the Law of Nations, and the Pursuit of International Recognition" (*NYU Law Review*, with Daniel Hulsebosch), offers an account of the American Constitution as, fundamentally, an international document designed to integrate the new nation into the wider community of European states governed by the law of nations. Professor Golove received his BA from the University of California at Berkeley in 1979 and his law degrees from Berkeley and Yale. He teaches in the fields of constitutional law and international law. Professor Golove is a Codirector of the NYU Center on Law and Security.

Duncan B. Hollis is Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Professor of Law at Temple University School of Law. His scholarship focuses on issues of authority in international and foreign affairs law. Professor Hollis coauthored and coedited National Treaty Law & Practice (2005), which analyzes how different nation-states use their domestic laws to regulate the negotiation, conclusion, and implementation of treaties. Beyond treaties, Professor Hollis researches the broader role that agreements play in U.S. and international affairs, whether via political commitments, foreign compacts, or new rules for cyberwarfare. His work was cited repeatedly by the dissent in the 2008 U.S. Supreme Court decision Medellin v. Texas, and he counseled the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on possible Senate responses to that decision. Professor Hollis received his AB, summa cum laude, from Bowdoin College. In 1996, he received a Master in International Law and Diplomacy degree from the Fletcher School at Tufts University and a JD, summa cum laude, from Boston College Law School. From 1998 to 2004, he served as an attorney adviser in the State Department's Office of the Legal Adviser. Since 2006, Professor Hollis has been a regular contributor to the international law blog Opinio Juris.

Chimène I. Keitner is Associate Professor of Law at the University of California, Hastings College of the Law. Her scholarship focuses on the relationships among law, communities, and borders. She is the author of *The Paradoxes of Nationalism: The French Revolution and Its Meaning for Contemporary Nation-Building* (2007) and of numerous journal articles on questions involving the intersection of international and domestic law, international law and international relations, and the history of political ideas. She earned an AB in history and literature from Harvard University, a DPhil in international relations from Oxford University as a Rhodes Scholar, and a JD from Yale Law School as a Paul & Daisy Soros Fellow. Prior to teaching, Professor Keitner clerked for the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Canada and spent several years as a litigator in San Francisco. In 2010, she authored the Amicus Brief of Professors of Public International Law and Comparative Law in the U.S. Supreme Court case *Samantar v. Yousuf*. Her recent work includes comparative and historical projects related to alien tort litigation, the extraterritorial application of public law, and foreign official immunity.

Julian Ku is Professor of Law at Hofstra University School of Law in New York. He teaches and writes in the areas of international and constitutional law. He has published more than 20 articles, book chapters, and essays in publications such as the *Yale Law Journal*, Supreme Court Review, and Virginia Journal of International Law. He is a



xiv List of Contributors

cofounder of the international law blog Opinio Juris. Prior to joining the Hofstra faculty in 2002, Professor Ku clerked for Judge Jerry Smith on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and served as an Olin Fellow and Lecturer in Law at the University of Virginia Law School. Professor Ku holds a BA from Yale University and a JD from Yale Law School. He has taught as a visiting professor at William and Mary Marshall-Wythe College of Law and recently served as the Fulbright Distinguished Lecturer in Law at East China University of Political Science and Law in Shanghai, China.

Thomas H. Lee is Leitner Family Professor of Law and Director of International Studies at Fordham University School of Law in New York, where he teaches and writes in the areas of constitutional law, federal courts, and international law. He is the author of many articles and of a forthcoming book on the international laws of war and the American Civil War. He received his JD from Harvard Law School, where he was Articles Chair of the *Harvard Law Review* and winner of the Laylin Prize in International Law. He also holds an AB, summa cum laude, and an AM in East Asian Studies from Harvard, where he is currently a PhD candidate in government, specializing in international relations theory. Prior to teaching, he served as a U.S. naval intelligence officer from 1991 to 1995, with four submarine deployments. He clerked for Judge Michael Boudin on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit and for Justice David Souter on the U.S. Supreme Court. He has taught as a visiting professor at Columbia Law School, the University of Virginia School of Law, Leiden University in the Netherlands, and Sungkyunkwan University in Seoul, Korea.

John O. McGinnis is the George C. Dix Professor of Law at Northwestern University. He is a graduate of Harvard and Harvard Law School, where he was an editor of the *Harvard Law Review*. He has an MA in philosophy and theology from Balliol College, Oxford. He has taught administrative law, constitutional law, international economic law, and antitrust. He is a past winner of the Paul Bator Award given by the Federalist Society to outstanding academics younger than 40. Professor McGinnis has published widely in professional journals and journals of opinion.

Ralf Michaels is Professor of Law at Duke University School of Law, where he has taught since 2002. Previously, he was Senior Research Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Law and Private International Law in Hamburg, Germany, and a Joseph Story Fellow at Harvard Law School. He holds degrees from the Universities of Passau and Cambridge. Since coming to Duke, he has held the Lloyd Cutler Fellowship at the American Academy in Berlin, a distinguished visitor fellowship at the Max Planck Institute in Hamburg, and a fellowship at the Program in Law and Public Affairs at Princeton University. He has been a visiting professor at the Universities of Paris II, Princeton, Pennsylvania, and Toronto. Professor Michaels teaches and researches in the areas of comparative law, conflict of laws, and law and globalization. He is coeditor and author of several books and articles, published in the United States and in Europe, in these areas. Currently, his main focus is on two projects: a theory of U.S. courts as world courts and (with Karen Knop (University of Toronto) and Annelise Riles (Cornell University)) the conflict of laws as a theory of global law.

Edward A. Purcell, Jr., is the Joseph Solomon Distinguished Professor at New York Law School, where he teaches civil procedure, federal courts, complex litigation, and civil rights law. His most recent books include *Originalism*, *Federalism*, *and the American*



List of Contributors xv

Constitutional Enterprise: A Historical Inquiry (2007) and Brandeis and the Progressive Constitution: Erie, the Judicial Power, and the Politics of the Federal Courts in Twentieth-Century America (2000), which won the Order of the Coif's Triennial Book Award and the Triennial Griswold Prize of the Supreme Court Historical Society. Professor Purcell holds a PhD in American intellectual history from the University of Wisconsin and a JD from Harvard Law School. He joined Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison in New York City in 1979, where he practiced law and worked on his second book, Litigation and Inequality: Federal Diversity Jurisdiction in Industrial America, 1870–1958 (1992). He has served on the board of directors of the American Society for Legal History and the Community Law Office of The Legal Aid Society and is currently on the editorial board of Continuity and Change and the academic advisory board of the Institute for Constitutional Studies at George Washington University Law School.

Michael D. Ramsey is Professor of Law at the University of San Diego School of Law, where he teaches and writes in the areas of constitutional law, foreign relations law, and international law. He is the author of *The Constitution's Text in Foreign Affairs* (2007) and of numerous articles on foreign relations law in publications such as the *Yale Law Journal*, *University of Chicago Law Review*, *Georgetown Law Journal*, and *American Journal of International Law*. He received his BA, magna cum laude, from Dartmouth College and his JD, summa cum laude, from Stanford Law School. Prior to teaching, he served as a law clerk for Judge J. Clifford Wallace on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and for Justice Antonin Scalia on the U.S. Supreme Court. He practiced law with the law firm of Latham & Watkins, where he specialized in international finance and investment. He has taught as a visiting professor in the Department of Political Science at the University of California, San Diego, and the Department of Comparative Law at the University of Paris II – Sorbonne.

David L. Sloss is Professor of Law at Santa Clara University School of Law, where he serves as the Director of the Center for Global Law and Policy. He is the editor of *The Role of Domestic Courts in Treaty Enforcement:* A Comparative Study (2009). He has published numerous articles on the history of U.S. foreign affairs law and the judicial enforcement of treaties in U.S. courts. Professor Sloss received his BA from Hampshire College, his MPP from Harvard, and his JD from Stanford Law School. Prior to joining the Santa Clara University faculty, he taught for nine years at Saint Louis University School of Law. Before teaching, he clerked for Judge Joseph T. Sneed on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and worked as an associate for Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati. He also spent nine years in the federal government, where he worked on East–West arms control negotiations and nuclear proliferation issues.

Paul B. Stephan is the John C. Jeffries Distinguished Professor of Law and the Elizabeth D. and Richard A. Merrill Professor of Law at the University of Virginia School of Law and the Justin D'Atri Visiting Professor of Law, Business and Society at Columbia Law School. From 2006 to 2007, he served as Counselor on International Law in the U.S. Department of State and from 1993 to 1998, he helped organize and advise the U.S. Treasury's program that provided technical assistance to tax authorities in former socialist countries. He has taught at the University of Virginia since 1979 and has held visiting appointments at law faculties in Russia, Austria, France, Germany, Switzerland,



xvi List of Contributors

Australia, and Israel. During the two-year period between his graduation and return as a professor, he clerked for Judge Levin Campbell on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit and for Justice Lewis F. Powell, Jr., on the U.S. Supreme Court.

Mark Tushnet is the William Nelson Cromwell Professor of Law at Harvard Law School. He graduated from Harvard and Yale Law School and served as a law clerk to Justice Thurgood Marshall on the U.S. Supreme Court. Professor Tushnet specializes in constitutional law and theory, including comparative constitutional law. His research includes studies examining (skeptically) the practice of judicial review in the United States and around the world. He also writes on legal and particularly constitutional history, with works on the development of civil rights law in the United States and (currently) a long-term project on the history of the Supreme Court in the 1930s. Professor Tushnet has authored several books on U.S. constitutional law and comparative constitutional law.

Michael P. Van Alstine is Professor of Law at the University of Maryland School of Law. He has published widely in both English and German in the areas of foreign affairs law, treaty law, and international and domestic commercial transactions. His particular area of scholarly interest is the domestic application of international law, especially through the vehicle of treaties. Before joining the University of Maryland faculty in 2002, Professor Van Alstine spent eight years on the faculty of the University of Cincinnati College of Law. Professor Van Alstine has earned law degrees in the United States and Germany. He received both his Doctor of Laws and Master of Comparative Law degrees, summa cum laude, from the University of Bonn, Germany. He obtained his JD with high honors from George Washington University. Before becoming a law professor, he also practiced domestic and international commercial and business law at firms in the United States and Germany.

Melissa A. Waters is Professor of Law at the Washington University School of Law. Her research interests include foreign relations law, international law, international human rights law, comparative law, and conflicts of law. Her scholarly work focuses on the incorporation of international law into domestic legal regimes, in particular on the role of transnational judicial dialogue in developing international legal norms and in transforming U.S. and other domestic courts into key mediators between domestic and international law. In 2006, her work was one of three U.S. entries selected by the American Society of International Law (through a peer-review process) for presentation at the inaugural Four Societies Symposium – a joint symposium of the American, Australia/New Zealand, Canadian, and Japanese Societies of International Law. Prior to teaching law, Professor Waters served in the U.S. State Department as Senior Advisor to Harold Hongju Koh, Assistant Secretary of State for Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor. She was a litigator at Williams & Connolly in Washington, DC, where she was a member of President Clinton's legal defense team. She is a graduate of Yale and Yale Law School.

