

Schriften zum  
Strafrecht und  
Strafprozeßrecht 117

Christine Marie Shavers

Criminal Law Dealing  
with Hate Crimes

Functional Comparative Law

Germany vs. USA

## Contents

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Acknowledgements.....   | V   |
| Translation Index of Selected Judicial Terms.....                                     | VII |
| Preface .....   | 1   |
| <b>Chapter 1</b> Methodical Introduction.....   | 9   |
| A.    The Term 'Comparative Law' .....  | 9   |
| B.    Classification and Derivation of Comparative Law from other<br>Disciplines..... | 11  |
| 1. Classification of Comparative Law as a “pure science” .....                        | 11  |
| 2. Comparative Law, a Part of Jurisprudence?.....                                     | 11  |
| 3. Resume.....  | 13  |
| C.    Tasks and Aims of Comparative Law .....   | 14  |
| D.    Methods of Comparative Law .....  | 14  |
| 1. Case Method and Scientific-Theoretical Comparative Law .....                       | 14  |
| 2. The Functional Method of Comparative Law .....                                     | 15  |
| 3. The Delineation of Comparative Law to other Disciplines .....                      | 21  |
| <b>Chapter 2</b> Preparatory Country Report.....                                      | 25  |
| A.    Choosing the Appropriate Reference Country.....                                 | 25  |
| B.    The U.S. Legal System .....   | 26  |
| 1. The Common Law System .....  | 26  |
| 1.1. Origin and Development of the Common Law in the United<br>States of America..... | 27  |
| 1.2. Common Law in the United States of America as of today .....                     | 29  |
| 2. The U.S. Constitution.....   | 32  |
| 2.1. Structure and form of the U.S. Constitution .....                                | 32  |
| 2.2. Separation of Powers.....  | 34  |
| 2.3. Constitutional Powers .....  | 36  |
| 2.4. Summary.....   | 37  |
| 3. U. S. American Criminal Law .....  | 38  |
| 3.1. Constitutional Base of Criminal Law .....  | 38  |
| 3.2. Legislative Competence and Institution .....                                     | 40  |
| 3.2.1. Federal Matters .....  | 40  |
| 3.2.1.1. Federal Legislative Competence .....   | 40  |
| 3.2.1.2. Federal Legislative Institution .....  | 43  |

