

Conceptual Foundations and Case Studies of Salesforce Compensation Plans

Bearbeitet von
Marlon Braumann

1. Auflage 2016. Taschenbuch. 268 S. Paperback
ISBN 978 3 7323 8001 5
Format (B x L): 17 x 24 cm
Gewicht: 513 g

[Wirtschaft > Spezielle Betriebswirtschaft > Absatz & Vertrieb](#)

Zu [Leseprobe](#)

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei

The logo for beck-shop.de features the text "beck-shop.de" in a bold, red, sans-serif font. Above the "i" in "shop" are three red dots of increasing size. Below the main text, the words "DIE FACHBUCHHANDLUNG" are written in a smaller, red, all-caps, sans-serif font.

beck-shop.de
DIE FACHBUCHHANDLUNG

Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung [beck-shop.de](#) ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

Table of contents

Foreword	I
Acknowledgements	III
Overview of contents	V
Table of contents	VII
List of figures	XII
List of tables	XVI
List of abbreviations	XIX
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Relevance	1
1.2 Research objectives and research questions	4
1.3 Research approach and thesis structure	5
2 Theoretical foundations of salesforce compensation	9
2.1 Theories of the effectiveness of outcome-contingent compensation	10
2.1.1 Agency Theory	10
2.1.2 Transaction Cost Theory	14
2.1.3 Cognitive Evaluation Theory	18
2.1.4 Motivation Crowding Theory	23
2.2 Theories of salesforce compensation plan design	26
2.2.1 Expectancy Theory	26
2.2.2 Goal-Setting Theory	28
2.2.3 Prospect Theory	31
2.3 Theories of salesforce compensation plan design and implementation	35
2.3.1 Equity Theory	35
2.3.2 Concept of Organizational Justice	38
2.4 Overview of theoretical foundations	41
3 Literature review	45
3.1 Evolution of the salesforce management literature	45
3.2 Processes of salesforce compensation plan design	48
3.2.1 Classification of existing plan design processes	49
3.3 Seven key research questions in the salesforce compensation literature	51
3.3.1 How to find the balance between behavior- and outcome-based control?	51
3.3.2 How to determine salespeople's target pay level?	55
3.3.3 How to determine the portion of outcome-contingent compensation?	57
3.3.4 How to use quota-based bonuses?	61
3.3.5 How to use commissions?	65

3.3.6	How to use sales contests?	68
3.3.7	How to use material, non-monetary incentives?	71
4	Salesforce compensation plan design and implementation.....	75
4.1	Introduction to the developed <i>TAILORED</i> process.....	75
4.2	Development of the <i>TAILORED</i> framework.....	78
4.3	Dimensions of the <i>TAILORED</i> framework.....	79
4.3.1	Task and type profile of the salesforce.....	79
4.3.1.1	Task profile of the salesforce	79
4.3.1.2	Type of sales personnel.....	82
4.3.2	<i>Assessing salesforce performance</i>	84
4.3.2.1	Performance measures	85
4.3.2.1.1	Number of measures	85
4.3.2.1.2	Unit of measurement.....	86
4.3.2.2	Nature of performance metric.....	87
4.3.2.3	Linkage of performance measures	89
4.3.2.3.1	Performance measure weighting.....	89
4.3.2.3.2	Performance measure matrix	89
4.3.2.3.3	Gatekeeper linkage.....	92
4.3.3	Insights	93
4.3.3.1	Labor market insights	93
4.3.3.2	Internal standards	95
4.3.4	Level and leverage	96
4.3.4.1	Target pay level.....	96
4.3.4.2	Target pay range	100
4.3.4.3	Leverage.....	101
4.3.5	Other incentives.....	111
4.3.5.1	Fixed non-monetary incentives.....	113
4.3.5.2	Outcome-contingent non-monetary incentives	115
4.3.5.2.1	Merchandise and travel	115
4.3.5.2.2	Recognition programs	117
4.3.5.2.3	Sales contests	118
4.3.6	Relationship.....	121
4.3.6.1	Use of commission elements	121
4.3.6.2	Use of bonus elements	123
4.3.6.3	Performance quota level	125