John Fabian Witt is the Allen H. Duffy Class of 1960 Professor of Law at Yale Law School. He is the author of widely acclaimed works on the history of American law, including *Patriots and Cosmopolitans: Hidden Histories of American Law* (2007), which explores law and nationhood at key moments in American history from the Founding to the Cold War, and of the prizewinning book *The Accidental Republic: Crippled Workingmen*, *Destitute Widows, and the Remaking of American Law* (2004), as well



List of Contributors xvii

as articles in the *Columbia Law Review*, *Harvard Law Review*, *Yale Law Journal*, and other scholarly journals. His writing has appeared in the *New York Times*, *Slate*, and the *Washington Post*. He is currently writing a book on the law of war in American history, from the Revolution to the turn of the twentieth century. Professor Witt is a graduate of Yale and Yale Law School and holds a PhD in history from Yale. Before returning to Yale, he was the George Welwood Murray Professor of Legal History at Columbia University. He served as law clerk to Judge Pierre N. Leval on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.



Table of Cases

A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States, 295 U.S. 495 (1935) - 197, 279 Abbott v. Abbott, 130 S. Ct. 1983 (2010) - 444 Abdullahi v. Pfizer, Inc., 562 F.3d 163 (2009) -492 Abebe-Jira v. Negewo, 72 F.3d 844 (11th Cir. 1996) - 371Abington School District v. Schempp, 374 U.S. 203(1963) - 339Adamson v. California, 332 U.S. 46 (1947) – 275, Adra v. Clift, 195 F. Supp. 857 (D. Md. 1961) -367 Adula, The, 176 U.S. 361 (1900) – 105–07, 109-10 Afroyim v. Rusk, 387 U.S. 253 (1967) – 302, 344, 412, 435, 437, 602 Aguilar v. Standard Oil Co., 318 U.S. 724 (1943) - 203Ah How v. United States, 193 U.S. 65 (1904) – 206 - 07Ainsa v. United States, 161 U.S. 208 (1896) – 61, 68-72,84Air France v. Saks, 470 U.S. 392 (1985) – 337, 347 Alaska Packers Association v. Industrial Accident Commission, 294 U.S. 532 (1935) – 236 Alberty v. United States, 162 U.S. 499 (1896) – 81 Alden v. Maine, 527 U.S. 706 (1999) – 473 Alfred Dunhill of London, Inc. v. Republic of Cuba, 425 U.S. 682 (1976) – 364, 401 Allgeyer v. Louisiana, 165 U.S. 578 (1897) -235-36, 305, 597 Alling v. United States, 114 U.S. 562 (1885) - 71 Alverez v. United States, 216 U.S. 167 (1910) – 203, 207 American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 160 F. 184 (S.D.N.Y. 1908) – 262

American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 166 F. 261 (2d Cir. 1908) – 262 American Banana Co. v. United Fruit Co., 213 U.S. 347 (1909) - 260-69, 295-96, 388, 391, 541-42, 547, 599 Ambach v. Norwick, 441 U.S. 68 (1979) – 340 American Insurance Co. v. 356 Bales of Cotton (American Insurance Co. v. Canter), 26 U.S. 511 (1828) - 31-32, 98, 115, 199, 287 American Insurance Association v. Garamendi, 539 U.S. 396 (2003) – 220, 444, 462–63 Amiable Isabella, The, 19 U.S. 1 (1821) – 16–17, 47, 59, 81, 211 Amistad, The, 40 U.S. 518 (1841) – 25, 49 Anderson v. Abbott, 321 U.S. 349 (1944) -Andrus v. Allard, 444 U.S. 51 (1979) – 351 Angarica v. Bayard, 127 U.S. 251 (1888) - 64, 71 Antelope, The, 23 U.S. 66 (1825) – 25, 35–37, 50, 92, 99–100, 104, 170–71, 488, 539, 543-44, 595-96 Apollon, The, 22 U.S. 362 (1824) – 38, 539 Argentine Republic v. Amerada Hess Shipping Corp., 488 U.S. 428 (1989) – 330, 388, 423, Arizona v. California, 292 U.S. 341 (1934) – 210 Arkansas Railroad Commission v. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad Co., 274 U.S. 597 (1927) - 293Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000 (Dem. Rep. Congo v. Belg.), 2002 I.C.J. 3 (Feb. 14) – 372 Asahi Metal Industry Co. v. Superior Court, 480 U.S. 102 (1987) - 408 Asakura v. City of Seattle, 265 U.S. 332 (1924) -198, 202–04, 212, 214, 311–12, 589 Astiazaran v. Santa Rita Land & Mining Co., 148 U.S. 80(1893) - 61,72Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304 (2002) – 445, 525

xx Table of Cases

- Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mex. v. U.S.), 2004 I.C.J. 12 (Mar. 31) 443–44, 453–54, 457–60, 469–70, 476–77
- B. Altman & Co. v. United States, 224 U.S. 583 (1912) 219–21, 592
- Bacardi Corp. of America v. Domenech, 311 U.S. 150 (1940) 203–05, 212–14
- Baker v. Carr, 369 U.S. 186 (1962) 333
- Baldwin v. Franks, 120 U.S. 678 (1887) 56, 77–78, 83
- Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co. v. Baugh, 149 U.S. 368 (1893) – 228, 503
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Farr, 243 F. Supp. 957 (S.D.N.Y. 1965) 364
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. First National City Bank of New York, 431 F.2d 394 (2d Cir. 1970) – 364
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 193 F. Supp. 375 (S.D.N.Y. 1961) 360
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 307 F.2d 845 (2d Cir. 1962) 360
- Banco Nacional de Cuba v. Sabbatino, 376 U.S. 398 (1964) 251, 292, 322, 353–54, 359–64, 366, 368, 371, 375, 378–79, 429–33, 437, 503, 538, 598
- Bank of Augusta v. Earle, 38 U.S. 519 (1839) 29, 100, 103
- Bank of the United States v. Tyler, 29 U.S. 366 (1830) 30
- Banks v. Greenleaf, 2 F. Cas. 756 (C.C. Va. 1799) 29
- Barcelona Traction, Light & Power Co. (Belg. v. Spain), 1970 I.C.J. 3 (Feb. 5) 365
- Barclays Bank PLC v. Franchise Tax Board, 512 U.S. 298 (1994) – 197–98, 341
- Barker v. Harvey, 181 U.S. 481 (1901) 207, 215, 222
- Barrera-Echavarria v. Rison, 44 F.3d 1441 (9th Cir. 1995) 377
- Bartram v. Robertson, 122 U.S. 116 (1887) 70, 76, 83
- Bas v. Tingy, 4 U.S. 37 (1800) 584
- Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356 (2001) 306
- Beers v. Arkansas, 61 U.S. 527 (1858) 159
- Belgenland, The, 114 U.S. 355 (1885) 92, 100, 109–10, 126
- Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007) 555
- Benito Estenger, The, 176 U.S. 568 (1900) 603 Benjamins v. British European Airways, 572 F.2d 913 (2d Cir. 1978) – 367
- Benson v. McMahon, 127 U.S. 457 (1888) 58, 71, 81

- Benz v. Compania Naviera Hidalgo, S.A., 353 U.S. 138 (1957) – 384–86, 388
- Berg v. British & African Steam Navigation Co., 243 U.S. 124 (1917) 205, 235, 246
- Bergere v. United States, 168 U.S. 66 (1897) 72
- Berizzi Bros. Co. v. The Pesaro, 271 U.S. 562 (1926) – 238
- Bernstein v. N.V. Nederlandsche-Amerikaansche Stoomvaart-Maatschappij, 210 F.2d 375 (2d Cir. 1954) – 364, 432
- Best v. Polk, 85 U.S. 112 (1873) 61, 67, 81
- Bingham v. Bradley, 241 U.S. 511 (1916) 205 Bissell v. Penrose, 49 U.S. 317 (1850) – 15, 34
- Black & White Taxicab Co. v. Brown & Yellow Taxicab Co., 276 U.S. 518 (1928) – 233, 243
- Black Diamond Steamship Corp. v. Robert Stewart & Sons, Ltd., 336 U.S. 386 (1949) –
- 350 Blackmer v. United States, 284 U.S. 421 (1932) – 255, 260, 268–69, 387, 407, 600
- Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores, 421 U.S. 723 (1975) – 351, 555
- Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371 (1971) –
- Bolchos v. Darrell, 3 F. Cas. 810 (D.S.C. 1795) 367
- Boom Co. v. Patterson, 98 U.S. 403 (1879) 154,
- Boos v. Barry, 485 U.S. 312 (1988) 346
- Botiller v. Dominguez, 130 U.S. 238 (1889) 58, 61, 73
- Boumediene v. Bush, 553 U.S. 723 (2008) 447, 513, 561–63, 568, 568–71, 578–580, 583–84, 587, 593, 602–03
- Bowers v. Hardwick, 478 U.S. 186 (1986) 509
- Braniff Airways, Inc. v. Nebraska State Board, 347 U.S. 590 (1954) 341
- Bray v. F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., [2002] 118 F.C.R. 1 (Austl.) – 533
- Breard v. Greene, 523 U.S. 371 (1998) 70, 327–28, 338, 394, 399–401, 421–23, 429, 441, 443, 453–55, 457–58, 469, 594
- Bremen, The v. Zapata Off-Shore Co., 407 U.S. 1 (1972) 554
- Brig Amy Warwick, The (The Prize Cases), 67 U.S. 635 (1863) – 90, 92–97, 99, 105, 109–10, 121–22, 133, 142–47, 171–76, 180–83, 276, 562, 564–66, 585–86, 596, 603
- Browder v. United States, 312 U.S. 335 (1941) 268
- Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) 339, 366, 418, 431
- Brown v. Duchesne, 60 U.S. 183 (1857) 39 Brown v. Piper, 91 U.S. 37 (1875) – 113

© in this web service Cambridge University Press

Table of Cases xxi

- Brown v. United States, 12 U.S. 110 (1814) -34–35, 40, 43, 46, 50, 121–22, 175–76, 180, 574, 583, 585–86, 596 Brown v. Walker, 161 U.S. 591 (1896) - 76 Bryan v. Kennet, 113 U.S. 179 (1885) – 76 Bryant v. United States, 167 U.S. 104 (1897) - 71 Bucher v. Cheshire Railroad Co., 125 U.S. 555 (1888) - 502Buena Ventura, The, 175 U.S. 384 (1899) -132-33, 586 Bunting v. Oregon, 243 U.S. 426 (1917) – 273 Burger v. Kemp, 483 U.S. 776 (1987) – 346 Burnet v. Brooks, 288 U.S. 378 (1932) – 302 Burns Mortgage Co. v. Fried, 292 U.S. 487 (1934) - 233 - 34, 251Burthe v. Denis, 133 U.S. 514 (1890) - 67, 71, 83 Bush v. Gore, 531 U.S. 98 (2000) – 177, 588 Bustillo v. Johnson, 548 U.S. 331 (2006) -443-44, 454-57, 475-76 Butler v. Boston & Savannah Steamship Co., 130 U.S. 527 (1889) – 116, 305 Buttfield v. Stranahan, 192 U.S. 470 (1904) - 436 Cabell v. Chavez-Salido, 454 U.S. 432 (1982) -California Oregon Power Co. v. Beaver Portland Cement Co., 295 U.S. 142 (1935) - 294 Cameron Septic Tank Co. v. City of Knoxville, 227 U.S. 39 (1913) - 204, 210, 591 Carneal v. Banks, 23 U.S. 181 (1825) - 15 Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc. v. Shute, 499 U.S. 585 (1991) - 554 Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238 (1936) -
- Carter v. Carter Coal Co., 298 U.S. 238 (1936) 294, 302 Cessna v. United States, 169 U.S. 165 (1898) – 72 Chae Chan Ping v. United States (The Chinese Exclusion Case), 130 U.S. 581 (1889) – 56, 60–64, 68–70, 73–76, 116, 134–35, 141, 154–55, 184–85 Champion v. Ames, 188 U.S. 321 (1903) – 436
- Chan v. Korean Air Lines, Ltd., 490 U.S. 122 (1989) 336–37, 347 Charlton v. Kelly, 229 U.S. 447 (1913) – 196,
- 206–07, 211, 217, 425 Chelentis v. Luderback Steam Ship Co., 247 U.S. 372 (1918) – 233, 239
- Cherokee Nation v. Georgia, 30 U.S. 1 (1831) 65, 196
- Cherokee Nation v. Southern Kansas Railway Co., 135 U.S. 641 (1890) – 70
- Cherokee Tobacco, The, 78 U.S. 616 (1871) 19, 58, 60, 62, 73–76, 134–36, 138, 141, 206, 590, 601
- Cherokee Trust Funds, The, 117 U.S. 288 (1886) 70

