

#### THE SEVERITY OF GOD

This book explores the role of divine severity in the character and wisdom of God, and the flux and difficulties of human life in relation to divine salvation. Much has been written on problems of evil, but the matter of divine severity has received relatively little attention. Paul K. Moser discusses the function of philosophy, evidence, and miracles in approaching God. He argues that if God aims to extend without coercion His lasting life to humans, then commitment to that goal could manifest itself in making human life severe, for the sake of encouraging humans to enter into that cooperative good life. In this scenario, divine  $agap\bar{e}$  is conferred as a free gift, but the human reception of it includes stress and struggle in the face of conflicting powers and priorities. Moser's work will be of great interest to students of the philosophy of religion and of theology.

PAUL K. MOSER is Professor of Philosophy at Loyola University Chicago. His most recent books include *The Elusive God: Reorienting Religious Epistemology* (Cambridge, 2009) and *The Evidence for God: Religious Knowledge Reexamined* (Cambridge, 2010). He is the editor of *Jesus and Philosophy: New Essays* (Cambridge, 2009) and co-editor, with Daniel Howard-Snyder, of *Divine Hiddenness* (Cambridge, 2002) and, with Michael McFall, of *The Wisdom of the Christian Faith* (Cambridge, 2012). Moser is the Editor of the *American Philosophical Quarterly*.





# THE SEVERITY OF GOD

Religion and Philosophy Reconceived

PAUL K. MOSER





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107023574

© Paul K. Moser 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed and bound in the United Kingdom by the MPG Books Group

 $A\ catalogue\ record\ for\ this\ publication\ is\ available\ from\ the\ British\ Library$ 

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Moser, Paul K., 1957–

The severity of God: religion and philosophy reconceived / Paul K. Moser.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-107-02357-4 (hardback) – ISBN 978-1-107-61532-8 (paperback)

1. God (Christianity) 2. Worship. 3. Philosophy and

religion. 4. Philosophical theology. I. Title. BT103.M675 2013

212'.7-dc23 2012033990

ISBN 978-1-107-02357-4 Hardback ISBN 978-1-107-61532-8 Paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



For my mother, without whom not



Behold therefore the kindness and the severity of God (Rom. 11:22)



### Contents

Preface and acknowledgements		page 1X
Introduction		1
1	Severity and God	11
2	Severity and flux	54
3	Severity and evidence	87
4	Severity and salvation	138
5	Severity and philosophy	167
Bibliography		209
Index		215





## Preface and acknowledgements

Human talk of God is often cheap and easy, and self-serving too. It thus leaves us with a god unworthy of the morally perfect title "God." This book takes a different route, in order to move away from counterfeits and toward the real article. Our expectations for God, if God exists, often get in the way of our receiving salient evidence of God. We assume that God would have certain obligations to us, even by way of giving us clear evidence, and when those obligations are not met we discredit God, including God's existence. This is a fast track to atheism or at least agnosticism. We need, however, to take stock of which expectations for God are fitting and which are not, given what would be God's perfect moral character and will.

Perhaps God is not casual but actually severe, in a sense to be clarified, owing to God's vigorous concern for the realization of divine righteous love (agapē), including its free, unearned reception and dissemination among humans. Perhaps the latter concern stems from God's aim to extend, without coercion, lasting life with God to humans, even humans who have failed by the standard of divine agapē. God's vigorous commitment to that goal could figure in God's making human life difficult, or severe, for the sake of encouraging humans, without coercion, to enter into a cooperative good life with God. This severe God would not sacrifice a human soul to preserve human bodily comfort. In this scenario, divine agapē is the unsurpassed power and priority of life with God, and humans need to struggle to appropriate it as such, in companionship with God. It comes as a free gift, by grace, from God, but the human reception of it, via cooperative trust in God, includes stress,



x

Cambridge University Press 978-1-107-02357-4 - The Severity of God: Religion and Philosophy Reconceived Paul K. Moser Frontmatter More information

### Preface and acknowledgements

struggle, and severity in the face of conflicting powers and alternative priorities.

This book attends to the widely neglected topic of the severity of God, in connection with its implications for religion and philosophy. It contends that divine severity points us to the volitional crisis of Gethsemane, for the sake of cooperative and lasting human life with God. In doing so, it invites us to consider the priority of divine power over philosophical propositions, persons over explanations, and God's will over human wills. Accordingly, this book invites us to reconceive religion and philosophy in the light of the Gethsemane crisis, particularly in the significant areas of the methodology and epistemology of God, the value of human life's ongoing flux, the divine redemption of humans, and the nature of philosophy under the severe God worthy of worship. This reconceiving leaves us with religion and philosophy renewed by a needed interpersonal and existential vitality, grounded in widely neglected but nonetheless salient evidence of God's redemptive severity.

My work has benefited from many people, too many to list here. For comments of various sorts, written or oral, I thank Tom Carson, Andrew Cutrofello, Robby Duncan, Blake Dutton, Stephen Joel Garver, Doug Geivett, Michael Haney, Chet Jechura, Myles Krueger, Jonathan Kvanvig, Michael McFall, Esther Meek, Chad Meister, Linda Moser, Harold Netland, Randy Newman, Gary Osmundsen, Alvin Plantinga, Bradley Seeman, Charles Taliaferro, David Yandell, Kate Waidler, Greg Wolcott, Tedla Woldeyohannes, Tom Wren, my philosophy students at Loyola University Chicago, and anonymous referees for Cambridge University Press. I also thank Robby Duncan for excellent help with the index.

Ancestors of parts of the book have appeared as, or were presented at: "Agapēic Theism: Personifying Evidence and Moral



### Preface and acknowledgements

хi

Struggle," European Journal for Philosophy of Religion, 2 (2) (2010), pp. 1-18; "Religious Epistemology Personified: God without Natural Theology," in James Stump and Alan Padgett, eds., The Blackwell Companion to Science and Christianity (Blackwell, 2012), pp. 151-161; "God, Flux, and the Agapē Struggle," Oxford Studies in Philosophy of Religion, 4 (2012): 126-143; "Soteriology," in Chad Meister and James Beilby, eds., The Routledge Companion to Modern Christian Thought (Routledge, 2012); "Christianity and Miracles," in Chad Meister, J. P. Moreland, and K. Sweis (eds.), Debating Christian Theism (Oxford University Press, 2013); "Gethsemane Epistemology: Volitional and Evidential," Philosophia Christi, 14 (2) (2012); "Undermining the Case for Evidential Atheism," Religious Studies, 48 (1) (2012): 83-93; the Byron Bitar Memorial Lectures on divine severity at the Geneva College Philosophy Department (2011); the Harvard University Christian Union (2011); the APA Philosophy of Religion Group Symposium (2012; on my book, The Evidence for God); the Biola University Center for Christian Thought (2012); and the North Park University Philosophy Department (2012). I thank the original publishers for permission to draw from these ancestors, and I thank the various audiences for their helpful comments.

At Cambridge University Press, I thank Commissioning Editor Laura Morris, Assistant Editor Anna Lowe, Production Editor Christina Sarigiannidou, and their colleagues for excellent help in the editorial process. I also thank Emma Wildsmith and Liz Hudson for their fine work on the production and the copy-editing of the manuscript.