

CONTENTS

	<i>Notes on contributors and editors</i>	page xi
	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xv
	<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvi
1	<b>Transnational law, judges and refugees in the European Union</b>	1
	HÉLÈNE LAMBERT	
	Transnational law, policy harmonization and refugees in the European Union	3
	Exploring transnational refugee law	9
2	<b>Where is the reference? On the limited role of transnational dialogue in Belgian refugee law</b>	17
	JEAN-YVES CARLIER AND DIRK VANHEULE	
	Introduction	17
	The refugee status determination process in Belgium	17
	Migration and asylum law	17
	Admissibility of the claim	18
	From 1988 to 1 June 2007	18
	Since 1 June 2007	20
	Determination of the merits of the claim	20
	From 1988 to 1 June 2007	20
	Since 1 June 2007	21
	The legal framework within which the asylum authorities operate	22
	Survey of the case law in Belgium	25
	Detailed analysis	25
	The interpretation of the Refugee Convention	25
	Similar facts	27
	Civil law	29
	Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights	29

vi	CONTENTS
	On the absence of a transnational dialogue 30
	A rational account on the absence of a transnational dialogue 30
	Linguistic constraints 30
	Time constraints 31
	Access to foreign case law 31
	A cultural account on the absence of a transnational dialogue 31
	The style of judgments and the legal culture 31
	The conceptual legal framework within which the judge operates 32
	The domestic dynamic surrounding refugee law cases 33
3	<b>Transnational refugee law in the French courts: deliberate or compelled change in judicial attitudes? 35</b>
	HÉLÈNE LAMBERT AND JANINE SILGA
	Introduction 35
	The asylum decision-making process in France 37
	The use of foreign law by French courts: empirical focus on refugees and asylum jurisprudence 41
	Methodology 42
	Council of State 43
	Refugee Appeals Board/National Asylum Court 43
	Reasons for the lack of transnational traffic of jurisprudence between France and its EU partners 48
	Rational account 48
	Language 49
	Time constraints and access 49
	Training 50
	Cultural account 51
	Style of judgments 52
	The conceptual legal framework within which the judge operates 52
	The domestic dynamic surrounding cases 53
	Conclusion 55
4	<b>The use of foreign asylum jurisprudence in the German administrative courts 57</b>
	PAUL TIEDEMANN
	Introduction 57
	The role of jurisprudence and academic writing in German

CONTENTS vii

refugee law cases	62
The role of jurisprudence and academic writing in the interpretation of the law	62
The use of foreign law in the process of fact-finding	66
The use of foreign jurisprudence by German courts – empirical study	67
References and discussion of foreign case law in published court decisions	67
Survey among German administrative court judges	73
Reasons for the lack of reference to foreign jurisprudence	78
Access and language	78
The ‘Two-Worlds Doctrine’	80
<b>5 The solipsistic legal monologue of Italian authorities</b>	<b>85</b>
FRANCESCO MESSINEO	
A brief introduction to the Italian legal mind	85
International protection in Italy: the institutional framework	89
Old principles of recent implementation	89
The comparatively small number of asylum applications until 2007 and the recent increase	91
The two Italian asylum procedures	92
Constitutional asylum: lack of implementing procedures	93
Refugee status determination and subsidiary protection	94
The use of foreign law by authorities deciding on international protection	99
Deciding authorities	99
Administrative authorities: Central, Local and Integrated Commissions	99
Judicial authorities: administrative and civil courts	102
The opinion of selected key practitioners	104
Conclusion	105
<b>6 ‘Thou shalt not judge’ ... Spanish judicial decision-making in asylum and the role of judges in interpreting the law</b>	<b>107</b>
MARÍA-TERESA GIL-BAZO	
Introduction	107
Asylum as a subjective right of individuals in the Spanish legal order	110
The Spanish asylum procedure	114

viii	CONTENTS
	Admissibility procedure 114
	Applications at the border 115
	In-country applications 116
	Examination on the merits 116
	The Spanish legal order: the role of judges in interpreting international treaties and the value of jurisprudence and precedent 117
	Analysis of case law and main findings 119
	Conclusion 123
7	<b>The British judiciary and the search for reciprocal relations with its continental partners 125</b>
	HÉLÈNE LAMBERT, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF RAZA HUSAIN
	Introduction 125
	Conception of asylum and the decision-making process in the United Kingdom 127
	Initial decision 128
	Appeals 129
	The use of foreign law in the British courts: empirical focus 131
	House of Lords 132
	English Court of Appeal and Scottish Court of Session 134
	High Court 137
	Administrative Court 138
	Asylum and Immigration Tribunal 138
	Reasons for the lack of traffic in jurisprudence between the UK and its EU partners 140
	Rational account 141
	Language 141
	Time constraints and access 141
	Training 142
	Cultural account 143
	Style of judgments 143
	The conceptual framework within which the judge operates 144
	The domestic dynamic surrounding refugee law cases 145
	Conclusion 148
8	<b>Speaking across borders: the limits and potential transnational dialogue on refugee law in Ireland 150</b>
	SIOBHÁN MULLALLY
	Introduction 150

CONTENTS ix

Asylum determination in Ireland: the process	153
Status of foreign case law	159
Selected decisions of the Refugee Appeals Tribunal	166
Conclusion	168
<b>9 The absence of foreign law in Danish asylum decisions – quasi-judicial monologue with domestic policy focus?</b>	<b>170</b>
JENS VEDSTED-HANSEN	
Introduction	170
Procedure and organization of the decision-making process	171
General features of judicial control of the executive	171
Admissibility issues and first instance examination of asylum applications	172
Appeals procedure	173
Special review procedure in manifestly unfounded cases	175
The use and absence of foreign law	176
Method and premise of the study	176
Case law reports from the Refugee Appeals Board	177
Survey of practising lawyers	178
Explanatory context – and potential challenges to the absence of transnational law	179
Denmark’s reservation towards EC harmonization	179
Finality clause: no ordinary judicial review	181
Dualistic tradition and judicial pragmatism	182
The ‘domestication’ dilemma – and the emerging challenges	184
<b>10 Foreign law in Swedish judicial decision-making: playing a limited role in refugee law cases</b>	<b>186</b>
REBECCA STERN	
Introduction	186
Sweden and public international law	187
The judicial system	188
General comments	188
The Swedish asylum procedure	189
A survey of national case law on asylum	191
Methodology	191
Government	192
Aliens Appeal Board	193
Migration Courts	194

Migration Court of Appeal	194
‘Invisible traffic’	195
General comments on the survey	196
Possible reasons for the lack of reference to foreign jurisprudence in Swedish refugee law judgments	197
Rational account	198
Cultural account	199
Conclusion	202
<b>11 The search for the one, true meaning ...</b>	<b>204</b>
GUY S. GOODWIN-GILL	
Introduction	204
Basic principles of interpretation	206
‘Subsequent practice’ and the UNHCR <i>Handbook</i>	209
The theory and practice of treaty interpretation	213
‘Subsequent practice’ and ‘foreign citation’	217
The role of UNHCR	218
Approaches to interpretation in the case law of the United Kingdom	220
The search for ‘autonomous meaning’	222
The place of the UNHCR <i>Handbook</i> in the pursuit of autonomous meaning	224
Express words and the limits to interpretation	227
Interpretation in the face of ambiguity or obscurity	229
The ‘living instrument’ approach	231
Conclusion	237
<i>Bibliography</i>	242
<i>Index</i>	255