- Cheung Sum Shee v. Nagle, 268 U.S. 336 (1925) 206–08, 590
- Chevron U.S.A. Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 467 U.S. 837 (1984) – 332, 394–97, 439–40
- Chew Heong v. United States, 112 U.S. 536 (1884) 56, 58, 61, 64, 67, 69, 73–76, 80–82, 134, 155, 208, 311, 399, 458, 590, 599
- Chin Fong v. Backus, 241 U.S. 1 (1916) 206 China, The, 74 U.S. 53 (1869) – 94
- Chinese Exclusion Case, The, 130 U.S. 581 (1889) – see Chae Chan Ping v. United States Chirac v. Chirac's Lessee, 15 U.S. 259 (1817) –
- Chirac v. Chirac's Lessee, 15 U.S. 259 (1817) 14, 591
- Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 419 (1793) 7, 41 Choctaw Nation v. United States, 119 U.S. 1 (1886) – 60, 72, 82
- Choctaw Nations v. United States, 318 U.S. 423 (1943) 210, 213
- Chouteau v. Eckhart, 43 U.S. 344 (1844) 20, 215
- Chubb & Son, Inc. v. Asiana Airlines, 214 F.3d 301 (2000) 347
- Chuidian v. Philippine National Bank, 912 F.2d 1095 (9th Cir. 1990) – 406
- Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States, 143 U.S. 457 (1892) 311–12
- Church v. Hubbart, 6 U.S. 187 (1804) 97 Chy Lung v. Freeman, 92 U.S. 275 (1876) – 60,
- City of Boerne v. Flores, 521 U.S. 507 (1997) 206
- City of New York v. Miln, 36 U.S. 102 (1837) 42, 48
- City of Washington, The, 92 U.S. 31 (1876) 97 Civil Rights Cases, The, 109 U.S. 3 (1883) – 58
- Clark v. Allen, 331 U.S. 503 (1947) 337, 340–41, 421, 426–27, 594
- Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States, 318 U.S. 363 (1943) 503
- Clinton Bridge, The, 5 F. Cas. 1060 (C.C.D. Iowa 1867) 73
- Cockrill v. California, 268 U.S. 258 (1925) 214–15
- Coler v. Ceorgia, 433 U.S. 584 (1977) 417
- Coker v. Georgia, 433 U.S. 584 (1977) 412–13, 437, 517, 604
- Comegys v. Vasse, 26 U.S. 193 (1828) 17 Committee of United States Citizens Living in Nicaragua v. Reagan, 859 F.2d 929 (D.C. Cir. 1988) – 454
- Connecticut v. Massachusetts, 282 U.S. 660 (1931) 231, 294
- Container Corp. of America v. Franchise Tax Board, 463 U.S. 159 (1983) – 341

xxii Table of Cases

Continental T.V., Inc. v. GTE Sylvania Inc., 433 U.S. 36 (1977) - 557 Cook v. Tait, 265 U.S. 47 (1924) – 268 Cook v. United States, 288 U.S. 102 (1933) -203, 205–08, 210, 259, 399, 590 Cooper v. Aaron, 358 U.S. 1 (1958) - 431 Corbett v. Stergios, 381 U.S. 124 (1965) - 336 Cortez Byrd Chips v. Bill Harbert Construction Co., 529 U.S. 193 (2000) – 350 Cosgrove v. Winney, 174 U.S. 64 (1899) - 68 Cotton Petroleum Corp. v. New Mexico, 490 U.S. 163 (1989) - 306 Cotzhausen v. Nazro, 81 U.S. 152 (1871) - 79 Crapo v. Kelly, 83 U.S. 610 (1873) – 125 Crosby v. National Foreign Trade Council, 530 U.S. 363 (2000) – 342, 463 Cross v. Harrison, 57 U.S. 164 (1854) - 38, 276 Cunard Steamship Co. v. Mellon, 262 U.S. 100 (1923) - 133, 259Curtis's Administratrix v. Fiedler, 67 U.S. 461

D'Arcy v. Ketchum, 52 U.S. 165 (1851) – 25 Da Costa v. Laird, 405 U.S. 979 (1972) – 326 Dainese v. Hale, 91 U.S. 13 (1875) – 128–29 Dames & Moore v. Regan, 453 U.S. 654 (1981) – 334, 424, 462

(1863) - 19

Davis v. Department of Labor & Industries, 317 U.S. 249 (1942) – 248, 597

Davis v. Police Jury, 50 U.S. 280 (1850) – 15 De la Croix v. Chamberlain, 25 U.S. 599 (1827) – 16, 25, 215

De Lima v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 1 (1901) – 195, 198–99, 202, 218, 276, 310

Dent v. Emmeger, 81 U.S. 308 (1872) – 58, 70, 72, 79, 80

Department of Employment v. United States, 385 U.S. 355 – 342

DeWolf v. Rabaud, 26 U.S. 476 (1828) – 30 Disconto Gesellschaft v. Umbreit, 208 U.S. 570 (1908) – 212, 214, 238

District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008) – 513–14

Divina Pastora, The, 17 U.S. 52 (1819) – 16 Doe I v. Unocal Corp., 395 F.3d 932 (9th Cir. 2002) – 373, 492

Doe I v. Unocal Corp., 395 F.3d 978 (9th Cir. 2003) – 373

Doe I v. Unocal Corp., 403 F.3d 708 (9th Cir. 2005) – 373

Doe I v. Unocal Corp., 963 F. Supp. 880 (C.D. Cal. 1997) – 372–373, 379, 434

Doe I v. Unocal Corp., 110 F. Supp. 2d 1294 (C.D. Cal. 2000) – 373

Doe v. Braden, 57 U.S. 635 (1854) - 18, 195

Dole Food Co. v. Patrickson, 538 U.S. 468 (2003) – 446–47, 552

Dooley v. United States, 182 U.S. 222 (1901) – 195, 276, 596

Dorr v. United States, 195 U.S. 138 (1904) – 199, 271, 296

Downes v. Bidwell, 182 U.S. 244 (1901) – 199, 271–72, 296–97, 304–05, 312, 602

Draper v. United States, 164 U.S. 240 (1896) – 73, 87

Dred Scott v. Sandford, 60 U.S. 393 (1857) – 43–44, 49, 172, 311, 601

Dreyfus v. von Finck, 534 F.2d 24 (2d Cir. 1976) – 367

Duncan v. Louisiana, 391 U.S. 145 (1968) – 275, 431

Duus v. Brown, 245 U.S. 176 (1917) - 205

East River Steamship Corp. v. Transamerica Delaval, Inc., 476 U.S. 858 (1986) – 378 Eastern Airlines v. Floyd, 499 U.S. 530 (1991) – 337, 347

Edelman v. Jordan, 415 U.S. 651 (1974) – 466, 473

Edye v. Robertson (The Head Money Cases), 112 U.S. 580 (1884) – 60–63, 66–67, 73–74, 76, 134, 140, 204, 206, 222, 591, 593

EEOC v. Arabian American Oil Co., 499 U.S. 244 (1991) – 331, 388, 390–91, 393, 439–40, 540, 550, 600

El Al Israel Airlines v. Tsui Yuan Tseng, 325 U.S. 125 (1999) – 336–37, 347, 425, 593

Elfrida, The, 172 U.S. 186 (1898) – 96, 115 Ely's Administrator v. United States, 171 U.S. 220 (1898) – 57, 60, 68, 72, 74, 81, 90

Emory v. Grenough, 3 U.S. 369 (1797) – 29 Empagran S.A. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd.,

2001 WL 761360 (D.D.C.) – 537 Enmund v. Florida, 458 U.S. 782 (1982) – 413, 437, 517

Ennis v. Smith, 55 U.S. 400 (1853) – 29, 235, 246

Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins, 304 U.S. 64 (1938) – 225–56, 289–95, 353–54, 357, 359, 362–63, 366, 374–75, 378, 430, 432–33, 482, 487, 489, 494, 499–504, 597–599

Ex parte Atocha, 84 U.S. 439 (1873) – 58, 72 Ex parte Kan-gi-shu-ca, 109 U.S. 556 (1883) – 75 Ex parte Medellin, 223 S.W.3d 315 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006) – 454, 468–70, 475–77

Ex parte Milligan, 71 U.S. 2 (1866) – 146–47, 162, 583, 603

Ex parte New York, 256 U.S. 490 (1921) – 304 Ex parte Quirin, 317 U.S. 1 (1942) – 255, 277, 354–55, 429, 431, 562, 569, 586, 603

Table of Cases xxiii

- Ex parte Republic of Peru, 318 U.S. 578 (1943) 238, 243, 597, 602
- Ex parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (1908) 466 Examining Board of Engineers, Architects & Surveyors v. Flores de Otero, 426 U.S. 572 (1976) – 340
- F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. v. Empagran, S.A. 542 U.S., 155 (2004) 1, 3, 458, 533–48, 550, 552–58, 588
- Factor v. Laubenheimer, 290 U.S. 276 (1933) 196–97, 205, 210–14, 217, 298, 425
- Fairfax's Devisee v. Hunter's Lessee, 11 U.S. 603 (1813) 14, 589, 591
- Farrell v. United States, 336 U.S. 511 (1949) 326
- Federal Republic of Germany v. United States, 526 U.S. 111 (1999) 328, 338, 453, 457
- Fernandez-Roque v. Smith, 622 F. Supp. 887 (N.D. Ga. 1985) 377
- Field v. Clark, 143 U.S. 649 (1892) 57, 219, 592 Filartiga v. Pena-Irala, 577 F. Supp. 860 (E.D.N.Y. 1984) – 369
- Filartiga v. Pena-Irala, 630 F.2d 876 (2d Cir. 1980) 366–78, 429–30, 489, 496–98, 598
- First National City Bank v. Banco Para El Comercio Exterior de Cuba, 462 U.S. 611 (1983) – 365–66
- First National City Bank v. Banco Nacional de Cuba, 406 U.S. 759 (1972) – 364, 432
- Fitzsimmons v. Newport Insurance Co., 8 U.S. 185 (1808) 15, 28, 105
- Flatow v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 999 F. Supp. 1 (D.D.C. 1998) 372
- Fleming v. Page, 50 U.S. 603 (1850) 38, 43, 47, 271, 596
- Florida, The, 101 U.S. 37 (1880) 105–06 Fok Young Yo v. United States, 185 U.S. 296 (1902) – 197, 204, 206
- Foley Bros., Inc. v. Filardo, 336 U.S. 281 (1949) 330, 387–89, 423, 438–40, 540, 547, 550, 600
- Foley v. Connelie, 435 U.S. 291 (1978) 340
- Fong v. United States, 209 U.S. 453 (1908) 206 Fong Yue Ting v. United States, 149 U.S. 698 (1893) – 60, 62, 64, 73, 75–76, 154–55, 197, 300–01, 408–09, 436
- Ford v. United States, 273 U.S. 593 (1927) 205, 260, 266, 387
- Forti v. Suarez-Mason, 672 F. Supp. 1531 (N.D. Cal. 1987) 371
- Foster v. Neilson, 27 U.S. 253 (1829) 18, 20–23, 55, 73, 76–77, 79, 83–84, 202–03, 303, 444, 460, 590, 594
- Fourteen Diamond Rings v. United States, 183 U.S. 176 (1901) – 217