|          |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| 3.2.2.   | State Matters.....  | 44 |
| 3.2.2.1. | State Legislative Competence .....  | 44 |
| 3.2.2.2. | State Legislative Institution .....   | 46 |
| 3.2.3.   | Summary.....  | 46 |
| 3.3.     | Manifestations of Criminal Law in the United States of<br>America.....          | 47 |
| 3.3.1.   | Federal Criminal Law .....  | 47 |
| 3.3.2.   | State Criminal law .....  | 48 |
| 3.3.3.   | Summary.....  | 51 |
| 3.4.     | Jurisdiction and Competence of Criminal Courts.....                             | 51 |
| 3.4.1.   | Federal Matters.....  | 51 |
| 3.4.1.1. | Federal Courts .....  | 52 |
| 3.4.1.2. | Applicable Federal Law.....   | 53 |
| 3.4.2.   | State Matters.....  | 55 |
| 3.4.2.1. | State Courts .....  | 55 |
| 3.4.2.2. | Applicable State Law.....   | 55 |
| 3.4.3.   | Summary.....  | 56 |
| C.       | The German Legal System.....  | 57 |
| 1.       | The Roman - Germanic Law System.....  | 57 |
| 1.1.     | Origin and Development of Roman – Germanic Law in<br>Germany .....              | 58 |
| 1.2.     | Roman - Germanic Law in Germany as of today.....                                | 61 |
| 2.       | The German Constitution.....  | 63 |
| 2.1.     | Structure and Form of the German Constitution.....                              | 64 |
| 2.2.     | Separation of Powers.....   | 67 |
| 2.3.     | Constitutional Powers .....   | 70 |
| 2.4.     | Summary.....  | 70 |
| 3.       | German Criminal Law .....   | 71 |
| 3.1.     | Constitutional Base of Criminal Law .....                                       | 71 |
| 3.2.     | Legislative Competence and Institution .....                                    | 74 |
| 3.3.     | Competence and Jurisdiction of Criminal Courts.....                             | 76 |
| 3.4.     | Manifestation of Criminal Law.....  | 77 |
| 3.4.1.   | Enhancing Criminal Penalties according to German Criminal<br>Law Doctrine ..... | 78 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 3.4.1.1. Aggravated Statute .....   | 79 |
| 3.4.1.2. Aggravated Ruling Example .....  | 79 |
| 3.4.1.3. Transfer of the General Penalty Enhancement<br>Methodology to the matter of Hate Crime ..... | 80 |
| 3.4.2. Classification of Offenses .....   | 80 |
| 3.5. Sense and Purpose of Penalty according to the German Legal<br>Doctrine.....                      | 82 |
| 3.5.1. Absolute Penal Theory.....   | 83 |
| 3.5.2. Relative Penal Theory.....   | 83 |
| 3.5.2.1. General Prevention Theory .....  | 83 |
| 3.5.2.2. Specific Prevention Theory .....   | 84 |
| 3.5.3. Unification Theories .....   | 85 |
| 3.5.4. Summary.....   | 87 |
| D. Determination of the Legitimate Ambit of Criminal Law in Both<br>Countries.....                    | 88 |
| 1. Purpose of Criminal Law according to the American Penal Theory ..                                  | 89 |
| 1.1. Content of the American Penal Theory: Harm Principle .....                                       | 89 |
| 1.1.1. Liberty-Limiting Principles .....  | 90 |
| 1.1.1.1. Offense-Principle.....   | 90 |
| 1.1.1.2. Legal Paternalism .....  | 91 |
| 1.1.1.3. Legal Moralism.....  | 91 |
| 1.1.1.4. Summary.....   | 92 |
| 1.1.2. Result: Harm-Principle as Main Principle among Possible<br>Others.....                         | 92 |
| 1.1.2.1. Word Meaning of 'Harm' in the Context of the Harm<br>Principle .....                         | 93 |
| a) Setback of Interests .....   | 93 |
| b) The Need to “Wrong” the Other .....  | 94 |
| c) Violation of Rights .....  | 94 |
| 1.1.2.2. Summary: Word Meaning of 'Harm' in the Context of the<br>Harm Principle.....                 | 95 |
| 1.2. Summary: Content of the American Penal Theory: Harm<br>Principle .....                           | 95 |
| 2. Purpose of Criminal Law according to the German Penal Theory ....                                  | 96 |
| 2.1. Content of the German Legal Good Theory .....  | 98 |

|                  |   |            |
|------------------|---|------------|
| 2.1.1.           | Inbuilt or System-Critical Understanding of the Legal Good Doctrine .....                 | 100        |
| 2.1.1.1.         | Inbuilt Understanding of the Legal Good Doctrine .....                                    | 101        |
| 2.1.1.2.         | System-Critical Understanding of the Legal Good Doctrine .....                            | 102        |
| 2.1.2.           | Intermediate Result: System-Critical Understanding of the Legal Good Doctrine .....       | 102        |
| 2.1.3.           | Individual- and Collective Goods .....  | 103        |
| 2.1.4.           | Substantiality of the Term Legal Good.....  | 105        |
| 2.1.5.           | Intermediate Summary.....   | 107        |
| 2.1.6.           | Linking the Legal Good Theory to the Social Theory.....                                   | 107        |
| 2.1.7.           | Constitutional Guideposts .....   | 108        |
| 2.1.8.           | Result of a Critical Understanding of the Legal Good Theory .....                         | 111        |
| 2.2.             | Result according the Content of the German Legal Good Theory .....                        | 111        |
| 3.               | Purpose of Criminal Law according to the American and the German Penal Theory .....       | 112        |
| <b>Chapter 3</b> | <b>Hate Crime in the United States of America .....</b>                                   | <b>115</b> |
| A.               | Course of Action .....  | 115        |
| B.               | Emergence of the Hate Crime Concept in the USA .....                                      | 116        |
| 1.               | The Hate Crime Concept and the Role of Social Movements .....                             | 118        |
| 1.1.             | Social Movements rumored to be the Author of the Social Phenomenon Hate Crime .....       | 118        |
| 1.2.             | Propagation of the Term “Hate Crime” among American Society .....                         | 120        |
| 1.3.             | Hate Crime Legislation as Problem-Solving Approach induced by Social Movements .....      | 121        |
| 1.4.             | Influence of Social Movements on the Wording and the Composition of Hate Crime Laws ..... | 121        |
| 2.               | Clarifying the Role of Social Movements .....   | 122        |
| 2.1.             | Social Problem “Hate Crime” - Real or Constructed? .....                                  | 123        |
| 2.2.             | Propagation of the Term “Hate Crime” among American Society .....                         | 126        |
| 2.3.             | Hate Crime Legislation as a Problem – Solving Approach .....                              | 127        |

