

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Tables	xxi
List of Contributing Authors	xxiii
Contributions Listed by Authors.....	xxv
List of Abbreviations.....	xxvii
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 The Collaborative Research Center 392	2
1.2 The Basic Approach.....	3
1.3 General structure.....	6
2 Case Study Vacuum Cleaner: From Vision to Reality	9
2.1 Clarifying the Task.....	9
2.2 Conceptual Design	19
2.3 Embodiment and Detailed Design	26
2.4 Result: The Vacuum Cleaner Prototype.....	28
2.5 Conclusions.....	33
3 The Product Life Cycle.....	35
3.1 Material Processing.....	36
3.1.1 New Method for the Holistic Assessment of Material Processing	36
3.1.2 Material circulations for life cycle assessment of environmentally friendly products.....	47
3.2 Production	50
3.2.1 Method for Inventory Analysis of Production Processes.....	51
3.2.2 Forming Processes	55
3.2.3 Machining Processes.....	66
3.2.4 Surface Treatment of Metallic Work-pieces.....	76

3.2.5 Injection Moulding of Plastics	85
3.3 The Use Phase in Design for Environment	92
3.3.1 Structuring of the Use Phase.....	93
3.3.2 Methodical Support for Product Developers	98
3.4 End of Life	106
3.5 Know-how Provision via Activity Guidelines	119
4 Environmental Assessment	127
4.1 An Introduction to Life Cycle Assessment	127
4.2 Impact Assessment.....	129
4.2.1 The Methodology of Impact Assessment.....	129
4.2.2 Impact Categories	132
4.2.3 New Impact Categories for Product Design	134
4.2.4 Aggregation	138
4.2.5 Uncertainty in Environmental Assessment.....	140
4.3 Environmental Assessment in the Product Development Process	147
4.3.1 Widely-Used Methods for Simplified Environmental	
Assessment in Product Design.....	148
4.3.2 The Meta-Method Approach.....	150
5 From the Market to Holistically Optimised Product Concepts	155
5.1 Innovation Process and Sustainable Development	156
5.1.1 Integration of DfE in Companies.....	157
5.1.2 Findings and Derived Research Questions	161
5.1.3 The Sustainable Innovation Process	165
5.2 Marketability Issues of Environmentally Friendly Products	170
5.2.1 Consumer Behaviour and Environmentally Friendly Products	170
5.2.2 Perception and Purchase of Environmentally Friendly Products	172
5.2.3 Ecological Segments of Customers and Products.....	175
5.2.4 Knowledge Activation, Information and Buying Behaviour .	177
5.2.5 Enhancing the Attractiveness of Environmentally Friendly	
Products	180
5.2.6 Conclusion	183
5.3 Ergonomics in Environmentally Friendly Product Design	184
5.3.1 Background.....	184
5.3.2 Methodological Issues	185
5.3.3 Empirical Research Program	189
5.3.4 Conclusion	191
5.4 Requirements for Environmentally Friendly and Marketable	
Products.....	194
5.4.1 Quality Function Deployment (QFD) – Translating Customer	
Specifications into Product Characteristics	194

5.4.2 Life Cycle Quality Function Deployment (LC-QFD).....	196
5.4.3 Interrelation Matrix.....	202
5.4.4 Strategy Portfolio.....	204
5.4.5 Extended Requirements List.....	205
5.5 Systematic Concept Development.....	206
5.5.1 Strategy-Based Design for Environment.....	207
5.5.2 Stepwise Concretising of Principle Solutions.....	210
5.5.3 Comparative Evaluation of Product Concepts.....	214
5.5.4 Size-Ranged Products.....	216
5.6 Interdisciplinary Teamwork in Product Development.....	219
5.6.1 Task-Related Diversity as Main Characteristic of Interdisciplinary Teams.....	219
5.6.2 Benefits of Task-Related Diversity.....	220
5.6.3 Drawbacks of Task-Related Diversity.....	221
5.6.4 Interventions.....	223
6 From Concept to Application.....	225
6.1 Integrated Model for Sustainable Product Design.....	227
6.1.1 Integrated Approach of CRC 392.....	227
6.1.2 State-of-the-Art: Methods and Tools.....	229
6.1.3 The Information Model in CRC 392.....	234
6.1.4 Methodology for Development of an Information Model.....	237
6.1.5 Methods and Tools for Modelling the Integrated Model.....	248
6.2 The ecoDesign Workbench.....	259
6.2.1 Requirements for an Integrated IT Environment.....	260
6.2.2 Architecture of the ecoDesign Workbench.....	265
6.2.3 Life Cycle Assessment for Computer Aided Design (LCAD).....	270
6.3 Evaluation of the Usability of the ecoDesign Workbench.....	282
6.3.1 Usability as Main Objective.....	282
6.3.2 Formative Approach.....	282
6.3.3 Previous Studies.....	283
6.3.4 Method.....	283
6.3.5 Results.....	284
6.3.6 Key Conclusions.....	286
7 Final Summary.....	287
References.....	293
Index.....	315