- FPC v. Tuscarora Indian Nation, 362 U.S. 99 (1960) 351
- Frelinghuysen v. Key, 110 U.S. 63 (1884) 61–64, 71, 79, 84, 120, 461–62
- Frick v. Webb, 263 U.S. 326 (1923) 214–15 Frost v. Wenie, 157 U.S. 46 (1895) – 81,
- Frost v. Wenie, 157 U.S. 46 (1895) 81, 134–37 FTC v. A.P.W. Paper Co., 328 U.S. 193 (1946) –
- 323–24, 337, 348, 423
- Garcia-Mir v. Meese, 788 F.2d 1446 (11th Cir. 1986) 377, 566
- Geofroy v. Riggs, 133 U.S. 258 (1890) 56, 60, 64, 66–67, 80, 82, 84, 86, 198, 200–01, 209, 211, 286–87, 310, 589, 591
- Georgia v. Brailsford, 2 U.S. 402 (1792) 45 Gibbons v. Ogden, 22 U.S. 1 (1824) – 42
- Gisbert v. Attorney General, 988 F.2d 1437 (5th Cir. 1993) 377
- Glass v. The Sloop Betsey, 3 U.S. 6 (1794) 19, 24, 37, 45, 235, 584, 594
- Goldwater v. Carter, 444 U.S. 996 (1979) 334
- Gonzales v. Carhart, 550 U.S. 124 (2007) 519

 Craham v. Florida, 130 S. Ct. 2011 (2010)
- Graham v. Florida, 130 S. Ct. 2011 (2010) 1, 445, 508, 520, 604
- Graham v. Richardson, 403 U.S. 365 (1971) 340
- Great Western Insurance Co. v. United States, 112 U.S. 193 (1884) 71, 461
- Greenspan v. Crosbie, 1976 WL 841 (S.D.N.Y.) - 406
- Grin v. Shine, 187 U.S. 181 (1902) 206
- Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965) 275, 431
- Grogan v. Hiram Walker & Sons, 259 U.S. 80 (1922) – 198
- Grutter v. Bollinger, 539 U.S. 306 (2003) 445, 509
- Guaranty Trust Co. v. United States, 304 U.S. 126 (1938) 196, 216, 238, 249
- Guessefeldt v. McGrath, 342 U.S. 308 (1952) 331
- Guitard v. Stoddard, 57 U.S. 494 (1854) 15
- Haitian Centers Council, Inc. v. McNary, 969 F.2d 1350 (2d Cir. 1992) – 390
- Hamdan v. Rumsfeld, 548 U.S. 557 (2006) 67, 180, 323, 429, 447, 451, 561, 563, 567–68, 572–74, 576, 578, 583–84, 587, 593, 600
- Hamdi v. Rumsfeld, 542 U.S. 507 (2004) 447, 561–63, 567–68, 572, 578–80, 583, 587, 600–01, 603
- Hamilton v. Kentucky Distilleries & Warehouse Co., 251 U.S. 146 (1919) – 195
- Hamilton, The, 207 U.S. 398 (1907) 232, 255

xxiv Table of Cases

Hammer v. Dagenhart, 247 U.S. 251 (1918) -Handel v. Artukovic, 601 F. Supp. 1421 (C.D. Cal. 1985) – 371 Hanna v. Plumer, 380 U.S. 460 (1965) – 294 Hans v. Louisiana, 134 U.S. 1 (1890) – 156, 160-62, 304 Harden v. Fisher, 14 U.S. 300 (1816) – 16 Harisiades v. Shaughnessy, 342 U.S. 580 (1952) -343, 408–09, 436, 602 Harriman v. ICC, 211 U.S. 407 (1908) - 311 Harrisburg, The, 119 U.S. 199 (1886) – 98 Harrison v. St. Louis & San Francisco Railroad Co., 232 U.S. 318 (1914) – 305 Hart v. United States, 391 U.S. 956 (1968) - 326 Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. California, 509 U.S. 764 (1993) – 381, 391–93, 439, 537, 547-50,600 Hassard v. United States of Mexico, 61 N.Y.S. 939 (N.Y. App. Div. 1899) - 157 Hauenstein v. Lynham, 100 U.S. 483 (1880) -66–67, 76, 81–86, 213, 590 Haver v. Yaker, 76 U.S. 32 (1870) – 64, 70, 80, 87, 195 Havs v. United States, 175 U.S. 248 (1899) - 72 Head Money Cases, The, 112 U.S. 580 (1884) see Edye v. Robertson Heim v. McCall, 239 U.S. 175 (1915) – 215 Hellenic Lines Ltd. v. Rhoditis, 398 U.S. 306 (1970) - 383 - 84,438Henfield's Case, 11 F. Cas. 1099 (C.C.D. Pa. 1793) - 26Hepburn v. Griswold, 75 U.S. 603 (1870) – 153 Herring v. United States, 129 S. Ct. 695 (2009) – 515 Hess v. Pawloski, 274 U.S. 352 (1927) – 236 Hilao v. Marcos, 25 F.3d 1467 (9th Cir. 1994) see In re Estate of Marcos Human Rights Litigation Hilton v. Guyot, 159 U.S. 113 (1895) – 95, 98–107, 112–13, 116, 123, 234, 238, 246, 311 Hinderlider v. La Plata River & Cherry Creek Ditch Co., 304 U.S. 92 (1938) - 247-52, 256, 294, 357, 359, 503, 597 Hines v. Davidowitz, 312 U.S. 52 (1941) – 203, 213, 216 Hirabayashi v. United States, 320 U.S. 81 (1943) - 277 - 78Hirota v. MacArthur, 338 U.S. 197 (1948) - 447,

72, 75 Holmes v. Jennison, 39 U.S. 540 (1840) – 42 Holmes v. United States, 391 U.S. 936 (1968) -326 Home Insurance Co. v. Dick, 281 U.S. 397 (1930) - 236Hong v. United States, 193 U.S. 517 (1904) – 206 Hopkirk v. Bell, 7 U.S. 454 (1806) – 458 Hopkirk v. Bell, 8 U.S. 164 (1807) - 458 Horner v. United States, 143 U.S. 570 (1892) -61, 73, 134, 140–41 Hornsby v. United States, 77 U.S. 224 (1870) – 68, 72 Hovey v. Elliott, 167 U.S. 409 (1897) – 478 Hoyt v. Sprague, 103 U.S. 613 (1881) – 100 Hudson v. Guestier, 10 U.S. 281 (1810) – 25 Humphrey's Executor v. United States, 295 U.S. $60\bar{2}$ (1935) -293Huntington v. Attrill, 146 U.S. 657 (1892) – 101, 104, 117-19, 303 IIT v. Vencap, Ltd., 519 F.2d 1001 (2d Cir. 1975) - 367Illinois v. City of Milwaukee, 406 U.S. 91 (1972) - 104, 369, 375In re Baiz, 135 U.S. 403 (1890) - 130 In re Cooper, 143 U.S. 472 (1892) – 126–28, 141, 163 In re Debs, 158 U.S. 564 (1895) – 304 In re Doe, 860 F.2d 40 (2d Cir. 1988) – 372 In re Estate of Marcos Human Rights Litigation (Hilao v. Marcos), 25 F.3d 1467 (9th Cir. 1994) - 371,497In re Griffiths, 413 U.S. 717 (1973) – 340 In re Luis Oteiza y Cortes, 136 U.S. 330 (1890) – 71 In re Microsoft Corp. Antitrust Litigation, 127 F. Supp. 2d 702 (D. Md. 2001) – 537 In re Neagle, 135 U.S. 1 (1890) – 304 In re Ross, 140 U.S. 453 (1891) – see Ross v. McIntyre In re South African Apartheid Litigation, 617 F. Supp. 2d 228 (E.D.N.Y. 2009) – 492 In re Tiburcio Parrott, 1 F. 481 (C.C.D. Cal. 1880) - 69In re Yamashita, 327 U.S. 1 (1946) – 322–24, 328–30, 338, 354–55, 372, 422, 429, 431, 569, 574, 586 Incres Steamship Co. v. International Maritime Workers Union, 372 U.S. 24 (1963) – 384 INS v. Abudu, 485 U.S. 94 (1988) - 396 INS v. Aguirre-Aguirre, 526 U.S. 415 (1999) -329, 338, 396–97, 440

Holden v. Joy, 84 U.S. 211 (1872) – 59, 61, 67,

(1810) - 368

Hodgson & Thompson v. Bowerbank, 9 U.S. 303

Holden v. Hardy, 169 U.S. 366 (1898) – 273

Table of Cases xxv

INS v. Cardoza-Fonseca, 480 U.S. 421 (1987) -329, 338, 390, 394–97, 439–40 INS v. Doherty, 502 U.S. 314 (1992) – 329 INS v. Stanisic, 395 U.S. 62 (1969) – 329 INS v. Stevic, 467 U.S. 407 (1984) - 329, 338 Insular Cases, The – see De Lima v. Bidwell; Downes v. Bidwell; Dorr v. United States; Rassmussen v. United States Intel Corp. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 542 U.S. 241 (2004) - 446, 549, 551 International Longshoremen's Local v. Ariadne Shipping Co., 397 U.S. 195 (1970) – 384, 386 International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) – 236, 406–08, 503 Iowa v. Illinois, 147 U.S. 1 (1893) - 104-06, 109, 119, 230, 358 Itel Containers International Corp. v. Huddleston, 507 U.S. 60 (1993) - 341 J.W. Hampton, Jr., & Co. v. United States, 276 U.S. 394 (1928) - 467 Jama v. Esmor Correctional Services, Inc., 2008 WL 724337 (D.N.J.) - 377 Jama v. INS 22 F. Supp., 2d 353 (D.N.J. 1998) – 376-77 Jama v. INS 343 F. Supp., 2d 338 (D.N.J. 2004) - 376 - 77Japan Line, Ltd. v. County of Los Angeles, 441 U.S. 434 (1979) - 341, 436 Japan Whaling Association v. American Cetacean Society, 478 U.S. 221 (1986) – 329 Jecker, Torre & Co. v. Montgomery, 59 U.S. 110 (1856) - 25John G. Stevens, The, 170 U.S. 113 (1898) – 115, 254 Johnson v. Browne, 205 U.S. 309 (1907) – 206, 208, 218, 259, 599 Johnson v. Eisentrager, 339 U.S. 763 (1950) – 324–27, 338, 355, 422, 431, 562–63, 568–72, 579, 586, 592, 603 Johnson v. Louisiana, 406 U.S. 399 (1972) – 473 Johnson v. M'Intosh, 21 U.S. 543 (1823) – 28, 47, 65 Johnston v. Compagnie Generale Transatlantique, 242 N.Y. 121 (1926) – 234 Jones v. McMasters, 61 U.S. 8 (1858) – 28 Jones v. Meehan, 175 U.S. 1 (1899) – 59, 61, 67, 75, 82–83, 134–37, 590 Jones v. United States, 137 U.S. 202 (1890) -120, 283-84 Jordan v. Tashiro, 278 U.S. 123 (1928) - 212-14 Joseph, The, 12 U.S. 451 (1814) – 33, 46