|          |   |     |
|----------|---|-----|
| 2.4.     | Influences on the Wording and the Composition of Hate<br>Crime Laws .....           | 128 |
| 3.       | Conclusion: Emergence of the Hate Crime Concept in the USA.....                     | 130 |
| C.       | Beginnings of Hate Crime Legislation.....   | 132 |
| D.       | Effective Hate Crime Laws in the United States of America.....                      | 134 |
| 1.       | Federal Hate Crime Laws .....   | 135 |
| 1.1.     | Civil Rights Legislation.....   | 135 |
| 1.2.     | Hate Crime Statistic Act of 1990 .....  | 137 |
| 1.3.     | Violence Against Women Act.....   | 138 |
| 1.4.     | Hate Crime Sentencing Enhancement Act of 1994 .....                                 | 139 |
| 1.5.     | Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes<br>Prevention Act.....              | 142 |
| 1.6.     | Conclusion of Current Federal Hate Crime Legislation .....                          | 144 |
| 2.       | State Hate Crime Laws .....   | 145 |
| 2.1.     | The Launch of a Model Law.....  | 146 |
| 2.2.     | Legal Formation of State Law .....  | 149 |
| 2.2.1.   | Hate Crime Reporting Statutes .....   | 149 |
| 2.2.2.   | Paramilitary Training Laws.....   | 150 |
| 2.2.3.   | Legislation for Criminalizing Bias-Motivated Behavior .....                         | 150 |
| 2.2.3.1. | Substantial Hate Crime Statutes .....   | 150 |
| a)       | Substantial Hate Crime Statutes referring to previously<br>Penalized Behavior ..... | 151 |
| b)       | “Real” Substantial Hate Crime Statutes .....  | 152 |
| aa)      | Cross Burning Legislation .....   | 153 |
| bb)      | Intimidation Statutes.....  | 154 |
| cc)      | State Civil Rights Laws .....   | 155 |
| dd)      | Conclusion “Real” Substantive Hate Crime Statutes .....                             | 156 |
| 2.2.3.2. | Sentencing Enhancement Laws .....   | 157 |
| 2.2.3.3. | Overview of Various State Laws and their provided<br>Definition of Hate Crime ..... | 159 |
| a)       | Alabama.....  | 159 |
| b)       | California.....   | 160 |
| c)       | Connecticut .....   | 160 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| d) Georgia .....  | 160 |
| e) Louisiana.....   | 160 |
| f) Virginia .....   | 161 |
| g) Washington .....   | 161 |
| 3. Ambiguity of Hate Crime Laws in the American Nation .....  | 162 |
| E. Brief Look at the American Justification of Hate Crime Laws .....  | 166 |
| F. The Standards set by U. S. Supreme Courts .....  | 167 |
| G. Conclusion: Hate Crime in the United States of America .....   | 170 |
| <b>Chapter 4</b> Hate Crime in Germany .....  | 172 |
| A. Historical Background of Hate Crime in its Social and Criminal<br>Political Context (Brief Overview) ..... | 174 |
| B. The Hate Crime "Concept" in Germany .....  | 176 |
| 1. Early Beginnings of Data Collection .....  | 176 |
| 2. Data Collection in the Present Day .....   | 177 |
| 3. Comparison.....  | 180 |
| 4. Operation of the Data Acquisition System .....   | 182 |
| 5. Hate Crime Situation in Case Numbers .....   | 186 |
| 6. Significance of these Numbers .....  | 187 |
| 7. Result: German Hate Crime "Concept" .....  | 188 |
| C. Legal Dealing with Hate Crime .....  | 188 |
| 1. Draft Bill of Baden-Wuerttemberg Drs. 564/00, dated<br>September 21, 2000 .....                            | 189 |
| 2. Draft Bill of Brandenburg Drs. 577/00, dated<br>September 26, 2000 .....                                   | 189 |
| 3. Draft Bill of Mecklenburg-Vorpommern Drs. 759/00, dated<br>November 16, 2000 .....                         | 192 |
| 4. Draft Bill of Brandenburg/Sachsen-Anhalt Drs. 572/07, dated<br>August 20, 2007 .....                       | 193 |
| 5. Draft Bill of the Federal Council Drs. 458/08, dated July 4, 2008 ....                                     | 194 |
| 6. Draft Bill of the Federal Council Drs. 17/9345, dated<br>April 18, 2012.....                               | 195 |
| 7. Descriptive Summary and Evaluation of the Draft Laws.....  | 197 |
| 8. Symbolic Reasoning of Hate Crime Law .....   | 201 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 9. Comparison of the German Drafts with American Laws on Hate Crimes.....                          | 203 |
| 10. Conclusion Legal Dealing with Hate Crime .....   | 204 |
| D. Judicial Dealing with Hate Crime .....  | 204 |
| 1. Judicial Recognition of Bias-Motivation in Homicide .....                                       | 204 |
| 2. Judicial Recognition of Bias-Motivation Apart from Homicides.....                               | 208 |
| 3. Hate Crime in the Light of Section 46 Penal Code .....  | 209 |
| 4. Limited Verifiability of Judicial Sentencing Practice.....                                      | 216 |
| 5. Conclusion .....  | 218 |
| E. Parenthesis .....   | 220 |
| 1. European Criminal Law Initiatives .....   | 220 |
| 1.1. Demands of ECRI for Fighting Hate Crime.....  | 220 |
| 1.2. Demands of the OSCE for Fighting Hate Crime .....   | 224 |
| 2. Conclusion .....  | 225 |
| F. Brief Review.....   | 226 |
| G. Eligibility Check of Hate Crime Legislation according to German Criminal Law Doctrine.....      | 230 |
| 1. The Enactment of a Substantive Norm to Punish Hateful and Bias-Motivated Criminal Behavior..... | 232 |
| 1.1. Possible Goods Protected by a Hate Crime Law.....   | 233 |
| 1.1.1. Human Dignity of the Immediate Victim.....  | 237 |
| 1.1.2. Human Dignity of the Victim's Community.....  | 243 |
| 1.1.3. Public Peace .....  | 245 |
| 1.2. Priority of Protected Legal Goods .....   | 246 |
| 1.3. Legitimacy of Hate Crime Legislation according to the Legal Good Doctrine .....               | 252 |
| 1.3.1. Precepts of the Legal Good Doctrine.....  | 253 |
| 1.3.2. Legitimization of Hate Crime Legislation according to the Social Theory .....               | 257 |
| 1.3.3. Legitimization of Hate Crime Legislation according to Constitutional Provisions.....        | 259 |
| 1.3.3.1. Constitutional Obligation to enact Hate Crime Penal Law.....                              | 260 |