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1. Matrix-Organisation of CRC 392	3
Fig. 1.2. The vision of the CRC392	4
Fig. 1.3. Concept of the Integrated Product and Process Development (IPPD)	5
Fig. 1.4. The ecoDesign Workbench.....	6
Fig. 2.1. Requirements gathered from different sources.....	10
Fig. 2.2. Example results of the market analyses: decision factors of the purchase	11
Fig. 2.3. Environmental impacts of a vacuum cleaner with filter bags.....	12
Fig. 2.4. User-product interface	13
Fig. 2.5. Shadowing of users while vacuuming	15
Fig. 2.6. Checklists for identifying environmental requirements on products.....	16
Fig. 2.7. LCA of different systems of vacuum cleaners.....	17
Fig. 2.8. Eco-indicator 99 of the use phase of vacuum cleaners with water filter (L'Ecologico) in comparison with paper filter (Bosch)	17
Fig. 2.9. Intake of dust through different cleaner heads.....	17
Fig. 2.10. LC-QFD of a vacuum cleaner (excerpt)	18
Fig. 2.11. Extended requirements list including the functional unit (excerpt)	19
Fig. 2.12. Combining principal solutions using a morphological matrix..	21
Fig. 2.13. Systematic variation of a cleaner head with brushes as a working surface	21
Fig. 2.14. Function model for the filter system of an existing vacuum cleaner (excerpt).....	23
Fig. 2.15. Tensing an abrasive belt with cooling fluid (on the left side) led to the endless filter tape (on the right side)	24
Fig. 2.16. Improved filter box after Environmental-FMEA.....	24
Fig. 2.17. Loss of suction of the developed filter system compared existing systems	25
Fig. 2.18. ecoDesign Workbench of CRC 392	27
Fig. 2.19. Brush cleaner head with enclosed air canals	28
Fig. 2.20. Brush cleaner head with transparent cover	29

Fig. 2.21. Exploded view of the brush cleaner head showing the contra-directional double-helix arrangement of the brushes 29

Fig. 2.22. Design concept to reduce the process conditional loss of suction power..... 30

Fig. 2.23. CAD prototype design of the vacuum cleaner..... 30

Fig. 2.24. Function prototype of the vacuum cleaner 31

Fig. 2.25. Function prototype of the filter box..... 32

Fig. 2.26. Arrangement of the measuring set..... 32

Fig. 2.27. Arrangement of the user feedback device at the cleaner head.. 33

Fig. 3.1. The process chain of the basic oxygen converter steel with steps having environmental consequences 37

Fig. 3.2. Material flow network for the steel production process 38

Fig. 3.3. System matrix of the example network and solution (input (-), output (+)) 40

Fig. 3.4. Blast furnaces and important chemical reactions (Taube 1998). 41

Fig. 3.5. Solution for the network model for 1 kg steel (input (-), output (+)) 42

Fig. 3.6. Overview of tube manufacturing processes..... 42

Fig. 3.7. Raw materials and energy consumption for the manufacturing of a longitudinal welded tube from conventional slab cast construction steel (left) and from conventional slab cast primary aluminium (right)..... 45

Fig. 3.8. Comparing report of steel and aluminium tubes. Method: Eco-indicator 99(I) / Europe EI 99 I/I..... 46

Fig. 3.9. Qualitative analysis for steel production and recycling (Wolf 2001) 47

Fig. 3.10. The mass route of steel in life cycle 48

Fig. 3.11. Abbreviations used for mass energy and route of steel 50

Fig. 3.12. Methods and standards for an LCI of production processes..... 52

Fig. 3.13. Generic forming process chain (Schlotheim 2000) 56

Fig. 3.14. System boundary for forming processes..... 57

Fig. 3.15. Direct and indirect extrusion of work-piece (Schlotheim 2000) 58

Fig. 3.16. Hydro-mechanical deep drawing (Schuler 1996) 62

Fig. 3.17. Comparison between deep drawing and hydro-mechanical drawing..... 63

Fig. 3.18. Experimental set-up for validation of deep drawing process (Groche 2002) 64