153, 184–85, 301, 304 Juragua Iron Co. v. United States, 212 U.S. 297 (1909) - 235, 275 - 76, 596, 603K Mart Corp. v. Cartier, 486 U.S. 281 (1988) – 330 Kadic v. Karadžić, 70 F.3d 232 (2d Cir. 1995) -372, 375 Kane v. New Jersey, 242 U.S. 160 (1916) – 236 Kansas v. Colorado, 206 U.S. 46 (1907) – 230–31, 233, 237, 239, 248, 250, 256, 294, 305, 503 Kansas v. Colorado, 185 U.S. 125 (1902) – 230 Kansas Indians, The, 72 U.S. 737 (1867) - 58, 61, 67, 81–82, 86–87, 137 Karnuth v. United States, 279 U.S. 231 (1929) -196, 208, 259 Keene v. Clark's Heirs, 35 U.S. 291 (1836) – 15 Kelly v. Hedden, 124 U.S. 196 (1887) - 73 Kennedy v. Mendoza-Martinez, 372 U.S. 144 (1963) - 343, 411 - 12, 507Kennett v. Chambers, 55 U.S. 38 (1852) -14-15, 28, 35, 591 Ker v. Illinois, 119 U.S. 436 (1886) – 61, 70, 82-83, 87, 117-19 King v. Delaware Insurance Co., 10 U.S. 71 (1810) - 28Kinkead v. United States, 150 U.S. 483 (1893) -58, 80, 82, 84, 213 Kinsella v. Krueger, 351 U.S. 470 (1956) - 325, 344-45, 427 Kinsella v. United States ex rel. Singleton, 361 U.S. 234 (1960) – 325, 344–45, 427 Kirkman v. Hamilton, 21 U.S. 20 (1832) – 30 Kiyemba v. Obama, 561 F.3d 509 (D.C. Cir. 2009) - 581Klaxon Co. v. Stentor Electric Manufacturing. Co., 313 U.S. 487 (1941) – 245–46, 253–55, 378, 503 Kline v. Kaneko, 685 F. Supp. 386 (S.D.N.Y. 1988) - 406Knickerbocker Ice Co. v. Stewart, 253 U.S. 149 (1920) - 233, 597Knight v. Florida, 528 U.S. 990 (1999) - 346 Knight v. U.S. Land Association, 142 U.S. 161 (1891) - 68Kolovrat v. Oregon, 366 U.S. 187 (1961) – 217, 336, 340–41, 425, 427, 572, 594 Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214 (1944) - 277 - 78,583Kruman v. Christie's Int'l PLC, 129 F. Supp., 2d 620 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) - 537 Kuhn v. Fairmont Coal Co., 215 U.S. 349 (1910) - 228 - 29

Juilliard v. Greenman, 110 U.S. 421 (1884) –

Julia, The, 12 U.S. 181 (1814) – 33

xxvi Table of Cases

La Abra Silver Mining Co. v. United States, 175 U.S. 423 (1899) – 60, 64, 71, 73–74, 79, 120, 461–62

La Republique Francaise v. Saratoga Vichy Spring Co., 191 U.S. 427 (1903) – 205

Lafontant v. Aristide, 844 F. Supp. 128 (E.D.N.Y. 1994) – 372

LaGrand (Ger. v. U.S.), 1999 I.C.J. 9 (Mar. 3) – 453

LaGrand (Ger. v. U.S.), 2001 I.C.J. 466 (June 27) – 455, 457

Lake Carriers' Association v. MacMullan, 406 U.S. 498 (1972) – 351

Lamar v. Browne, 92 U.S. 187 (1876) - 131

Late Corporation of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. United States, 136 U.S. 1 (1889) – 300

Lattimer v. Poteet, 39 U.S. 4 (1840) – 15 Lau Ow Bew v. United States, 144 U.S. 47

(1892) – 69, 74, 81 Lauritzen v. Larsen, 345 U.S. 571 (1953) – 133, 381–87, 392–93, 438, 598, 600

Lawrence v. Texas, 539 U.S. 558 (2003) – 1, 3, 445, 492, 495, 507–12, 516, 518, 521–23, 525, 588

Le Louis, 165 Eng. Rep. 1464 (1817) – 36, 536–37, 543

Leavenworth, Lawrence, & Galveston Railroad Co. v. United States, 92 U.S. 733 (1876) – 74, 134–37

Lee Gon Yung v. United States, 185 U.S. 306 (1902) – 206

Lee Lung v. Patterson, 186 U.S. 168 (1902) – 206 Lee v. Madigan, 358 U.S. 228 (1959) – 331

Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v. PSKS, Inc., 551 U.S. 877 (2007) – 557

Legal Tender Cases, 79 U.S. 457 (1871) – 152–53, 184, 283–84, 303–04, 601

Lem Moon Sing v. United States, 158 U.S. 538 (1895) – 134–35, 141

Leroux v. Brown, 138 Eng. Rep. 1119 (C.P. 1852) – 536

Lessee of Pollard's Heirs v. Kibbe, 39 U.S. 353 (1840) – 16

Libby v. Clark, 118 U.S. 250 (1886) – 82

Little v. Barreme, 6 U.S. 170 (1804) – 585

Liverpool & Great Western Steam Co. v. Phenix Insurance. Co., 129 U.S. 397 (1889) – 97, 110, 115

Lochner v. New York, 198 U.S. 45 (1905) – 229, 273

Loewen Group, Inc. v. United States, 7 ICSID Rep. 442 (2003) – 554

Lottawanna, The, 88 U.S. 558 (1874) – 95–96, 99–100, 106, 114–16, 118–120, 123, 232

Lotus, The (Fr. v. Turk.), 1927 P.C.I.J. (ser. A) No. 10 – 260, 552

Loughborough v. Blake, 18 U.S. 317 (1820) – 271

Louisiana v. Mississippi, 466 U.S. 96 (1984) – 358

Luckenbach Steamship Co. v. United States, 280 U.S. 173 (1930) – 210

Ludwig v. Western Union Telegraph Co., 216 U.S. 146 (1910) – 305

Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555 (1992) – 388

Mackenzie v. Hare, 239 U.S. 299 (1915) – 302

MacLeod v. United States, 229 U.S. 416 (1913) – 242, 257–58, 260, 596, 599

Maggie Hammond, The, 76 U.S. 435 (1870) – 94, 106

Main, The v. Williams, 152 U.S. 122 (1894) – 130

Maiorano v. Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Co., 213 U.S. 268 (1909) – 203, 215

Malek Adhel, The, 43 U.S. 410 (1844) – 25 Mali v. Keeper of the Common Jail (Wildenhus's Case), 120 U.S. 1 (1887) – 64, 70, 79–80, 86–87, 100–02, 104–06, 110, 123, 129, 385

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803) – 83, 168, 469

Marianna Flora, The, 24 U.S. 1 (1826) – 25, 32, 584

Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 14 U.S. 304 (1816) – 571

Martin v. Waddell's Lessee, 41 U.S. 367 (1842) – 29

Martinez v. City of Los Angeles, 141 F.3d 1373 (9th Cir. 1998) – 377

Mary Ford, The, 3 U.S. 188 (1796) – 25

Maryland v. West Virginia, 217 U.S. 1 (1910) – 231, 239

Mason v. The Ship Blaireau, 6 U.S. 240 (1804) – 25

Massachusetts v. Laird, 400 U.S. 886 (1970) – 326

Matsushita Electronic Industrial Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp., 475 U.S. 574 (1986) – 351

Matter of McMullen, 19 I & N Dec. 90 (B.I.A. 1984) – 396

Matthews v. McStea, 91 U.S. 7 (1875) – 117 Maul v. United States, 274 U.S. 501 (1927) – 203

Maximov v. United States, 373 U.S. 49 (1963) – 328, 338

McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. 316 (1819) – 162, 280, 283, 300, 303, 601

Table of Cases xxvii

- McCulloch v. Sociedad Nacional de Marineros de Honduras, 372 U.S. 10 (1963) – 329, 331, 384–89, 392, 398, 438, 600
- McDonogh v. Millaudon, 44 U.S. 693 (1845) 20
- McKesson Corp. v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 539 F.3d 485 (D.C. Cir. 2008) 464
- Medellin v. Dretke, 371 F.3d 270 (5th Cir. 2004) 454
- Medellin v. Dretke, 544 U.S. 660 (2005) 328, 454
- Medellin v. Dretke, 543 U.S. 1032 (2004) 443–44
- Medellin v. Texas, 552 U.S. 491 (2008) 1, 3, 67, 203, 217, 288, 328, 443–44, 451–65, 468–78, 566, 587, 594
- Medtronic, Inc. v. Lohr, 518 U.S. 470 (1996) –
- Menard's Heirs v. Massey, 49 U.S. 293 (1850) 20
- Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp., 550 U.S. 437 (2007) 446, 536, 540, 548
- Mighell v. Sultan of Jahore, 1 Q.B. 149 (Eng. 1894) 120
- Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicar. v. U.S.), 1986 I.C.J. 14 (June 27) – 455, 496
- Miller v. The Ship Resolution, 2 U.S. 1 (Fed. Ct. App. 1781) 37
- Miller v. United States, 78 U.S. 268 (1870) 145–47, 182–83, 278, 565, 584, 603
- Milliken v. Pratt, 125 Mass. 374 (1878) 262
- Ministry of Defense & Support for the Armed Forces of the Islamic Republic of Iran v. Elahi, 129 S. Ct. 1732 (2009) – 446
- Ministry of Defense & Support for Armed Forces of Islamic Republic of Iran v. Elahi, 546 U.S. 450 (2006) 446
- Missouri v. Holland, 252 U.S. 416 (1920) 192–93, 199–202, 286–87, 292, 310, 466, 591
- Missouri v. Illinois, 200 U.S. 496 (1906) 230, 232, 305
- Missouri v. Iowa, 48 U.S. 660 (1849) 31 Mitchell v. Furman, 180 U.S. 420 (1901) – 203, 215
- Mitchell v. Trawler Racer, Inc., 362 U.S. 539 (1969) 350
- Mitchell v. United States, 386 U.S. 972 (1967) 326
- Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc., 473 U.S. 614 (1985) – 337, 350
- Montoya v. United States, 180 U.S. 261 (1985) 312