4.3.6.4	Payout entry level	128
4.3.6.5	Use of overachievement commissions or bonuses.....	130
4.3.6.6	Use of compensation caps.....	134
4.3.6.7	Measurement period.....	137
4.3.6.8	Use of peer ranking elements.....	139
4.3.7	Evaluation.....	141
4.3.7.1	Is the compensation plan just and legal?.....	142
4.3.7.1.1	Justice assessment.....	142
4.3.7.1.2	Legal compliance	144
4.3.7.2	Does the plan attract the desired type of sales personnel?.....	144
4.3.7.2.1	Competitiveness check.....	144
4.3.7.2.2	Comparativeness check.....	145
4.3.7.2.3	Retention check.....	146
4.3.7.3	Does the plan energize salespeople?.....	147
4.3.7.3.1	Payout distribution check.....	147
4.3.7.3.2	Engagement rate check	148
4.3.7.3.3	Excitement index check	149
4.3.7.3.4	Quota accuracy check	149
4.3.7.4	Does the plan direct salespeople towards the desired activities?.....	151
4.3.7.4.1	Pay-performance check.....	151
4.3.7.4.2	MECEness check of compensation-relevant performance measures	152
4.3.7.5	How much transition risk does the plan modification entail?.....	153
4.3.7.5.1	Who gets helped and who gets hurt analysis	153
4.3.7.5.2	Income loss analysis and revenue at risk check.....	154
4.3.7.5.3	Cost efficiency check.....	155
4.3.8	Deployment.....	157
4.3.8.1	Manage transition risk.....	157
4.3.8.2	Identify stakeholders and understand their needs	159
4.3.8.3	Communicate a salesforce compensation plan	163
5	Compensation plan design at <i>Toolio AG</i>	168
5.1	Particularities and objectives of the case study.....	168
5.2	Background information of <i>Toolio AG</i>	168
5.2.1	Business operations and product portfolio	168
5.2.2	Customer and salesforce structure at <i>Toolio AG</i>	169

5.3	Development process of the case study	171
5.3.1	Contact with <i>Toolio AG</i>	171
5.3.2	Overview of project progression	171
5.3.3	Data collection principles.....	173
5.4	Modified compensation plan design at <i>Toolio AG</i>	174
5.4.1	Functions of the salesforce compensation plan at <i>Toolio AG</i>	174
5.4.2	Modified task and type profile of the salesforce	176
5.4.3	Modified performance assessment of the salesforce.....	178
5.4.4	Modified insights into compensation plan design.....	181
5.4.5	Modified target pay level and leverage	182
5.4.6	Modified use of other incentives.....	183
5.4.7	Modified relationship between pay and performance	186
5.5	Discussion of plan modifications in a change logic.....	189
5.5.1	Discussion of modified performance assessment.....	191
5.5.1.1	Reduction of the number of performance measures	191
5.5.1.2	Gatekeeper linkage of sales revenue and average contribution margin....	192
5.5.1.3	Abolishment of the recruiting and the lead generation premium	193
5.5.2	Discussion of the modified leverage	194
5.5.2.1	Reduction of the outcome-contingent portion of compensation.....	194
5.5.3	Discussion of the modified pay-performance relationship	196
5.5.3.1	Reduced usage of peer-based performance rankings.....	196
5.5.3.2	Cumulative twelve-month measurement period	198
5.6	Research contribution of this case study	199
6	Plan evaluation at <i>Technology GmbH</i>	201
6.1	Particularities and objectives of the case study.....	201
6.2	Background information of <i>Technology GmbH</i>	201
6.2.1	Business operations and product portfolio	201
6.2.2	Customer and salesforce structure.....	202
6.3	Development process of the case study	206
6.3.1	Contact with <i>Technology GmbH</i>	206
6.3.2	Overview of project progression	207
6.3.3	Data collection principles.....	208
6.4	Evaluation of the team leader compensation plan.....	209
6.4.1	Evaluation scope and performance data base.....	209
6.4.2	Application of selected plan evaluation techniques	210
6.4.2.1	Organizational objective 1: Less selling-orientation of team leaders.....	210

6.4.2.1.1	Complexity check	211
6.4.2.1.2	Engagement rate / excitement index for product and accessory sales ...	212
6.4.2.1.3	Who gets helped and who gets hurt analysis	213
6.4.2.1.4	Income loss analysis and revenue at risk check.....	214
6.4.2.2	Organizational objective 2: More team leadership and development.....	215
6.4.2.2.1	Engagement rate / excitement index for the team leadership premium.	215
6.4.2.2.2	Quota accuracy check of the team leadership premium	217
6.4.2.3	Organizational objective 3: More recruiting and training of new entrants	218
6.4.2.3.1	Engagement rate / excitement index of the recruiting premium.....	218
6.4.2.3.2	Effectiveness of the training premium	219
6.4.3	Evaluation summary.....	220
6.5	Research contribution of this case study	221
7	Conclusion	223
7.1	Managerial contribution	223
7.2	Academic contribution	223
7.3	Avenues for future research	225
	Bibliography.....	227