Fig. 3.19. Comparison of force courses of tailored blank and pure blanks (Groche 2002) 65

Fig. 3.20. Energy consumption of tailored blanks for the above described geometry (Groche 2002) 66

Fig. 3.21. Milling of aluminium..... 67

Fig. 3.22. LCI system boundary of the machining process.....	68
Fig. 3.23. Process chain of the machining process (cf. Schiefer 2001)	69
Fig. 3.24. Determination of power characteristics and energy requirements of machine tools (Schiefer 2001)	71
Fig. 3.25. Distribution of the secondary energy demand at different locations of the plant (cf. Schiefer 2001)	72
Fig. 3.26. Example of fuzzy sets of the machining process (Schiefer 2001)	76
Fig. 3.27. Comparison of the film structure of a Chromium film deposited at different pressures: <i>left</i> , Argon pressure 1×10^{-2} mbar; <i>right</i> , Argon pressure 3×10^{-2} mbar; magnification is 3000x in both cases.....	81
Fig. 3.28. Schematic representation of the deposition step of magnetron sputtering for the determination of the energy consumption.	84
Fig. 3.29. Substrate holder with substrates rotating around multiple axes	85
Fig. 3.30. The injection moulding cycle	86
Fig. 3.31. Procedure to establish the part specific energy consumption for an injection moulding machine	88
Fig. 3.32. Specific energy consumption for the injection moulding of a model part from thermoplastic materials: acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), polyamide (PA), polypropylene (PP) and polycarbonate (PC).....	89
Fig. 3.33. System boundary for injection moulding of thermosetting materials	90
Fig. 3.34. The use phase within the product's life cycle (Schott 1998, Birkhofer and Grüner 2002).....	92
Fig. 3.35. Structuring the use phase in sub-phases (Dannheim et al. 1998, Dannheim 1999).....	93
Fig. 3.36. Usage behaviour and environmental impacts (Dannheim et al. 1998)	96
Fig. 3.37. Methods to support product developers in analysing environmental impacts emerging from the use phase and accompanying processes	98
Fig. 3.38. The Use-Phase-Analysis-Matrix (excerpt) (Oberender and Birkhofer 2003a)	99
Fig. 3.39. Steps for processing the UPA-Matrix (Oberender and Birkhofer 2003a).....	100
Fig. 3.40. Checklists to support the product developer in applying the UPA-Matrix (excerpt) (Oberender and Birkhofer 2003a) (part 1).....	100
Fig. 3.41. Transferability of models.....	102
Fig. 3.42. Behavioural structure and components of a washing machine	103
Fig. 3.43. Eco-FMEA to analyse the user behaviour (Dannheim et al. 1998)	103

Fig. 3.44. Dependencies between the users' behaviour and inventory data	104
Fig. 3.45. Effect chain of a vehicle from energy storing device to movement and influence of the user on inventory data	105
Fig. 3.46. The matrix of Eco-Value Analysis of a coffee maker (excerpt) (Oberender and Birkhofer 2004)	105
Fig. 3.47. Integration of the end of life into product development (Szpadt et al. 2002)	107
Fig. 3.48. Mass flow of material after the use phase (Wolf 2001)	108
Fig. 3.49. Decontamination effect due to removal of individual hazardous parts of WEEE (Cuhls et al. 1998)	110
Fig. 3.50. Disposal of residual waste in European States (Hogg et al. 2001)	114
Fig. 3.51. Approach for the design of activity guidelines	120
Fig. 3.52. Categories of control-levers	121
Fig. 3.53. Example for a rule for the environmentally friendly process .	124
Fig. 3.54. Example for activity guideline spreadsheet (page 1)	125
Fig. 3.55. Example for activity guideline spreadsheet (page 2)	126
Fig. 4.1. Phases of a LCA according to ISO 14040, 14042 (modified) ..	128
Fig. 4.2. System boundaries of a waste management system	130
Fig. 4.3. Environmental compartments	131
Fig. 4.4. Results from the case study "reduction of the odour flow rate" ..	136
Fig. 4.5. Method set and aggregation according to "Darmstädter Modell" (Pant 2000, Rohde et al. 2004) (abbreviations see table Table 4.4)	139
Fig. 4.6. Binary logic (classic set theory) vs. fuzzy logic (fuzzy set theory) (Atik 2001)	144
Fig. 4.7. Method tree for the analysis and modelling of uncertainty in LCA (Atik 2001)	146
Fig. 4.8. Opportunities to influence and assess environmental effects during the product development process (Atik 2001)	147
Fig. 4.9. Schematic representation of the Eco Indicator 95 weighting method (Goedkoop 1995)	149
Fig. 4.10. Criteria for the determination of weighting factors (Atik 2001)	151
Fig. 4.11. Presentation of the Meta-Method results illustrated by an industrial high pressure cleaner	152
Fig. 4.12. Comparative application of the Meta-Method in case studies (Atik 2001)	153
Fig. 4.13. Screenshot of the TEA graphical user interface	154
Fig. 5.1. Business objectives	158
Fig. 5.2. Motivation for carrying out environment related measures	158