- Moodie v. The Ship Phoebe Anne, 3 U.S. 319 (1796) 15
- Moore v. Steinbach, 127 U.S. 70 (1888) 72
- Mora v. McNamara, 389 U.S. 934 (1967) 326 Morrison v. National Australia Bank Ltd., 130 S.
 - Ct. 2869 (2010) 1, 445, 533, 548, 550–51, 554–55
- Morton v. Mancari, 417 U.S. 535 (1974) 306 Moser v. United States, 341 U.S. 41 (1951) – 338, 342–43
- Moses v. National Bank of Lawrence County, 149 U.S. 298 (1893) – 234
- Mossman v. Higginson, 4 U.S. 12 (1800) 368 Muller v. Oregon, 208 U.S. 412 (1908) – 272–73, 284, 297
- Munaf v. Geren, 553 U.S. 674 (2008) 447, 512.
- Murray v. Schooner Charming Betsy, 6 U.S. 64 (1804) 32, 37–40, 44, 46, 49–50, 74, 79, 117, 124, 129–41, 161, 175–76, 208–09, 259, 299, 329, 380–401, 414, 434–41, 455, 458, 484–86, 527–28, 534–37, 545, 547–48, 551–52, 584, 590, 595, 598–600
- Myers v. United States, 272 U.S. 52 (1926) 293
- Nagle v. Loi Ha, 275 U.S. 475 (1928) 207 Nebraska v. Iowa, 143 U.S. 356 (1892) – 359
- Neely v. Henkel, 180 U.S. 109 (1901) 200, 270, 286, 310, 591
- Nereide, The, 13 U.S. 388 (1815) 33, 35, 46, 115, 369, 574, 585, 596, 598
- Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs, 538 U.S. 721 (2003) 306
- New England Mutual Marine Insurance Co. v. Dunham, 78 U.S. 1 (1871) 94–95, 106–07
- New Hampshire v. Louisiana, 108 U.S. 76 (1883) 104, 106, 119
- New Jersey v. Delaware, 291 U.S. 361 (1934) 239, 494
- New Jersey v. New York, 238 U.S. 336 (1931) 231
- New Jersey v. New York, 30 U.S. 284 (1831) 31 New Jersey v. New York, 523 U.S. 767 (1998) – 359, 430
- New Orleans v. De Armas, 34 U.S. 224 (1835) 15
- New York Central Railroad Co. v. Chisholm, 268 U.S. 29 (1925) – 263, 600
- New York Central Railroad Co. v. Winfield, 244 U.S. 147 (1917) – 293
- New York Indians v. United States, 170 U.S. 1 (1898) 61, 72, 74, 82, 86
- New York Life Insurance Co. v. Dodge, 246 U.S. 357 (1918) 236, 597

xxviii Table of Cases

- New York Life Insurance Co. v. Head, 234 U.S. 149 (1914) 236, 305
- New York Life Insurance Co. v. Hendren, 92 U.S. 286 (1876) – 115, 117–18, 233, 251, 595
- Nielson v. Johnson, 279 U.S. 47 (1929) 203, 210, 212, 214, 216–17, 591
- Nishimura Ekiu v. United States, 142 U.S. 651 (1892) 154, 184–85, 301, 312, 601
- NLRB v. Catholic Bishop of Chicago, 440 U.S. 490 (1979) 401
- North American Commercial Co. v. United States, 171 U.S. 110 (1898) 58
- Northern Pacific Railway Co. v. Dixon, 194 U.S. 338 (1904) 229
- Northern Securities Co. v. United States, 193 U.S. 197 (1904) – 261
- Norwich Co. v. Wright, 80 U.S. 104 (1872) 130 Nyquist v. Mauclet, 432 U.S. 1 (1977) – 340
- O'Connor v. United States, 479 U.S. 27 (1986) 328, 337–38
- O'Reilly de Camara v. Brooke, 142 F. 858 (S.D.N.Y. 1906) 241–42, 253
- O'Reilly de Camara v. Brooke, 209 U.S. 45 (1908) 241–42, 253
- Oetjen v. Central Leather Co., 246 U.S. 297 (1918) 196, 237, 239
- Ohio ex rel. Clarke v. Deckebach, 274 U.S. 392 (1927) 215
- Ohio v. Wyandotte Chemicals Corp., 401 U.S. 493 (1971) 351
- Oldfield v. Marriott, 51 U.S. 146 (1851) 19, 59 Oliver American Trading Co. v. United States of Mexico, 264 U.S. 440 (1924) – 234, 244
- Olympic Airways v. Husain, 540 U.S. 644 (2004) 444
- Ornelas v. Ruiz, 161 U.S. 502 (1896) 71, 83
- Osborn v. Bank of the United States, 22 U.S. 738 (1824) 404
- Osceola, The, 189 U.S. 158 (1903) 239
- Otis v. Parker, 187 U.S. 606 (1908) 311
- Owings v. Norwood's Lessee, 9 U.S. 344 (1809) 14, 50
- Oyama v. California, 332 U.S. 633 (1948) 339, 426
- Pacific Employers Insurance Co. v. Industrial Accident Commission, 306 U.S. 493 (1939) – 236
- Palko v. Connecticut, 302 U.S. 319 (1937) 274–75, 284
- Pam-to-Pee v. United States, 148 U.S. 691 (1893) 72
- Panama Canal Zone v. Grace Line, Inc., 356 U.S. 309 (1958) – 325

- Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan, 293 U.S. 388 (1935) – 197
- Panama, The, 176 U.S. 535 (1900) 91, 104, 107, 111, 122
- Paquete Habana, The, 175 U.S. 677 (1900) 90–91, 98–99, 103–13, 116–17, 120–23, 132, 171–73, 175–76, 180, 226–30, 237–42, 246–47, 251–56, 307, 365–70, 373–74, 377, 481, 483–84, 489, 491, 497, 564, 569, 574, 585–86, 595–96
- Pasquantino v. United States, 544 U.S. 349 (2005) 445, 548–51
- Passenger Cases, The, 48 U.S. 283 (1849) 14, 42–43, 48, 59
- Patsone v. Pennsylvania, 232 U.S. 138 (1914) 205, 215
- Penhallow v. Doane's Administrators, 3 U.S. 54 (1795) 24
- Pennock v. Commisioners, 103 U.S. 44 (1881) 87
- Pennoyer v. Neff, 95 U.S. 714 (1878) 149, 151–52, 155, 161, 163, 236, 406–08, 595, 604
- Pennsylvania Fire Insurance Co. of Philadelphia v. Gold Issue Mining & Milling Co., 243 U.S. 93 (1917) 236
- Pennsylvania Railroad Co. v. Hughes, 191 U.S. 477 (1903) 228, 251
- Perez v. Brownell, 356 U.S. 44 (1958) 343–44, 409, 411–12, 435–36, 602
- Perkins v. Elg, 307 U.S. 325 (1939) 205, 210–11, 218, 589
- Permanent Mission of India v. City of New York, 551 U.S. 193 (2007) 446
- Peterhoff, The, 72 U.S. 28 (1867) 80, 97, 104–05, 110–11, 574
- Petersen v. Iowa ex rel. State Treasurer, 245 U.S. 170 (1917) 205, 211
- Pfizer, Inc. v. Government of India, 434 U.S. 308 (1978) 331, 423, 553
- Phillips v. Eyre, [1870] L.R. 6 Q.B. 1 (U.K.) 262 Pigeon River Improvement, Slide & Boom Co. v. Charles W. Cox, Ltd., 291 U.S. 138 (1934) – 207–10, 259, 590, 599
- Pinkerton v. Ledoux, 129 U.S. 346 (1889) 72 Place v. Norwich & New York Transportation
- Co., 118 U.S. 468 (1886) 106, 109, 116, 130 Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896) – 305
- Ponce v. Roman Catholic Apostolic Church, 210 U.S. 296 (1908) – 205, 236
- Poole v. Fleeger's Lessee, 36 U.S. 185 (1837) 15, 41
- Powerex Corp. v. Reliant Energy Services, Inc., 551 U.S. 224 (2007) 446
- Powers v. Comly, 101 U.S. 789 (1879) 61, 74, 83

Table of Cases xxix

- Prepaid Postsecondary Education Expense Board v. College Savings Bank, 527 U.S. 627 (1999) – 306
- Presbyterian Church of Sudan v. Talisman Energy, Inc., 582 F.3d 244 (2d Cir. 2009) – 492, 498
- Prigg v. Pennsylvania, 41 U.S. 539 (1842) 16, 19, 49
- Printz v. United States, 521 U.S. 898, 976 (1997) 514
- Prize Cases, The, 67 U.S. 635 (1863) see Brig Amy Warwick, The
- Pullman Co. v. Kansas, 216 U.S. 56 (1910) 305
- Quality King Distributors, Inc. v. L'Anza Research International, Inc., 523 U.S. 135 (1998) – 331
- Queen, The v. Jameson (1896) 2 Q.B. 425 382
- Rabang v. Boyd, 353 U.S. 427 (1957) 343 Rainey v. United States, 232 U.S. 310 (1914) – 207
- Ralli v. Troop, 157 U.S. 386 (1895) 98, 106 Rapid, The, 12 U.S. 155 (1814) – 33, 46 Rassmussen v. United States, 197 U.S. 516 (1905) – 296
- Rasul v. Bush, 542 U.S. 466 (2004) 447, 561–63, 567–71, 578, 580, 583, 587
- Rasul v. Myers, 512 F.3d 644 (D.C. Cir. 2008) –
- Ray v. Atlantic Richfield Co., 435 U.S. 151 (1978) 341
- Reichart v. Felps, 73 U.S. 160 (1868) 59, 75 Reid v. Covert, 354 U.S. 1 (1957) – 325, 344–46, 419, 427, 468, 580, 602
- Renard, The, 165 Eng. Rep. 51 (Adm. 1778) 108
- Republic of Argentina v. Weltover, Inc., 504 U.S. 607 (1992) 404–05
- Republic of Austria v. Altmann, 541 U.S. 677 (2004) 446–47, 552
- Republic of Iraq v. Beaty, 129 S. Ct. 2183 (2009) 446
- Republic of Mexico v. Hoffman, 324 U.S. 30 (1945) 238, 243, 597
- Republic of Philippines v. Marcos, 665 F. Supp. 793 (N.D. Cal. 1987) 406
- Republic of Philippines v. Pimentel, 553 U.S. 851 (2008) 446
- Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mex. v. U.S.), 2008 I.C.J. 311 (July 16) 460

- Request for Interpretation of the Judgment of 31 March 2004 in Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mex. v. U.S.), 2009 I.C.J. 139 (January 19) 460
- Respublica v. De Longchamps, 1 U.S. 11 (1784) 11, 369
- Reynolds v. United States, 98 U.S. 145 (1879) 311
- Rhinelander v. Insurance Co. of Pennsylvania, 8 U.S. 29 (1807) – 28
- Rhode Island v. Massachusetts, 37 U.S. 657 (1838) 22, 31, 230, 430, 595
- Ribas y Hijo v. United States, 194 U.S. 315 (1904) 206–07
- Ricaud v. American Metal Co., 246 U.S. 304 (1918) – 237, 239
- Rice v. Sante Fe Elevator Corp., 331 U.S. 218 (1947) 216
- Rice v. Sioux City Memorial Park Cemetery, Inc., 349 U.S. 70 (1955) 339
- Rios v. Marshall, 530 F. Supp. 351 (S.D.N.Y. 1981) 406
- Roach v. United States, 406 U.S. 935 (1972) 327
- Roanoke, The, 189 U.S. 185 (1903) 232 Robert C. Herd & Co. v. Krawill, 359 U.S. 297 (1959) – 349
- Robertson v. Baldwin, 165 U.S. 275 (1897) 106–07, 109
- Rocca v. Thompson, 223 U.S. 317 (1912) 211–12
- Rochin v. California, 342 U.S. 165 (1952) 431
- Rodriguez-Fernandez v. Wilkinson, 505 F. Supp. 787 (D. Kan. 1980) 377
- Rodriguez-Fernandez v. Wilkinson, 654 F. 2d 1382 (10th Cir. 1981) – 377
- Romero v. International Terminal Operating Co., 358 U.S. 354 (1959) 383, 392, 438
- Roper v. Simmons, 543 U.S. 551 (2005) 1, 3, 439, 445, 507–16, 518, 520–29, 588
- Rose v. Himely, 8 U.S. 241 (1808) 25, 35, 38
- Ross v. McIntyre (In re Ross), 140 U.S. 453 (1891) – 56, 61, 66, 85, 128–29, 149–50, 161, 217, 271, 297, 425, 602
- Roth v. United States, 354 U.S. 476 (1957) 410 Ruhrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co., 526 U.S. 574 (1999) – 350
- Rutgers v. Waddington (N.Y. Mayor's Ct. 1784) 10–11, 37, 185
- Sale v. Haitian Centers Council, Inc., 509 U.S. 155 (1993) – 328–30, 336, 338, 381, 387–88, 390–92, 421–23, 439–40