|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 1.3.3.2. Constitutional Criteria to Legitimize Hate Crime Penal Law.....                                      | 263 |
| 1.3.3.3. Constitutional Restriction to enact Hate Crime Penal Law .....                                       | 265 |
| 1.3.4. Jurisdiction of the Federal Constitutional Court .....   | 268 |
| 1.3.5. Result .....   | 270 |
| 1.4. Legitimacy of a Hate Crime Norm in Regard to the Protected Human Dignity .....                           | 270 |
| 1.5. Legitimacy of a Hate Crime Norm in Regard to the Protected Partial Aspect of Public Peace .....          | 271 |
| 1.5.1. Ambiguity and Heterogeneity of the Legal Good Public Peace .....                                       | 271 |
| 1.5.1.1. Meaning of the Objective Part of Public Peace in a Hate Crime Norm .....                             | 272 |
| a) General Legal Safety .....   | 272 |
| b) Protection of Public Peace to Preserve the Current Social Climate .....                                    | 273 |
| aa) Prevention of Vigilante Justice .....   | 273 |
| bb) Prevention of Revenge Related Activity .....  | 274 |
| cc) Exchangeability of Victims .....  | 275 |
| dd) Prevention of Intergroup Relations .....  | 275 |
| ee) Incited Climate .....   | 276 |
| c) Intermediate Summary .....   | 276 |
| d) Protection of a Tolerant Climate to Maintain the current Multi-Cultural, Pluralistic Form of Society ..... | 279 |
| 1.5.1.2. Meaning of the Subjective Part of Public Peace in a Hate Crime Norm .....                            | 280 |
| 1.5.2. Current Protection of Public Peace by the German Penal Code .....                                      | 281 |
| 1.5.3. Result: Meaning of Public Peace in a Hate Crime Norm .....   | 282 |
| 1.6. Hate Crimes and “Worthiness of Punishment“ .....   | 283 |
| 1.6.1. Disvalue of the Result .....   | 284 |
| 1.6.2. Disvalue of the Act .....  | 286 |
| 1.6.3. Intermediate Result .....  | 287 |
| 1.7. Necessity of Punishment .....  | 287 |