Fig. 5.3. Influences of different company departments on environmental protection measures.....	159
Fig. 5.4. Use of LCA in product development.....	160
Fig. 5.5. Knowledge pyramid (best-practice model)	161
Fig. 5.6. System innovation as a result of single technological and social innovations (Qualitative sketch)	163
Fig. 5.7. The innovation process.....	165
Fig. 5.8. The model of the sustainable innovation process.....	169
Fig. 5.9. Different dimensions of the research programme on marketability of environmentally friendly products.....	171
Fig. 5.10. Two electric kettles with different prestige values and identical functional value.....	180
Fig. 5.11. Importance of attributes for the value of individual pleasure (left) and for the prestige value (right) (1=not important; 5=very important)	181
Fig. 5.12. Preference of surface materials (0=low preference, 25=high preference)	182
Fig. 5.13. Screenshot of simulation environment CHESS	188
Fig. 5.14. Overall structure of a HoQ	195
Fig. 5.15. Calculation of the importance of the product characteristics (excerpt from a HoC)	196
Fig. 5.16. Procedure of the Life Cycle Quality Function Deployment (LC-QFD)	197
Fig. 5.17. Sources for customer specifications	198
Fig. 5.18. Kano diagram of customer satisfaction	200
Fig. 5.19. Questionnaire of the Simplified Kano Method (excerpt)	201
Fig. 5.20. VoC and VoE interrelation matrix of the vacuum cleaner (excerpt)	203
Fig. 5.21. Strategy portfolio (excerpt)	204
Fig. 5.22. Extended requirements list including the functional unit (excerpt)	206
Fig. 5.23. Examples of direct and indirect strategies (Grüner 2001)	207
Fig. 5.24. Decision diagram for the use phase with sensitivity analysis for a vacuum cleaner.....	208
Fig. 5.25. The product model pyramid exemplified by the development of a vacuum cleaner, adapted from Ernzer and Birkhofer (Ernzer and Birkhofer 2003b).....	211
Fig. 5.26. Connection between the successive concretising of product models and the design degrees of freedom (Sauer et al. 2003).....	214
Fig. 5.27. Examples for alternative vacuum cleaner concepts.....	214
Fig. 5.28. Polar diagram representing the holistic rating of three vacuum cleaner concepts	216

Fig. 5.29. Relative environmental impact diagram of the examined DC motor series 218

Fig. 5.30. Postulated consequences of task-related diversity in teams ... 222

Fig. 5.31. Postulated effects of integration activities 222

Fig. 6.1. Interactions within an integrated product model 226

Fig. 6.2. Information model (schematic view)..... 228

Fig. 6.3. Simplified information model in UML..... 235

Fig. 6.4. A cut-out of feature spreadsheet 237

Fig. 6.5. Environmental dictionary: definition of concept 241

Fig. 6.6. Environmental dictionary: opinions for every concept..... 242

Fig. 6.7. UML structure reference model for production processes 246

Fig. 6.8. Transformation from process model to object model 247

Fig. 6.9. Variants for choosing processes for the transformation 248

Fig. 6.10. Methods-building a software environment for development of an integrated information model 249