> XXXTable of Cases

Samantar v. Yousuf, 130 S. Ct. 2278 (2010) -Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain, 542 U.S. 692 (2004) -376, 446 Sanchez-Espinoza v. Reagan, 770 F.2d 202 (D.C. Cir. 1985) - 376 Sanchez-Llamas v. Oregon, 548 U.S. 331 (2006) - 1, 3, 67, 70, 83, 328, 429, 443-44,451-65, 468-78, 587, 594 Sandberg v. McDonald, 248 U.S. 185 (1918) -263, 294, 600 Santissima Trinidad, The, 20 U.S. 283 (1822) -25, 40, 47, 94, 403 Santovincenzo v. Egan, 284 U.S. 30 (1931) – 198, 209–13 Sapphire, The, 78 U.S. 164 (1871) – 97, 100 Scherk v. Alberto-Culver Co., 417 U.S. 506 (1974) - 350Schooner Exchange, The v. McFaddon, 11 U.S. 116 (1812) – 32, 37, 39–40, 46, 125, 156–57, 260, 447 Schneider v. Rusk, 377 U.S. 163 (1964) -343-44, 411 Scindia Steam Navigation Co. v. De Los Santos, 451 U.S. 156 (1981) - 325, 347 Scotia, The, 81 U.S. 170 (1872) – 97–98, 104, 113, 123, 374, 595–96 Scott v. McNeal, 154 U.S. 34 (1894) - 152 Scotland, The, 105 U.S. 24 (1882) - 106-07, Second Russian Insurance Co. v. Miller, 268 U.S. 552 (1925) - 237-38 Sei Fujii v. California, 217 P.2d 481 (Cal. Ct. App. 1950) -426Sei Fujii v. State, 242 P.2d 617 (Cal. 1952) -426, 428 Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida, 517 U.S. 44 (1996) - 473Shaffer v. Heitner, 433 U.S. 186 (1977) -407-08 Shanks v. Dupont, 28 U.S. 242 (1830) – 590 Sherer v. Sherer, 334 U.S. 343 (1948) – 348 Siren, The, 80 U.S. 389 (1871) – 114 Skiriotes v. Florida, 313 U.S. 69 (1941) – 255, 293 Slater v. Mexican National Railroad Co., 194 U.S. 120 (1904) - 262

Soulard v. United States, 29 U.S. 511 (1830) - 16 Southern Pacific Co. v. Jensen, 244 U.S. 205 (1917) - 119, 231 - 33, 237, 239, 244 - 56, 289,293, 305, 489, 503, 597 Southern Railway Co. v. Reid, 222 U.S. 424 (1912) - 305Spalding v. Chandler, 160 U.S. 394 (1896) – 82 Sparenburgh v. Bannatyne, 126 Eng. Rep. 837 (1797) - 569 - 70Spector v. Norwegian Cruise Line Ltd., 545 U.S. 119 (2005) – 446, 537, 549, 551–52 Spies v. Illinois, 123 U.S. 131 (1887) - 70-71 Sprott v. United States, 87 U.S. 459 (1874) – 104, 106 St. Clair v. United States, 154 U.S. 134 (1894) -125 St. Lawrence, The, 66 U.S. 522 (1861) - 118 Standard Dredging Corp. v. Murphy, 319 U.S. 306(1943) - 248Stanford v. Kentucky, 492 U.S. 361 (1989) – 346, 413-14, 437 State Oil Co. v. Khan, 522 U.S. 3 (1997) – 557 Steel Seizure, 343 U.S. 579 (1952) - see Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer Steele v. Bulova Watch Co., 344 U.S. 280 (1952) - 330, 388, 423, 600Stephens v. Cherokee Nation, 174 U.S. 445 (1899) - 73Stoddard v. Chambers, 43 U.S. 284 (1844) – 15 Stoneridge Investment Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., 552 U.S. 148 (2008) -Strother v. Lucas, 37 U.S. 410 (1838) – 16, 20 Sugarman v. Dougall, 413 U.S. 634 (1973) – 340 Sullivan v. Kidd, 254 U.S. 433 (1921) – 202, 208-12, 217 Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. v. Avagliano, 457 U.S. 176 (1982) – 217, 336, 338, 348, 391, 425, 572, 593 Summa Corp. v. California, 466 U.S. 198 (1984) - 349Supreme Council of the Royal Arcanum v. Green, 237 U.S. 531 (1915) – 305 Swift v. Tyson, 41 U.S. 1 (1842) – 27–30, 48, 114, 227–35, 243–51, 253, 503, 595–97 Talbot v. Jansen, 3 U.S. 133 (1795) – 15, 23–25, 37, 584, 594 Talbot v. Seeman, 5 U.S. 1 (1801) – 37, 46, 535 Taylor v. Morton, 23 F. Cas. 784 (C.C. Mass. . 1855) – 18–19, 73–74, 601 Taylor v. Morton, 67 U.S. 481 (1863) – 71

1, 3, 357, 359, 366–67, 373, 375, 429, 434,

444–45, 481–504, 588, 598–99

331, 388-90, 439-40, 540

Slidell v. Grandjean, 111 U.S. 412 (1883) – 72

Smith v. Stevens, 77 U.S. 321 (1870) – 134–37 Smith v. United States, 151 U.S. 50 (1894) – 74

Smith v. United States, 507 U.S. 197 (1993) -

Société Nationale Industrielle Aérospatiale v.

U.S. District Court, 482 U.S. 522 (1987) -

Small v. United States, 544 U.S. 385 (2005) –

445, 540, 547-51

336-37, 347

Table of Cases xxxi

- Tel-Oren v. Libyan Arab Republic, 726 F.2d 774 (D.C. Cir. 1984) 369–72, 375, 497
- Terlinden v. Ames, 184 U.S. 270 (1902) 196, 202–03, 205
- Terrace v. Thompson, 263 U.S. 197 (1923) 214–15
- Texaco Inc. v. Dagher, 547 U.S. 1 (2006) 557
- Texas v. Louisiana, 410 U.S. 702 (1973) 349, 358
- Texas v. Louisiana, 426 U.S. 465 (1976) 349 Thirty Hogsheads of Sugar v. Boyle, 13 U.S. 191 (1815) – 33, 36, 46, 114
- Thomas v. Gay, 169 U.S. 264 (1898) 60, 73, 76, 134–38
- Thompson v. Oklahoma, 487 U.S. 815 (1988) 346, 413–14, 437, 526
- Thomsen v. Cayser, 243 U.S. 66 (1917) 263 Timberlane Lumber Co. v. Bank of America,
- 549 F.2d 597 (9th Cir. 1976) 391–92 Todok v. Union State Bank of Harvard, Nebraska, 281 U.S. 449 (1930) – 212–14
- Toll v. Moreno, 458 U.S. 1 (1982) 341
- Torres v. Mullin, 540 U.S. 1035 (2003) 328 Torres v. State, 120 P.3d 1184 (Okla. 2005) – 454
- Townsend v. Greeley, 72 U.S. 326 (1867) 72 Trans World Airlines, Inc. v. Franklin Mint Corp., 466 U.S. 243 (1984) 337, 347, 393, 399
- Transportes Maritimos do Estado v. Almeida, 265 U.S. 104 (1924) – 234
- Trop v. Dulles, 356 U.S. 86 (1958) 343, 410–12, 435, 513, 521, 604
- Truax v. Raich, 239 U.S. 33 (1915) 339
- Tucker v. Alexandroff, 183 U.S. 424 (1902) 197, 205, 210–13, 219, 224, 240–41, 590
- Twining v. New Jersey, 211 U.S. 78 (1908) 273–75, 284, 297
- Underhill v. Hernandez, 168 U.S. 250 (1897) 119–20, 157–58, 237, 503, 595
- United States v. Acosta, 42 U.S. 24 (1843) 17 United States v. Alaska, 422 U.S. 184 (1975) –
- 349 United States v. Alaska, 503 U.S. 569 (1992) – 349
- United States v. Alaska, 521 U.S. 1 (1980) 349 United States v. Aluminum Co. of America, 148 F.2d 416 (2d Cir. 1945) – 265–67, 269, 295, 391–93, 419, 438–39, 539, 549
- United States v. Alvarez-Machain, 504 U.S. 655 (1992) 1, 253, 327–28, 338, 357, 359, 366, 373, 421–23, 429, 434, 444, 481–86, 488, 491, 493, 494, 496, 499, 588, 598

- United States v. American Sugar Refining Co., 202 U.S. 563 (1906) 195
- United States v. American Tobacco Co., 221 U.S. 106 (1911) 263
- United States v. Arjona, 120 U.S. 479 (1887) 147–48, 516, 597
- United States v. Arredondo, 31 U.S. 691 (1832) 17, 34, 195
- United States v. Auguisola, 68 U.S. 352 (1864) 61, 68, 72, 82
- United States v. Belmont, 301 U.S. 324 (1937) 192, 218, 220–23, 249–50, 256, 287, 293, 300, 504, 592, 602
- United States v. Bowman, 260 U.S. 94 (1922) 267–69
- United States v. California, 332 U.S. 19 (1947) 302, 358
- United States v. California, 381 U.S. 139 (1965) 302, 349, 358
- United States v. California, 382 U.S. 448 (1966) 302
- United States v. California, 436 U.S. 32 (1978) 302
- United States v. California, 447 U.S. 1 (1980) 302
- United States v. Castillero, 67 U.S. 17 (1863) 90 United States v. Chaves, 159 U.S. 452 (1895) 68, 72, 80
- United States v. Choctaw Nation, 179 U.S. 494 (1900) 60, 72, 82, 90
- United States v. Coolidge, 14 U.S. 415 (1816) 27
- United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp., 14 F. Supp. 230 (S.D.N.Y. 1936) 279
- United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp., 299 U.S. 304 (1936) 192, 195, 197–98, 220–21, 242–43, 249–50, 252, 256, 279–84, 290, 293, 296, 300–02, 305–06, 409, 436, 504, 596, 601
- United States v. d'Auterive, 51 U.S. 609 (1851) 16
- United States v. Diekelman, 92 U.S. 520 (1876) 101
- United States v. Dion, 476 U.S. 734 (1986) 325
- United States v. Flores, 289 U.S. 137 (1933) 268
- United States v. Florida, 425 U.S. 791(1975) 349
- United States v. Forty-Three Gallons of Whiskey, 108 U.S. 491 (1883) 74, 134–37
- United States v. Forty-Three Gallons of Whiskey, 93 U.S. 188 (1876) 64, 76
- United States v. Fullard-Leo, 331 U.S. 256 (1947) 349