|           |  |     |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 1.7.1.    | Necessity of Punishment with regard to Previously<br>Established Criminal Norms.....         | 288 |
| 1.7.1.1.  | Necessity of a Hate Crime Law with regard to the<br>Existence of certain Criminal Norms..... | 288 |
| 1.7.1.2.  | Section 211 Penal Code .....   | 290 |
| 1.7.1.3.  | Sections 223; 224; 226 Penal Code .....  | 292 |
| 1.7.1.4.  | Section 46 Penal Code .....  | 294 |
| a)        | Hate Crime Motives: Part of the Wrong or the Culpability of<br>an Offense .....              | 294 |
| b)        | Culpability Addressed in Section 46 Penal Code and the<br>Influence of the Bias Motive.....  | 296 |
| c)        | Hate Crime Motives are Different.....  | 299 |
| d)        | Result According the Reach of Existing Standards .....                                       | 302 |
| e)        | Necessity with Regard to the German society.....   | 303 |
| 1.7.2.    | Intermediate Result.....   | 304 |
| 1.8.      | Characterization of a Hate Crime Law.....  | 305 |
| 1.8.1.    | Differentiation of Injury Torts and Non-Result-Constituted<br>Offenses .....                 | 306 |
| 1.8.1.1.  | Sensational Features as Supplementary Elements of<br>Criminal Liability .....                | 306 |
| 1.8.1.2.  | Frequency and Severity are no Criminality Prerequisites..                                    | 310 |
| 1.8.2.    | Intermediate Result.....   | 312 |
| 1.9.      | Summary According a Separate Offense .....   | 313 |
| 1.10.     | Prerequisites of Penalization of Hate Crime .....  | 314 |
| 1.10.1.   | Objective Constituent Elements of a Norm.....  | 314 |
| 1.10.2.   | Protected Characteristics.....   | 315 |
| 1.10.2.1. | Immutable or Fundamental Characteristics.....  | 315 |
| 1.10.2.2. | Social and Historical Context .....  | 318 |
| 1.10.2.3. | Excluded Characteristics .....   | 319 |
| 1.10.2.4. | Implementation Issues .....  | 320 |
| 1.10.2.5. | The Protection of Persons Affiliated with Principal Hate<br>Crime Victims.....               | 320 |
| 1.10.2.6. | Conclusion .....   | 322 |

|   |       |
|---|-------|
| 1.10.2.7. Defining the Motive in Regard to Consequential Differences .....                                    | 322   |
| 1.10.2.8. Drawn Precepts of the Racial Animus- and the Discriminatory Selection Model for Implementation..... | 323   |
| 1.10.2.9. Unimportant Error in Persona .....  | 324   |
| 1.10.2.10. Conclusion .....   | 325   |
| 2. Further Possibilities of Explicit Implementation of Hate Crime Legislation.....                            | 326   |
| 2.1. Aggravated Statute .....   | 326   |
| 2.2. Implementation of Aggravated Exemplified Rules .....   | 329   |
| 2.3. The Enactment of a Rule for Judicial Penalty Assessment .....  | 331   |
| 2.3.1. Scope of Culpability that Could be Addressed by such a Regulation Technique .....                      | 331   |
| 2.3.2. Legislator's Duty of Providing the Abstract Penal Frame in Regard to the Type of Crime .....           | 332   |
| 2.3.3. Hate Crime Enhancement - a Decision of a Court drawn in Equity and Good Conscience.....                | 333   |
| 2.3.4. Hate Crime Motives are to be Differentiated from Such Exemplarily Named in Section 46 Penal Code ..... | 333   |
| 2.4. Implementation of an Extra Rule in the General Part of the Penal Code .....                              | 334   |
| 3. Final decision .....   | 336   |
| 4. Summarizing Aspects .....  | 337   |
| German Abstract.....  | XIX   |
| Bibliography .....  | XXIII |