Fig. 6.11. Building of object-oriented model..... 250

Fig. 6.12. An example about classes and their relationships 251

Fig. 6.13. Implementation of an operation using GUI 252

Fig. 6.14. GUI for case differentiation..... 253

Fig. 6.15. GUIs for loop..... 254

Fig. 6.16. Spreadsheet template for partial models..... 255

Fig. 6.17. A cut-out of the partial model “Deep Drawing” 256

Fig. 6.18. A cut-out of inventory data set 257

Fig. 6.19. Methodical framework for the adaptation of the information model..... 258

Fig. 6.20. Modules of the ecoDesign Workbench (1:CAD, 2:LCAD, 3:LCM)..... 259

Fig. 6.21. Vision of an efficient collaborative life cycle design 261

Fig. 6.22. Concept for the data workflow in the ecoDesign Workbench 264

Fig. 6.23. System integration with system-specific and system-neutrally interfaces (Anderl and Trippner 2000)..... 265

Fig. 6.24. Architecture of the ecoDesign Workbench..... 266

Fig. 6.25. Data exchange approach between CAD system and ecoDesign Workbench over an API..... 268

Fig. 6.26. Process and product views in the Life Cycle Modeller 269

Fig. 6.27. Flow chart of the environmental assessment in the LCAD ... 272

Fig. 6.28. Fuzzy arithmetic based processing of LCA data (based on Atik and Schulz 2000)..... 273

Fig. 6.29. Weighting of the specific contribution 274

Fig. 6.30. Adapted weighting matrix (Atik and Schulz 2000)..... 274

Fig. 6.31. Environmental relevance rule base (Atik and Schulz 2000)... 275

Fig. 6.32. Fuzzy logic based weighting and assessment (based on Atik and Schulz 2000, Atik 2001)	276
Fig. 6.33. Partition of the technical criteria (based on Breiing and Knosala 1997)	278
Fig. 6.34. Value scale according to Kesselring and as fuzzy membership functions.....	279
Fig. 6.35. Technical weighting matrix	279
Fig. 6.36. Polar-/ spider net diagram of the results	280
Fig. 6.37. Presentation of the <i>Eco-Index</i> results	281
Fig. 6.38. <i>Eco-Index</i> contributions in different life cycle phases.....	281
Fig. 6.39. Results of the IsoMetrics ^L (adapted from Felsing et al. 2004a).	285

List of Tables

Table 2.1. General development strategies for environmental use process optimisation (Dannheim 1997)	14
Table 3.1. Comparison of calculations with experimental results.....	66
Table 3.2. Bandwidths of electrical and heating power characteristics specific to the machine location	71
Table 3.3. Average resource consumption and waste amount per kilogram chip by turning and milling in line production (Schiefer 2001).....	73
Table 3.4. Average resource consumption and waste amount per minute utilisation time by turning and milling in line production (Schiefer 2001).....	74
Table 3.5. Emission parameters of the machining process.....	74
Table 3.6. Summary of the relevant environmental influence factors in nitriding.....	78
Table 3.7. Product categories (Wolf 2001).....	109
Table 3.8. Recovery rates r_{DismR} of the metal parts in cables and engines (Wolf 2001).....	111
Table 3.9. Recovery rates r_{ShredR} of metals from shredding dependent on the content of that material in the product volume (Wolf 2001).....	111
Table 3.10. Transfer coefficients for heavy metals input in burnable waste (in %).....	116
Table 3.11. Concentration of BFRs in selected WEEE (Morf et al. 2002)	117
Table 4.1. Selected odour threshold values	135
Table 4.2. Characterisation factors considering PM10 formation.....	137
Table 4.3. Estrogenic Activity Potentials (EAP) according to (Gülden et al. 1998)	138
Table 4.4. Environmental importance of the selected impact categories	140
Table 4.5. Matrix for specification of data quality with five data quality indicators (DQI) (Weidema 1998)	143
Table 4.6. Abstract of environmental assessment methods (comp. Atik 2001)	150
Table 5.1. Four types of Eco-Design.....	162
Table 5.2. Overview of 19 studies on ergonomic design requirements I	192
Table 5.3. DfE strategies and assigned design guidelines (Grüner 2001)	210

Table 5.4. Environmental evaluation chart for three vacuum cleaner concepts.....	215
Table 5.5. Calculation scheme for determining the environmental impact contributions a_i to the basic design.....	218
Table 5.6. Attributes of diversity in teams (examples), adapted from Jackson (1996)	220
Table 5.7. Contents and objectives of a mixed training and team development measure for interdisciplinary project teams.....	223