xxxii Table of Cases

United States v. Furlong, 18 U.S. 184 (1820) – 39 United States v. Green, 185 U.S. 256 (1902) -United States v. Gue Lim, 176 U.S. 459 (1900) – 61, 69, 74, 81, 134, 155, 590 United States v. Guillem, 52 U.S. 47 (1851) – 25 United States v. Guy W. Capps, Inc., 204 F.2d 655 (4th Cir. 1953) - 333 United States v. Guy W. Capps, Inc., 348 U.S. 296 (1955) – 333, 424 United States v. Hathaway, 71 U.S. 404 (1867) – 70, 83–84 United States v. Hudson & Goodwin, 11 U.S. 32 (1812) - 27United States v. Jones, 109 U.S. 513 (1883) -153–54, 601 United States v. Joseph, 94 U.S. 614 (1877) – 72 United States v. Jung Ah Lung, 124 U.S. 621 (1888) - 59, 70, 78United States v. Kagama, 118 U.S. 375 (1886) – 60, 184-85 United States v. Klein, 80 U.S. 128 (1872) - 183 United States v. Klintock, 18 U.S. 144 (1820) -United States v. The La Jeune Eugenie, 26 F. Cas. 832 (C.C.D. Mass. 1822) – 25, 35–37, 92, 170-71, 374, 488 United States v. Lane, 75 U.S. 185 (1869) – 131 United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196 (1882) – 156, 158-62, 301 United States v. Locke, 529 U.S. 89 (2000) – 342 United States v. Louisiana, 363 U.S. 1 (1960) -United States v. Louisiana, 394 U.S. 11 (1969) – 337, 349 United States v. Louisiana, 446 U.S. 253 (1980) – United States v. Louisiana, 394 U.S. 1 (1969) – 349 United States v. Louisiana, 470 U.S. 93 (1985) – 337, 349, 358 United States v. Louisiana, 389 U.S. 155 (1967) – 349 United States v. Louisiana, 485 U.S. 88 (1988) – 349 United States v. Lynde, 78 U.S. 632 (1871) – 84 United States v. Macintosh, 283 U.S. 605 (1931) - 276, 278, 311United States v. Maine, 469 U.S. 504 (1985) -349 United States v. Maine, 475 U.S. 89 (1986) -337, 349, 358

United States v. McCullagh, 221 F. 288 (D. Kan. 1915) - 199United States v. Microsoft Corp., 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001) - 557 United States v. Minnesota, 270 U.S. 181 (1926) – 195–96, 198 United States v. Moreno, 68 U.S. 400 (1864) -68, 72, 81 United States v. Navarre, 173 U.S. 77 (1899) -61, 72, 84 United States v. Nord Deutscher Lloyd, 223 U.S. 512(1912) - 263United States v. O'Donnell, 303 U.S. 501 (1938) - 208, 217, 259United States v. Old Settlers, 148 U.S. 427 (1893) - 72United States v. Pacific & Arctic Railway Co., 228 U.S. 87 (1913) - 263 United States v. Padelford, 76 U.S. 531 (1870) -131-32, 183, 585, 599 United States v. Palmer, 16 U.S. 610 (1818) - 39 United States v. The Paquete Habana, 189 U.S. 453 (1903) - 232, 241 United States v. Payne, 264 U.S. 446 (1924) -208, 259 United States v. Percheman, 32 U.S. 51 (1833) -16, 23, 28, 59, 460 United States v. Peters, 3 U.S. 121 (1795) – 15, United States v. Pink, 315 U.S. 203 (1942) – 192, 216, 218, 221–23, 249–50, 287, 306, 424, United States v. Quimby, 71 U.S. 408 (1867) -United States v. Rauscher, 119 U.S. 407 (1886) – 60–61, 68, 76, 79–84, 86, 104–06, 109–110, 209, 240, 589, 591 United States v. Reynes, 50 U.S. 127 (1850) – 20 United States v. Rice, 17 U.S. 246 (1819) – 38 United States v. Ritchie, 58 U.S. 525 (1855) – 34 United States v. Rodgers, 150 U.S. 249 (1893) – 104–06, 125–27 United States v. Rogers, 45 U.S. 567 (1846) – 29, United States v. Roselius, 56 U.S. 31 (1853) – 20 United States v. Sandoval, 167 U.S. 278 (1897) – United States v. Sandoval, 231 U.S. 28 (1913) -311 United States v. Santa Fe, 165 U.S. 675 (1897) – 72 United States v. Schenk, 249 U.S. 47 (1919) -United States v. Schooner Peggy, 5 U.S. 103 (1801) - 14, 18, 46, 59, 585, 589 - 90

United States v. McBratney, 104 U.S. 621(1882) – 73–74, 87, 134–36, 138

Table of Cases xxxiii

- United States v. Shauver, 214 F. 154 (E.D. Ark. 1914) 199
- United States v. Sisal Sales Corp., 274 U.S. 268 (1927) 263–66, 269, 296
- United States v. Smith, 18 U.S. 153 (1820) 33–34, 36, 255–56, 496
- United States v. Spelar, 338 U.S. 217 (1949) 330
- United States v. Standard Oil Co., 221 U.S. 1 (1911) 557
- United States v. Stuart, 489 U.S. 353 (1989) 215, 328, 336, 338
- United States v. Texas, 162 U.S. 1 (1896) 80, 84 United States v. Verdugo-Urquidez, 494 U.S. 259 (1990) – 331, 562, 602
- United States v. Weld, 127 U.S. 51 (1888) 64, 71, 461
- United States v. Wiggins, 39 U.S. 334 (1840) 20, 23
- United States v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649 (1898) 104, 106, 116, 149–51, 155, 161, 604
- United States v. Worrall, 2 U.S. 384 (D. Pa. 1798) 27
- United States v. Yen Tai, 185 U.S. 213 (1902) 202, 206–08, 212
- United States v. Yorba, 68 U.S. 412 (1864) 61, 68, 72, 80, 83
- United States v. Yousef, 327 F.3d 56 (2d Cir. 2003) 108
- United States ex rel. Boynton v. Blaine, 139 U.S. 306 (1891) 461
- United States ex rel. Toth v. Quarles, 350 U.S. 11 (1955) 344
- Valentine v. United States ex rel. Neidecker, 299 U.S. 5 (1936) 197, 202, 210, 213
- Van Cauwenberghe v. Biard, 486 U.S. 517 (1988) 330
- Van Der Weyde v. Ocean Transport Co., 297 U.S. 114 (1936) – 197
- Van Reynegan v. Bolton, 95 U.S. 33 (1877) 72 Vella v. Ford Motor Co., 421 U.S. 1 (1975) – 325, 347
- Venus, The, 12 U.S. 253 (1814) 33, 110, 171
- Verlinden B.V. v. Central Bank of Nigeria, 461 U.S. 480 (1983) 372, 402–04
- Vermilya-Brown Co. v. Connell, 335 U.S. 377 (1948) 330, 423
- Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (Para. v. U.S.), 1998 I.C.J. 248 (Apr. 9) – 453
- Vilas v. City of Manila, 220 U.S. 345 (1911) 205, 236–37, 242

- Vimar Seguros v. M/V Sky Reefer, 515 U.S. 528 (1995) – 349–50
- Volkswagenwerk Aktiengesellschaft v. Schlunk, 486 U.S. 694 (1988) 348
- W.S. Kirkpatrick & Co. v. Environmental Tectonics Corp., 493 U.S. 400 (1990) – 364–65
- Wagnor v. Evans, 170 U.S. 588 (1898) 73
- Ward v. Race Horse, 163 U.S. 504 (1896) 70, 73–74, 82, 87, 134–36, 138–41
- Wardair Canada, Inc. v. Florida Department of Revenue, 477 U.S. 1 (1986) – 341
- Ware v. Hylton, 3 U.S. 199 (1796) 7, 13–16, 18, 22, 30, 46, 49–50, 56, 85, 196, 250–51, 373, 455–56, 589, 591, 596
- Warren v. United States, 340 U.S. 523 (1951) 325, 337, 347
- Washington v. Glucksberg, 521 U.S. 702 (1997) 275, 492, 495, 499
- Washington v. Washington State Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessels Association, 443 U.S. 658 (1979) – 351
- Waters v. Collot, 2 U.S. 247 (1796) 24
- Watson v. Tarpley, 50 U.S. 517 (1856) 30, 234, 251, 596
- Webb v. O'Brien, 263 U.S. 313 (1923) 210, 214–15
- Weinberger v. Rossi, 456 U.S. 25 (1982) 329, 334–35, 392–93, 397–99, 424, 440, 600
- Weltover, Inc. v. Republic of Argentina, 941 F.2d 145 (2d Cir. 1991) 404–05
- West River Bridge Co. v. Dix, 47 U.S. 507 (1848) 42
- Western Maid, The, 257 U.S. 419 (1922) 254 Western Union Telegraph Co. v. Call Publishing Co., 181 U.S. 92 (1901) – 229
- Westmoreland v. United States, 155 U.S. 545 (1895) 70
- Whitney v. Robertson, 124 U.S. 190 (1888) 56, 60, 64, 67, 70, 73–74, 78–80, 83, 134, 140, 206, 209, 455, 458, 591
- Wiggans v. Conolly, 163 U.S. 56 (1896) 82 Wildenhus's Case, 120 U.S. 1 (1887) – see Mali v. Keeper of the Common Jail
- Williams v. Heard, 140 U.S. 529 (1891) 58, 64, 71, 461
- Williams v. Suffolk Insurance Co., 38 U.S. 415 (1839) 18, 47
- Willson v. Black Bird Creek Marsh Co., 27 U.S. 245 (1829) 303
- Wilson v. Girard, 354 U.S. 524 (1957) 325, 338 Wilson v. McNamee, 102 U.S. 572 (1881) – 125
- Wilson v. Wall, 73 U.S. 83 (1867) 70, 75
- Windsor v. McVeigh, 93 U.S. 274 (1876) 478



xxxiv Table of Cases

Wong Wing v. United States, 163 U.S. 228 (1896) – 149–50, 155, 602

Worcester v. Georgia, 31 U.S. 515 (1832) – 14, 32, 47, 82

World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson, 444 U.S. 286 (1980) – 408

Wright v. Henkel, 190 U.S. 40 (1903) – 210, 212 Wulfsohn v. Russian Soviet Federated Soviet Republic, 266 U.S. 580 (1924) – 234, 244,

Wyoming v. Colorado, 259 U.S. 419 (1922) – 231 Wyoming v. Oklahoma, 502 U.S. 437 (1992) – 430

Xuncax v. Gramajo, 886 F. Supp. 162 (D. Mass. 1995) – 371

Yamataya v. Fischer, 189 U.S. 86 (1903) – 206 Yee Won v. White, 256 U.S. 399 (1921) – 206 Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886) – 61–63, 69, 85, 311–12, 339 Young v. United States, 97 U.S. 39 (1878) – 131, 133, 259

Young Jacob and Johanna, The, 165 Eng. Rep. 81 (1798) – 111–12

Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer (Steel Seizure), 343 U.S. 579 (1952) – 282, 332–33, 424, 431, 462, 507–08, 511, 513, 583, 602

Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001) – 602 Zemel v. Rusk, 381 U.S. 1 (1965) – 344 Zenith Radio Corp. v. United States, 437 U.S. 443 (1978) – 330

Zicherman v. Korean Air Lines, 516 U.S. 217 (1996) – 337, 347

Zschernig v. Miller, 389 U.S. 429 (1968) – 337, 340, 427